UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

□ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 814-00237

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND

54-2040781

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 200 MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102

(Address of principal executive office)

(703) 287-5800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \boxtimes No \square .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \square No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12 b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer □

Accelerated filer ⊠

Non-accelerated filer □

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Smaller reporting company \square .

47

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes□ No ☒ ..

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. The number of shares of the issuer's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of August 3, 2009 was 21,087,574.

Table of Contents

PART I.

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION TABLE OF CONTENTS

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008	2
Condensed Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	11
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	12
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	13
Financial Highlights for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	14
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	15

 Item 2.
 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
 29

 Overview
 29

Results of Operations
Liquidity and Capital Resources

31
Liquidity and Capital Resources

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> 48

PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	49
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	49
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	49
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	49
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	49
Item 5.	Other Information	49
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	49
SIGNATURI	<u>ES</u>	49
	1	

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA) (UNAUDITED)

	June 30, 2009		September 30, 2008	
ASSETS				_
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments (Cost 6/30/09: \$357,896; 9/30/08: \$448,356)	\$	317,501	\$	407,153
Control investments (Cost 6/30/09: \$28,232; 9/30/08: \$12,514)		13,532		780
Total investments at fair value (Cost 6/30/09: \$386,128; 9/30/08: \$460,870)		331,033		407,933
Cash		4,566		6,493
Interest receivable – investments in debt securities		3,669		3,588
Interest receivable – employees (Refer to Note 4)		117		91
Due from custodian		1,631		4,544
Deferred financing fees		1,761		1,905
Prepaid assets		216		306
Other assets		1,340		838
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	344,333	\$	425,698
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable	\$	6	\$	8
Interest payable	Ψ	429	Ψ	646
Fee due to Administrator (Refer to Note 4)		218		247
Due to Adviser (Refer to Note 4)		700		457
Borrowings under line of credit		91,700		151,030
Accrued expenses and deferred liabilities		1,119		1,328
Funds held in escrow		165		234
TOTAL LIABILITIES		94,337		153,950
NET ASSETS	\$	249,996	\$	271,748
ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS				
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized and 21,087,574 shares issued and outstanding at June 30,				
2009 and September 30, 2008	\$	21	\$	21
Capital in excess of par value		334,140		334,143
Notes receivable – employees (Refer to Note 4)		(9,170)		(9,175)
Net unrealized depreciation on investments		(55,096)		(52,937)
Unrealized depreciation on derivative				(304)
Distributions in excess of net investment income		(19,899)		` — ´
TOTAL NET ASSETS	\$	249,996	\$	271,748
NET ASSETS PER SHARE	\$	11.86	\$	12.89

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS AS OF JUNE 30, 2009 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS) (UNAUDITED)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value

Non-syndicated Loans:				
Access Television Network, Inc.	Service-cable airtime (infomercials)	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 6/2009) (5) (9)	\$ 1,013 \$	967
ACE Expediters, Inc	Service-over-the-ground logistics	Senior Term Debt (13.5%, Due 1/2012) (5) Common Stock Warrants (8) (14)	5,000 200	4,706 600
ActivStyle Acquisition Co.	Service-medical products distribution	Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 9/2012) (3) (5)	4,000	3,850
Allison Publications, LLC	Service-publisher of consumer oriented magazines	Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 9/2012) (5)	9,864	8,833
Anitox Acquisition Company	Manufacturing-preservatives for animal feed	Line of Credit, \$3,000 available (4.6%, Due 1/2010) (5) Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 1/2012) (5) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2012) (3) (5)	2,000 3,014 3,688	1,935 2,916 3,513
Badanco Acquisition Corp.	Service-luggage design and distribution	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (non-accrual, Due 7/2012) (10) (15)	9,409	_
BAS Broadcasting	Service-radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2013) (5) Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 7/2009) (3) (5)	7,300 950	6,880 931
CCS, LLC	Service-cable TV franchise owner	Senior Term Debt (non-accrual, Due 8/2008) (5) (10)	631	158
Chinese Yellow Pages Company	Service-publisher of Chinese language directories	Line of Credit, \$700 available (7.3%, Due 9/2010) (5)	675 564	626
		Senior Term Debt (7.3%, Due 9/2010) (5)	564	518
Clinton Holdings, LLC	Distribution-aluminum sheets and stainless steel	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 1/2013) (5) Escrow Funding Note (1.4%, Due 1/2010) (11) Common Stock Warrants (8) (14)	15,500 640 109	13,175 640 —
CMI Acquisition, LLC	Service-recycling	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.0%, Due 11/2012) (5)	6,276	5,883
Defiance Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing-trucking parts	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 4/2010) (3) (5) Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 4/2010) (3) (5)	6,005 987	3,603 592
Doe & Ingalls Management LLC	Distributor-specialty chemicals	Senior Term Debt (6.8%, Due 11/2010) (5) Senior Term Debt (7.8%, Due 11/2010) (3) (5)	2,500 4,376	2,456 4,267
Finn Corporation	Manufacturing-landscape equipment	Common Stock Warrants (8) (14)	37	1,427
GFRC Holdings LLC	Manufacturing-glass-fiber reinforced concrete	Line of Credit, \$1,000 available (4.6%, Due 12/2010) (5) Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 12/2012) (5) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 12/2012) (3) (5)	 6,599 6,665	6,417 6,399
Global Materials Technologies, Inc.	Manufacturing-steel wool products and metal fibers	Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 11/2009) (3) (5)	4,460	3,947
Heartland Communications Group	Service-radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 5/2011) (5)	4,570	2,726
Interfilm Holdings, Inc.	Service-slitter and distributor of plastic films	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 10/2012) (5)	4,962	4,609
International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company	Service-golf training	Line of Credit, \$1,500 available (9.0%, Due 5/2010) (5) Senior Term Debt (4.6%, Due 5/2012) (5) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (3) (5)	1,400 2,252 2,500	1,375 2,151 2,359
KMBQ Corporation	Service-AM/FM radio broadcaster	Line of Credit, \$200 available (11.0%, Due 3/2010) (5) Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 3/2010) (5)	153 1,787	69 801
Legend Communications of Wyoming LLC	Service-operator of radio stations	Line of Credit, \$500 available (11.0%, Due 6/2011) (5) Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 6/2013) (5)	497 9,250	448 8,325
		3		

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued) AS OF JUNE 30, 2009 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS) (UNAUDITED)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	 Cost	Fair Value
Newhall Holdings, Inc.	Service-distributor of personal care products and supplements	Line of Credit, \$3,000 available (11.3%, Due 5/2010) (5) Senior Term Debt (5) (11.3%, Due 5/2012) (5) Senior Term Debt (14.3%, Due 5/2012) (3) (5)	\$ 1,000 3,960 4,432	\$ 950 3,762 4,144
Northern Contours, Inc.	Manufacturing-veneer and laminate components	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.0%, Due 5/2010) (5)	6,650	5,686
Pinnacle Treatment Centers, Inc.	Service-Addiction treatment centers	Line of Credit, \$500 available (4.6%, Due 12/2009)	_	_

		Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 12/2011) (5)	2,950	2,803
		Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (3) (5)	7,500	7,087
Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-consumable components	Equipment Note, \$1,500 available (8.5%, Due 10/2011) (5)	1.000	986
recision requisition Group Holdings, me.	for the aluminum industry	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 10/2010) (5)	4,375	4,309
	for the aranmam maustry	Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 10/2010) (3) (5)	4.095	4,039
		Schiol Term Debt (11.5%, Due 10/2010) (3) (3)	4,093	4,039
PROFITSystems Acquisition Co.	Service-design and develop ERP	Line of Credit, \$350 available (4.6%, Due 7/2009)	_	_
•	software	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 7/2011) (5)	1,750	1,610
		Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 7/2011) (3) (5)	2,900	2,639
2001		G	2.50	2.450
RCS Management Holding Co.	Service-healthcare supplies	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 1/2011) (3) (5)	2,562	2,460
		Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2011) (4) (5)	3,060	2,903
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-pharmaceutical and	Line of Credit, \$5,000 available (9.0%, Due 10/2010) (5)		
S.,	biochemical intermediates	(>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1.700	1,649
		Mortgage Note (9.5%, Due 10/2014) (5)	7,352	7,187
		Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 10/2012) (5)	1,597	1,550
		Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 10/2012) (3) (5)	11,843	11,355
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 10/2013) (5)	6,000	5,490
		Common Stock Warrants (8) (14)	209	206
Saunders & Associates	Manufacturing-equipment provider for	Senior Term Debt (9.8%, Due 5/2013) (5)		
	frequency control devices		10,780	10,484
SCI Cable, Inc.	Service-cable, internet, voice provider	Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 10/2008) (5) (12)	2.781	556
SCI Cable, Inc.	Service-cable, internet, voice provider	Schiol Terni Debt (9.5%, Due 10/2008) (3) (12)	2,761	330
Sunburst Media - Louisiana, LLC	Service-radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 6/2011) (5)	6,417	5,849
	·			
Sunshine Media Holdings	Service-publisher regional B2B trade	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 5/2012) (5)	16,948	16,058
	magazines	Senior Term Debt (13.5%, Due 5/2012) (3) (5)	10,700	9,991
min		T' (C) 1': 01 000 1'111 (0.00(P) 1/0011) (5)		
Thibaut Acquisition Co.	Service-design and disbribute wall	Line of Credit, \$1,000 available (9.0%, Due 1/2011) (5)	1 000	040
	covering	C T D. 1. (0.50/. D 1/2011) (5)	1,000	940
		Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 1/2011) (5)	1,487	1,398
		Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 1/2011) (3) (5)	3,000	2,760
Tulsa Welding School	Service-private welding school	Line of credit, \$750 available (9.5%, Due 9/2011) (5)	_	_
Tuibu Werding Sellesi	Service private weraing sensor	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 9/2013) (5)	4,144	4,139
		Senior Term Debt (12.8%, Due 9/2013) (5)	8,000	7,980
			,	,
VantaCore	Service-acquisition of aggregate quarries	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 8/2013) (5)	13,795	13,588
Viapack, Inc.	Manufacturing-polyethylene film	Senior Real Estate Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2011) (5)	800	740
		Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2011) (3) (5)	4,062	3,738
Visual Edge Technology, Inc.	Service-office equipment distribution	Line of credit, \$3,000 available (7.8%, Due 9/2011) (5)	3,000	2,355
risual Lage reciniology, inc.	Service office equipment distribution	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (15.5%, Due 8/2011) (5)	5,000	3,925
		55 5300rdinated Term 500 (15.570, 500 6/2011) (5)	5,000	3,723
Westlake Hardware, Inc.	Retail-hardware and variety	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.0%, Due 1/2011) (5)	15,000	14,100
	•	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.3%, Due 1/2011) (5)	10,000	9,250
Winchester Electronics	Manufacturing-high bandwidth	Senior Term Debt (5.3%, Due 5/2013) (5)	860	847
	connectors and cables	Senior Term Debt (5.8%, Due 5/2013) (5)	1,690	1,614
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 6/2013) (5)	9,925	9,255
Subtotal - Non-syndicated loans			334,157	298,484
Suototai 11011-synaicaica toans			334,137	470,704

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued) AS OF JUNE 30, 2009 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS) (UNAUDITED)

4

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost		Fair Value
Syndicated Loans:					
CHG Companies, Inc.	Service-healthcare staffing	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (6.3%, Due 12/2013) (6)	\$	500 \$	S 471
GTM Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-socks	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.8%, Due 4/2014) (6)		500	462
John Henry Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-packaging products	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (7.3%, Due 6/2011) (7)		8,000	6,400
Kinetek Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing-custom engineered motors & controls	Senior Term Debt (3.6%, Due 11/2013) (6) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (6.6%, Due 5/2014) (6)		1,439 1,507	718 300
Puerto Rico Cable Acquisition Company, Inc.	Service-telecommunications	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (7.9%, Due 1/2012) (6)		7,178	6,853
Wesco Holdings, Inc.	Service-aerospace parts and distribution	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (6.1%, Due 3/2014) (6)		2,265	1,927
WP Evenflo Group Holdings Inc.	Manufacturing-infant and juvenile products	Senior Term Debt (7.3%, Due 2/2013) (6) Senior Preferred Equity (8) (11) Junior Preferred Equity (8) (11) Common Stock (8) (11)		1,906 333 111 —	1,442 333 111
Subtotal - Syndicated loans				23,739	19,017

Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments			\$ 357,896	\$ 317,501
CONTROL INVESTMENTS				
BERTL, Inc.	Service-web-based evaluator of digital imaging products	Line of Credit, \$842 available (6.6%, Due 10/2009) (13) (14) Common Stock (8) (14)	\$ 908 424	\$ _
Lindmark Acquisition, LLC	Service-advertising	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.3%, Due 10/2012) (14) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 10/2012) (14) Common Stock (8) (14)	12,000 1,469 1	10,260 962 —
LYP Holdings Corp.	Service-yellow pages publishing	Line of credit, \$1,250 available (10.0%, Due 7/2010) (14) Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 2/2012) (14) Line of Credit, \$3,000 available (4.8%, Due 6/2010) (14) Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 6/2011) (14) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 6/2011) (3) (14) Common Stock Warrants (8) (14)	773 250 1,170 2,688 2,750	773 250 717 —
U.S. Healthcare Communications, Inc.	Service-magazine publisher/operator	Line of credit, \$200 available (non-accrual, Due 3/2010) (10) (14) Line of credit, \$450 available (non-accrual, Due 3/2010) (10) (14) Common Stock (8) (14)	169 450 2,470	169 401 —
Western Directories, Inc.	Service-directory publisher	Line of credit, \$1,250 available (10%, Due 12/2009) (14) Preferred Stock (8) (14) Common Stock (8) (14)	 1,125 1,584 1	_
Total Control Investments			\$ 28,232	\$ 13,532
Total Investments			\$ 386,128	\$ 331,033

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued) AS OF JUNE 30, 2009 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS) (UNAUDITED)

5

- (1) Certain of the listed securities are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.
- (2) Percentage represents interest rates in effect at June 30, 2009 and due date represents the contractual maturity date.
- (3) Last Out Tranche of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the Last Out Tranche is paid after the senior debt.
- (4) Last Out Tranche of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the Last Out Tranche is paid after the senior debt, however, the debt is also junior to another Last Out Tranche.
- (5) Fair value was based on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc.
- (6) Security valued using internally-developed, risk-adjusted discounted cash flow methodologies as of June 30, 2009.
- (7) Security valued based on the transaction sale price subsequent to June 30, 2009 (see Note 11).
- (8) Security is non-income producing.
- (9) Access Television includes a success fee with a fair value of \$4. The loan matured in June 2009, and the Company is actively working to recover amounts due under this loan.
- (10) Badanco, CCS and U.S. Healthcare are currently past due on interest payments and are on non-accrual.
- (11) Fair value of security estimated to be equal to cost due to recent acquisition.
- (12) SCI Cable's loan matured in October 2008. The Company is actively working to recover amounts due under this loan, however, there is no assurance that there will be a full recovery of amounts past due.
- (13) BERTL's interest is currently being capitalized as Paid in Kind ("PIK") interest.
- (14) Fair value was based on the total enterprise value of the portfolio company using a liquidity waterfall approach.
- (15) Badanco is in bankruptcy proceedings and is assigned a fair value of \$0 at June 30, 2009 as recovery of our unsecured loan outstanding is uncertain and doubtful.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

6

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2008 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	 Cost	Fair	r Value
NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE	INVESTMENTS				
Non-syndicated Loans:					
Access Television Network, Inc.	Service-cable airtime (infomercials)	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 3/2009) (6)	\$ 1,923	\$	1,774
ACE Expediters, Inc	Service-over-the-ground logistics	Line of Credit, \$850 available (6.0%, Due 1/2011) Senior Term Debt (9.8%, Due 1/2012) (6) Common Stock Warrants (8)	— 11,966 200		— 11,248 142

Service-medical products distribution	Line of Credit, \$1,500 available (6.7%, Due 7/2009) (6)	1,100	1,059
			4,543 4,213
		1,155	1,213
Service-publisher of consumer oriented	Line of Credit, \$4,000 available (9.0%, Due 9/2010)		
magazines	Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 9/2012) (6)	10,465	9,568
Manufacturing-preservatives for animal	Line of Credit, \$3,000 available (6.7%, Due 1/2010) (6)	2,000	1,880
feed			3,185
	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2012) (3) (6)	3,688	3,388
Service-luggage design and distribution	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2012) (6)	9,458	8,795
Sarvice radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (11 5%, Due 7/2013) (5)	7 300	7,209
Service-radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2009) (3) (5)	1,000	988
		·	
Service-cable TV franchise owner	Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 8/2008) (6)	728	364
Service-publisher of Chinese language	Line of Credit, \$700 available (9.0%, Due 9/2010) (6)	575	529
directories	Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 9/2010) (6)	702	638
Distribution-aluminum sheets and	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0% Due 1/2013) (6)	15 500	14,880
stainless steel	Common Stock Warrants (8)	109	
0	G - G - L - L - L - D - L (10.20) / D - 11/2012) / C	6.414	6.061
Service-recycling	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.2%, Due 11/2012) (6)	6,414	6,061
Service-publisher of free weekly	Senior Term Debt (7.0%, Due 8/2012) (6)		
newspapers		987	936
Manufacturing-trucking parts	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 4/2010) (3) (6)	6,165	5,055
0 0.		.,	,
Distributor-specialty chemicals			2,945 4,167
	Selilot Tettii Debt (7.8%, Due 11/2010) (3) (6)	4,410	4,107
Manufacturing-landscape equipment	Common Stock Warrants (8)	37	1,578
Manufacturing-glass-fiber reinforced	Line of Credit, \$3,000 available (6.7%, Due 12/2010)	_	_
concrete		7,362	7,105
		6,716	6,414
		· ·	
	Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 11/2009) (3) (6)	4 640	4,153
metal fibers		4,040	4,133
Service-radio station operator	Line of Credit, \$500 available (10.0%, Due 12/2008) (6)	105	79
	Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 5/2011) (6)	4,523	3,386
Service-slitter and distributor of plastic	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 10/2012) (6)		
films		5,000	4,750
Service-golf training	Line of Credit, \$1.500 available (9.2%, Due 5/2010) (6)	1.400	1,288
2-1-1-1- Seri- remaine	Senior Term Debt (6.7%, Due 5/2012) (6)	2,551	2,347
	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (3) (6)	2,500	2,288
Service-dating service	Line of Credit, \$750 available (6.5%, Due 6/2009) (6)	550	275
3	Senior Term Debt (6.7%, Due 6/2011) (6)	3,300	1,650
	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 6/2011) (3) (6) (9)	500	250
	Service-publisher of consumer oriented magazines Manufacturing-preservatives for animal feed Service-luggage design and distribution Service-radio station operator Service-cable TV franchise owner Service-publisher of Chinese language directories Distribution-aluminum sheets and stainless steel Service-recycling Service-publisher of free weekly newspapers Manufacturing-trucking parts Distributor-specialty chemicals Manufacturing-landscape equipment Manufacturing-glass-fiber reinforced concrete Manufacturing-steel wool products and metal fibers Service-radio station operator Service-slitter and distributor of plastic	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 9/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 9/2012) (3) (6) Service-publisher of consumer oriented magazines Manufacturing-preservatives for animal feed Manufacturing-preservatives for animal feed Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 9/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2013) (6) Service-radio station operator Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2013) (5) Service-cable TV franchise owner Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 7/2009) (3) (5) Service-publisher of Chinese language directories Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 8/2008) (6) Line of Credit, \$700 available (9.0%, Due 9/2010) (6) Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 9/2010) (6) Service-recycling Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 1/2013) (6) Common Stock Warrants (8) Service-recycling Senior Term Debt (7.0%, Due 8/2012) (6) Service-publisher of free weekly memspapers Manufacturing-trucking parts Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 4/2010) (3) (6) Distributor-specialty chemicals Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 4/2010) (3) (6) Manufacturing-dadscape equipment Common Stock Warrants (8) Manufacturing-glass-fiber reinforced concrete Common Stock Warrants (8) Manufacturing-dadscape equipment Common Stock Warrants (8) Manufacturing-dadscape equipment Common Stock Warrants (8) Line of Credit, \$3,000 available (6.7%, Due 12/2010) (6) Senior Term Debt (7.8%, Due 11/2010) (3) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2011) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 5/2011) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 5/2011) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (1	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 9/2012) (6) 4,721

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2008 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
KMBQ Corporation	Service-AM/FM radio broadcaster	Line of Credit, \$200 available (11.0%, Due 3/2010) (6) Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 3/2010) (6)	\$ 153 1,792	\$ 137 1,594
Legend Communications of Wyoming LLC	Service-operator of radio stations	Line of Credit, \$500 available (11.0%, Due 6/2011) (5) Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 6/2013) (5)	397 9,250	392 9,134
Lindmark Outdoor Advertising LLC	Service-advertising	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.0%, Due 10/2012) (6)	11,421	9,651
Multi-Ag Media LLC	Service-dairy magazine publisher/information database	Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 12/2009) (6)	2,072	1,853
Newhall Holdings, Inc.	Service-distributor of personal care products and supplements	Line of Credit, \$4,000 available (6.0%, Due 5/2010) (6) Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due 5/2012) (6) Senior Term Debt (11.3%, Due 5/2012) (3) (6)	2,100 4,230 4,500	1,880 3,807 4,016
Northern Contours, Inc.	Manufacturing-veneer and laminate components	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.0%, Due 5/2010) (6)	6,912	6,082
Pinnacle Treatment Centers, Inc.	Service-Addiction treatment centers	Line of Credit, \$500 available (6.7%, Due 12/2009) Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 12/2011) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) (3) (6)	3,550 7,500	3,319 6,938
Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-consumable components for the aluminum industry	Equipment Note, \$1,500 available (8.5%, Due 10/2011) (6) Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 10/2010) (6) Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 10/2010) (3) (6)	1,000 4,750 4.158	993 4,714 4,127

PROFITSystems Acquisition Co.	Service-design and develop ERP	Line of Credit, \$1,250 available (6.7%, Due 7/2009)	2 200	2.027
	software	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 7/2011) (6) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 7/2011) (3) (6)	2,200 2,900	2,027 2,657
		56mor 16mm 5660 (101570), 540 772011) (5) (6)	2,500	2,007
RCS Management Holding Co.	Service-healthcare supplies	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 1/2011) (3) (6)	2,875	2,695
		Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2011) (4) (6)	3,060	2,815
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-pharmaceutical and	Line of Credit, \$5,000 available (9.0%, Due 10/2010) (6)	1,600	1,528
	biochemical intermediates	Mortgage Note (9.5%, Due 10/2014) (6)	7,407	7,147
		Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 10/2012) (6)	1,800	1,719
		Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 10/2012) (3) (6)	11,933	11,352
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 10/2013) (6)	6,000	5,445
		Common Stock Warrants (8)	209	_
Saunders & Associates	Manufacturing-equipment provider for	Line of Credit, \$2,500 available (6.9%, Due 5/2009)		
Saunders & Associates	frequency control devices	Senior Term Debt (9.8%, Due 5/2013) (6)	10,945	10,740
	nequency control devices	Schiol Term Debt (9.8%, Due 3/2013) (0)	10,543	10,740
SCI Cable, Inc.	Service-cable, internet, voice provider	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 10/2008) (6)	2,712	1,355
Sunburst Media - Louisiana, LLC	Service-radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 6/2011) (6)	7.857	6.728
	·		.,,	.,.
Sunshine Media Holdings	Service-publisher regional B2B trade	Line of Credit, \$3,000 available (11.0%, Due 5/2010) (6)	700	627
	magazines	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 5/2012) (6)	17,000	15,300
		Senior Term Debt (13.5%, Due 5/2012) (3) (6)	10,000	8,750
Thibaut Acquisition Co.	Service-design and disbribute wall	Line of Credit, \$2,000 available (7.0%, Due 1/2011) (6)	2,000	1,838
•	covering	Senior Term Debt (7.0%, Due 1/2011) (6)	2,013	1,849
	ŭ	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2011) (3) (6)	3,000	2,685
Tulsa Welding School	Service-private welding school	Line of credit, \$2,000 available (9.5%, 9/2011)	_	_
raisa weraing sensor	berview private weraing sensor	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, 9/2013) (5)	4,000	4,000
		Senior Term Debt (12.8%, 9/2013) (5)	8,000	8,000
W. d. C.	6	C. '. C. I. I'. (IT D. I. (12.00/. 9/2012) ()	12 100	12.026
VantaCore	Service-acquisition of aggregate quarries	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, 8/2013) (6)	13,100	12,936
Viapack, Inc.	Manufacturing-polyethylene film	Senior Real Estate Term Debt (7.0%, Due 3/2011) (6)	850	780
		Senior Term Debt (11.3%, Due 3/2011) (3) (6)	4,091	3,733
Visual Edge Technology, Inc.	Service-office equipment distribution	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 8/2011) (6)	5,000	2,925
		_		
		8		

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2008 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

Company (1)	Industry	Investment (2)		Cost		Fair Value
Westlake Hardware, Inc.	Retail-hardware and variety	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.0%, Due 1/2011) (6) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.3%, Due 1/2011) (6)	\$	15,000 10,000	\$	13,800 9,000
				.,		,,,,,
Winchester Electronics	Manufacturing-high bandwidth	Senior Term Debt (8.0%, Due 5/2013) (6)		1,699		1,563
	connectors and cables	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 6/2013) (6)	-	9,950	_	9,055
Subtotal - Non-syndicated loans				371,204		340,816
Syndicated Loans:						
AKQA Holdings	Service-market and advertising	Senior Term Debt (7.7%, Due 3/2013) (7)	\$	8,273	\$	7,980
Bresnan Communications, LLC	Service-telecommunications	Senior Term Debt (4.8%, Due 9/2013) (7)		3,001		2,670
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (7.6%, Due 3/2014) (7)		1,508		1,305
CHG Companies, Inc.	Service-healthcare staffing	Letter of Credit, \$400 available (6.0%, Due 12/2012) (7)		400		256
1	g.	Senior Term Debt (5.3%, Due 12/2012) (7)		1,572		356 1,399
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (8.5%, Due 12/2013) (7)		500		425
Country Road Communications LLC	Service-telecommunications	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2013) (7)		5,973		5,880
		20000 20000 0000 0000 0000 (0000,00,0000,00		2,,,,		2,000
Emdeon Business Services, Inc.	Service-healthcare technology solutions	Senior Term Debt (5.8%, Due 11/2013) (7)		2,359		2,027
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (8.8%, Due 5/2014) (7)		2,011		1,720
GTM Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-socks	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 10/2013) (7)		491		359
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.8%, Due 4/2014) (7)		500		325
Greatwide Logistics Services, Inc.	Service-logistics and transportation	Senior Term Debt (non-accrual, Due 12/2013)(7) (10)		3,950		2,765
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (non-accrual, Due 6/2014)				
		(7) (10)		2,000		700
Harrington Holdings, Inc.	Service-healthcare products distribution	Senior Term Debt (6.0%, Due 1/2014) (7)		2,463		2,192
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.7%, Due 1/2014) (7)		5,000		3,750
John Henry Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-packaging products	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.6%, Due 6/2011) (7)		8,000		7,600
Vinetala Association Com-	Manufacturina austana an aireana d	Carrier Tame Dake (6.20/ Due 11/2012) (7)		1 470		1 226
Kinetek Acquisition Corp.	Manufacturing-custom engineered motors & controls	Senior Term Debt (6.2%, Due 11/2013) (7) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.2%, Due 5/2014) (7)		1,478 1,508		1,326 1,275
				1,500		1,273
Puerto Rico Cable Acquisition	Service-telecommunications	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.3%, Due 1/2012) (7)		7.100		£ 550
Company, Inc.				7,189		5,570

RedPrairie Holding, Inc.	Service-design and develop supply chain software	Senior Term Debt (6.1%, Due 7/2012) (7) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.3%, Due 1/2013) (7)	4,412 3,000	4,014 2,550
RiskMetrics Group Holdings, LLC	Service-develop risk and wealth management solutions	Senior Term Debt (5.8%, Due 1/2014) (7)	1,936	1,812
United Maritime Group, LLC	Service-cargo transport	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.2%, Due 12/2013) (7)	1,000	950
Wesco Holdings, Inc.	Service-aerospace parts and distribution	Senior Term Debt (6.0%, Due 9/2013) (7) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.5%, Due 3/2014) (7)	2,451 2,267	2,212 1,980
WP Evenflo Group Holdings Inc.	Manufacturing-infant and juvenile products	Senior Term Debt (5.3%, Due 2/2013) (7) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (8.8%, Due 2/2014) (7)	1,910 2,000	1,595 1,600
Subtotal - Syndicated loans			77,152	66,337
Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments			\$ 448,356	\$ 407,153

9

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2008 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

Company (1) CONTROL INVESTMENTS	Industry	Investment (2)	Cost	Fair Value
BERTL, Inc.	Service-web-based evaluator of digital imaging products	Line of Credit, \$700 available (8.7%, Due 10/2009) (11) (12)	\$ 742	s –
		Common Stock (8)	424	_
LYP Holdings Corp.	Service-yellow pages publishing	Line of credit, \$500 available (10.0%, 5/2009) (12) Line of Credit, \$3,000 available (non-accrual, Due 6/2009) (10) (12)	75 1,170	_ _
		Senior Term Debt (non-accrual, Due 6/2011) (10) (12) Senior Term Debt (non-accrual, Due 6/2011) (3) (10) (12) Common Stock Warrants (8)	2,688 2,750 1	
U.S. Healthcare Communications, Inc.	Service-magazine publisher/ operator	Line of credit, \$200 available (non-accrual, Due 3/2010) (10) Line of credit, \$450 available (non-accrual, Due 3/2010) (10) Common Stock (8)	90 450 2,470	90 450 240
Western Directories, Inc.	Service-directory publisher	Line of credit, \$1,000 available (10%, Due 8/2009) (12) Preferred Stock (8) Common Stock (8)	69 1,584 1	
Total Control Investments			\$ 12,514	<u>\$ 780</u>
Total Investments			\$ 460,870	\$ 407,933

- (1) Certain of the listed securities are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.
- (2) Percentage represents interest rates in effect at September 30, 2008 and due date represents the contractual maturity date.
- (3) Last Out Tranche of senior debt, meaning if the company is liquidated, the holder of the Last Out Tranche is paid after the senior debt.
- (4) Last Out Tranche of senior debt, meaning if the company is liquidated, the holder of the Last Out Tranche is paid after the senior debt, however the debt is junior to another Last Out Tranche.
- (5) Investment estimated to be equal to cost due to recent acquisition.
- (6) Fair value was based on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc.
- (7) Marketable securities, such as syndicated loans, are valued based on the indicative bid price, as of September 30, 2008, from the respective originating syndication agent's trading desk.
- (8) Security is non-income producing.
- (9) It's Just Lunch may borrow an additional \$1,750 of the senior term debt facility, subject to certain conditions including Gladstone Capital's approval, borrowings of \$500 were outstanding at September 30, 2008.
- (10) Greatwide, LYP Holdings and U.S. Healthcare are currently past due on interest payments and are on non-accrual.
- (11) BERTL line of credit was overdrawn by \$42 as of September 30, 2008. The Company is currently restructuring the line of credit. BERTL interest is currently being capitalized as Paid in Kind ("PIK") interest. Please refer to Note 2 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."
- (12) Fair value was based on the total enterprise value of the issuer using a liquidity waterfall approach.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

10

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA) (UNAUDITED)

Three Months F	Nine Months Ended June 30,						
2009	2008	2009		2008			

INVESTMENT INCOME					
Interest income – Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$ 9,726	\$ 11,220	\$	31,716	\$ 33,454
Interest income – Control investments	754	16		1,256	45
Interest income – Cash	_	38		11	285
Interest income – Notes receivable from employees (Refer to Note 4)	118	118		352	354
Prepayment fees and other income	 	28			34
Total investment income	 10,598	 11,420		33,335	 34,172
EXPENSES					
Interest expense	1,811	1,771		6,288	5,982
Loan servicing fee (Refer to Note 4)	1,410	1,598		4,559	4,541
Base management fee (Refer to Note 4)	457	529		1,374	1,664
Incentive fee (Refer to Note 4)	1,060	1,340		3,326	4,089
Administration fee (Refer to Note 4)	218	285		656	737
Professional fees	266	167		784	706
Amortization of deferred financing fees	808	572		2,253	830
Stockholder related costs	33	34		317	292
Directors fees	55	52		151	163
Insurance expense	69	57		192	170
Other expenses	 89	 103		230	 263
Expenses before credit from Adviser	 6,276	 6,508		20,130	19,437
Credit to base management and incentive fees from Adviser (Refer to Note					
4)	 (1,113)	(1,785)		(3,667)	(5,708
Total expenses net of credit to base management and incentive fees	5,163	 4,723		16,463	13,729
NET INVESTMENT INCOME	 5,435	 6,697		16,872	 20,443
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS AND DERIVATIVE:					
Net realized loss on investments	(10,594)	(86)		(14,325)	(86
Realized (loss) gain on settlement of derivative	_	_		(304)	6
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on derivative	_	_		304	(12
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	4,371	(3,802)		(2,158)	(27,545
Net loss on investments	(6,223)	 (3,888)	_	(16,483)	(27,637
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM					
OPERATIONS	\$ (788)	\$ 2,809	\$	389	\$ (7,194
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER COMMON SHARE:					
Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.13	\$	0.02	\$ (0.37
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING:					
Basic and Diluted	21,087,574	21,087,574		21,087,574	19,237,203

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

11

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS) (UNAUDITED)

		Nine Months Ended June 30,				
	2009			2008		
Operations:						
Net investment income	\$	16,872	\$	20,443		
Net realized loss on investments		(14,325)		(86)		
Realized (loss) gain on settlement of derivative		(304)		6		
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on derivative		304		(12)		
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments		(2,158)		(27,545)		
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations		389		(7,194)		
Capital transactions:						
Issuance of common stock under shelf offering		_		106,226		
Shelf offering costs		(3)		(845)		
Distributions to stockholders		(22,142)		(24,523)		
Repayment of principal on employee notes		4		51		
Net (decrease) increase in net assets from capital transactions		(22,141)		80,909		
Total (decrease) increase in net assets		(21,752)		73,715		
Net assets at beginning of year		271,748		220,959		
Net assets at end of period	\$	249,996	\$	294,674		

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS) (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months e	ie 30,	
	2009		2008
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations	\$ 389	\$	(7,194)
Adjustments to reconcile net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting from operations to net cash provided by (used in)			
operating activities:			
Purchase of investments	(24,711)		(137,502)
Principal repayments on investments	43,419		47,802
Proceeds from sale of investments	41,962		_
Net amortization of premiums and discounts	(205)		215
Increase in investment balance due to payment in kind interest	(48)		(42)
Net realized loss on investments	14,325		86
Amortization of deferred financing fees	2,253		830
Realized loss on settlement of derivative	304		_
Unrealized (appreciation) depreciation on derivative	(304)		12
Change in net unrealized depreciation on investments	2,158		27,545
Increase in interest receivable	(107)		(945)
Decrease (increase) in funds due from custodian	2,913		(3,406)
Decrease in prepaid assets	90		135
Increase in other assets	(501)		(113)
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable	(2)		2
Decrease in interest payable	(218)		(65)
	(226)		114
(Decrease) increase in accrued expenses and deferred liabilities	(===)		
Increase (decrease) in fees due to affiliate (Refer to Note 4)	260		(241)
(Decrease) increase in administration fee due to Gladstone Administration (Refer to Note 4)	(29)		47
(Decrease) increase in funds held in escrow	(69)		290
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	81,653		(72,430)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	01,033		(72,430)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Redemption of U.S. Treasury Bill			2,484
Net cash provided by investing activities			2,484
Net easi provided by investing activities			2,404
CACH ELONG EDOM EIN ANGING A CTIVITIES			
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			106.226
Net proceeds from the issuance of common stock			106,226
Shelf offering costs	(3)		(845)
Borrowings from the line of credit	46,800		151,418
Repayments on the line of credit	(106,130)		(162,768)
Distributions paid	(22,142)		(24,523)
Receipt of principal on notes receivable – employees (Refer to Note 4)	4		51
Deferred financing fees	(2,109)		(3,026)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(83,580)		66,533
NET DECREASE IN CASH	(1,927)		(3,413)
	6,493		8,839
CASH, BEGINNING OF PERIOD		Φ.	
CASH, END OF PERIOD	\$ 4,566	\$	5,426

 $THE\ ACCOMPANYING\ NOTES\ ARE\ AN\ INTEGRAL\ PART\ OF\ THESE\ CONDENSED\ CONSOLIDATED\ FINANCIAL\ STATEMENTS.$

13

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AND PER UNIT DATA) (UNAUDITED)

		Three months	ended J	une 30,	Nine months ended June 30,				
	2009			2008	2009		2008		
Per Share Data (1)									
Net asset value at beginning of period	\$	12.10	\$	14.27	\$ 12.89	\$	14.97		
Income from investment operations:	·								
Net investment income (2)		0.26		0.32	0.80		1.06		
Net realized loss on investments (2)		(0.50)		_	(0.68)		_		
Realized loss on settlement of derivative (2)		_		_	(0.01)		_		
Unrealized appreciation on derivative (2)		_		_	0.01		_		
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments (2)		0.21		(0.19)	(0.10)		(1.43)		
Total from investment operations		(0.03)		(0.13)	0.02		(0.37)		
Distributions to stockholders (3)		(0.21)		(0.42)	(1.05)		(1.26)		
Issuance of common stock under shelf offering		_		_	_		0.68		
Offering costs		_		(0.01)			(0.05)		

Net asset value at end of period	\$ 11.86	\$ 13.97	\$ 11.86	\$ 13.97
Per share market value at beginning of period	\$ 6.26	\$ 18.71	\$ 15.24	\$ 19.52
Per share market value at end of period	\$ 7.53	\$ 15.24	\$ 7.53	\$ 15.24
Total return (4)(5)	23.88 %	-16.53%	-43.15%	-16.15%
Shares outstanding at end of period	21,087,574	21,087,574	21,087,574	21,087,574
	i i		, i	i i
Statement of Assets and Liabilities Data:				
Net assets at end of period	\$ 249,996	\$ 294,674	\$ 249,996	\$ 294,674
Average net assets (6)	\$ 253,130	\$ 295,405	\$ 254,886	\$ 284,641
Senior Securities Data:				
Borrowings under line of credit	\$ 91,700	\$ 133,090	\$ 91,700	\$ 133,090
Asset coverage ratio (7)(8)	373 %	321 %	373 %	321 %
Asset coverage per unit (8)	\$ 3,726	\$ 3,214	\$ 3,726	\$ 3,214
Ratios/Supplemental Data:				
Ratio of expenses to average net assets-annualized (9)	9.92 %	8.81 %	10.53 %	9.10%
Ratio of net expenses to average net assets-annualized (10)	8.16%	6.40 %	8.61 %	6.43 %
Ratio of net investment income to average net assets-annualized	8.59 %	9.07 %	8.83 %	9.58 %

- (1) Based on actual shares outstanding at the end of the corresponding period.
- (2) Based on weighted average basic per share data.
- (3) Distributions are determined based on taxable income calculated in accordance with income tax regulations which may differ from amounts determined under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
- (4) Total return equals the change in the ending market value of the Company's common stock from the beginning of the period taking into account distributions reinvested in accordance with the terms of the Company's dividend reinvestment plan. Total return does not take into account distributions that may be characterized as a return of capital. For further information on estimated character of the Company's distributions please refer to Note 9.
- (5) Amounts were not annualized.
- (6) Average net assets are computed using the average of the balance of net assets at the end of each month of the reporting period.
- (7) As a business development company, the Company is generally required to maintain a ratio of at least 200% of total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to total borrowings.
- (8) Asset coverage ratio is the ratio of the carrying value of the Company's total consolidated assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness. Asset coverage per unit is the asset coverage ratio expressed in terms of dollar amounts per \$1,000 of indebtedness.
- (9) Ratio of expenses to average net assets is computed using expenses before credits from Adviser to the base management and incentive fees and including income tax expense.
- (10) Ratio of net expenses to average net assets is computed using total expenses net of credits from Adviser to the base management and incentive fees and including income tax expense.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

14

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2009 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA AND AS OTHERWISE INDICATFD) (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Gladstone Capital Corporation (the "Company") was incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Maryland on May 30, 2001. The Company is a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be treated as a business development company ("BDC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). In addition, the Company has elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). The Company's investment objectives are to achieve a high level of current income by investing in debt securities, consisting primarily of senior notes, senior subordinated notes and junior subordinated notes, of established private businesses that are substantially owned by leveraged buyout funds, individual investors or are family-owned businesses, with a particular focus on senior notes. In addition, the Company may acquire from others existing loans that meet this profile.

Gladstone Business Loan, LLC ("Business Loan"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was established on February 3, 2003 for the purpose of holding the Company's portfolio of loan investments. Gladstone Capital Advisers, Inc. is also a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, which was established on December 30, 2003.

Gladstone SBIC, LP ("Gladstone SBIC") and Gladstone SBIC GP, LLC, the general partner of Gladstone SBIC, were established on December 4, 2008 as wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company for the purpose of applying for and holding a license to enable the Company, through Gladstone SBIC, to make investments in accordance with the United States Small Business Administration guidelines for small business investment companies.

Gladstone Financial Corporation ("Gladstone Financial"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was established on November 21, 2006 for the purpose of holding a license to operate as a Specialized Small Business Investment Company. Gladstone Financial (previously known as Gladstone SSBIC Corporation) acquired this license in February 2007. This will enable the Company, through this subsidiary, to make investments in accordance with the United States Small Business Administration guidelines for specialized small business investment companies.

The financial statements of the subsidiaries are consolidated with those of the Company.

The Company is externally managed by Gladstone Management Corporation (the "Adviser"), an unconsolidated affiliate of the Company.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Unaudited Interim Financial Statements

Interim financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim

financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain disclosures accompanying annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP are omitted. In the opinion of the Company's management, all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring accruals, necessary for the fair statement of financial statements for the interim periods have been included. The current period's results of operations are not necessarily indicative of results that ultimately may be achieved for the year. The interim financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on December 2, 2008.

The year-end condensed balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

Investment Valuation Policy

The Company carries its investments at market value to the extent that market quotations are readily available and reliable, and otherwise at fair value, as determined in good faith by its Board of Directors. In determining the fair value of the Company's investments, the Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the "Policy"). The Policy is approved by the Company's

15

Table of Contents

Board of Directors and each quarter the Board of Directors reviews whether the Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of the Company's investment portfolio.

The Company uses generally accepted valuation techniques to value its portfolio unless the Company has specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time the Company may accept an appraisal of a business in which the Company holds securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and scopes used to value the Company's investments. When these specific third-party appraisals are engaged or accepted, the Company uses such appraisals to value the investment the Company has in that business if it was determined that the appraisals were the best estimate of fair value.

The Policy, which is summarized below, applies to publicly-traded securities, securities for which a limited market exists and securities for which no market exists.

Publicly-traded securities: The Company determines the value of publicly-traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that the Company owns restricted securities that are not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, the Company will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature.

Securities for which a limited market exists: The Company values securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market, but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the quoted price. In valuing these assets, the Company assesses trading activity in an asset class and evaluates variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quote prices are reliable. If the Company concludes that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid prices are requested; however, if a firm bid price is unavailable, the Company bases the value of the security upon the indicative bid price offered by the respective originating syndication agent's trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that the Company uses the indicative bid price as a basis for valuing the security, the Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid such that market prices are no longer readily available, the Company will value its syndicated loans using estimated net present values of the future cash flows or discounted cash flows ("DCF"). The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Staff Position No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*("FSP No. 157-3"), which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity's own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant observable market data does not exist, the alternative outlined in the FSP No. 157-3 is the use of valuing investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, the Company considered multiple inputs such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, the Company developed a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among others, increased probability of default, or higher loss given default, or increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what the Company believes a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. The Company will continue to apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity. As of June 30, 2009, the portion of the Company's investment portfolio that was valued using DCF was approximately \$12,617, or 3.8% of the fair value of its total portfolio of investments

Securities for which no market exists: The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into three categories: (1) portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities; (2) portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt or equity securities, or both; and (3) portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt or equity securities, or both.

- (1) Portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities: Debt securities that are not publicly-traded on an established securities market, or for which a limited market does not exist ("Non-Public Debt Securities"), and that are issued by portfolio companies where the Company has no equity, or equity-like securities, are fair valued in accordance with the terms of the Policy, which utilizes opinions of value submitted to the Company by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. ("SPSE"). The Company may also submit paid in kind ("PIK") interest to SPSE for their evaluation when it is determined the PIK interest is likely to be received.
- (2) Portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt or equity securities, or both: The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No.

16

Table of Contents

157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS No. 157"). For the Company's Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g. preferred equity, equity or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package, where the Company has control or could gain control through an option or warrant security, both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisition market as the principal market, generally through a sale or recapitalization of the portfolio company. In accordance with SFAS No. 157, the Company applies the in-use premise of value which assumes the debt and equity securities are sold together. Under this liquidity waterfall approach, the Company first calculates the total enterprise value of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors to determine the total enterprise value of the issuer:

- · the issuer's ability to make payments;
- · the earnings of the issuer;
- · recent sales to third parties of similar securities;

- · the comparison to publicly traded securities; and
- · DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, the Company may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. Once the Company has estimated the total enterprise value of the issuer, the Company will subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer, which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of total enterprise value over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities (which include the debt securities) have been subtracted from the total enterprise value of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer's equity or equity -like securities. If, in the Adviser's judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, the Adviser may recommend that the Company use a valuation by SPSE, or if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

(3) Portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt or equity securities, or both: The Company values Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity and equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which the Company does not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical secondary market as the Company's principal market. In accordance with SFAS No. 157, the Company determines its fair value of these debt securities of non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual debt security using the in-exchange premise of value (as defined in SFAS No. 157). As such, the Company estimates the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and its own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest are spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. For equity and equity-like securities of investments for which the Company does not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, the Company values the equity portion based principally on the total enterprise value of the issuer, which is calculated using a liquidity waterfall approach.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed, and the differences could be material. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that the Company might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an arms-length transaction in the security's principal market.

Refer to Note 3 for additional information regarding fair value measurements and the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 157.

Interest Income Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs and for the accretion of discounts, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due or if the Company's qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, the Company will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, the Company remains contractually entitled to this interest. At June 30, 2009, two Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments and one Control investments were on non-accrual with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$10,659 or 2.8% of the cost basis of all investments in the Company's portfolio. At September 30, 2008, one Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investment and two Control investments were on non-accrual with a cost basis of approximately \$13,098 at September 30, 2008, or 2.8% of the cost basis of all loans in the Company's portfolio. Conditional interest, or a success fee, is recorded upon full repayment of a loan investment.

17

Table of Contents

Paid in Kind Interest

The Company has one loan in its portfolio which contains a PIK provision. The PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in each loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as income. To maintain the Company's status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of distributions, even though the Company has not yet collected the cash. The Company recorded PIK interest income of \$15 and \$48 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, as compared to \$16 and \$30 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, from investments on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB approved the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (U.S. GAAP) which was launched on July 1, 2009. The Codification does not change current U.S. GAAP but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative U.S. GAAP by providing all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. All existing accounting standard documents will be superseded and all other accounting literature not included in the Codification will be considered nonauthoritative. The Codification is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification is effective for the Company during its interim period ending September 30, 2009 and is not expected to have an impact on its financial condition or results of operations. The Company is currently evaluating the impact to its financial reporting process of providing Codification references in its public filings.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets ("SFAS No. 166"). SFAS No. 166 removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities ("SFAS No. 140") and removes the exception from applying FASB Interpretation No. 46R. This statement also clarifies the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets that are eligible for sale accounting. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. SFAS No. 166 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning October 1, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events ("SFAS No. 165"). The subsequent events project was initiated in an effort to incorporate accounting guidance that originated as auditing standards into the body of authoritative literature issued by the FASB. Moving the accounting requirements out of the auditing literature and into the accounting literature is consistent with the FASB's objective to codify all authoritative U.S. accounting guidance related to a particular topic in one place. It also provided an opportunity to consider international convergence issues. The FASB has established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Although there is new terminology, the standard is based on the same principles as those that previously existed in the auditing standards. The new standard, which includes a required disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events, is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on the reporting of its subsequent events.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. 115-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments ("FSP No. 115-2"), which was issued to make the guidance on other-than-temporary impairment more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. FSP No. 115-2 requires significant additional disclosures for both annual and interim periods, including the amortized cost basis of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt, the methodology and key imports used to measure the credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment, and a roll forward of amounts recognized in earnings for securities by major security type. FSP No. 115-2 amends SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities ("SFAS No. 115") and FSP No. 115-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, to require that entities identify major security classes consistent with how the securities are managed based on the nature and risks of the security, and also expands, for disclosure purposes, the list

of major security types identified in SFAS No. 115. FSP No. 115-2 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly ("FSP No. 157-4"), which provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157 when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability has significantly decreased and also provides guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. FSP No. 157-4 amends SFAS No. 157 to require entities to disclose in interim and annual periods the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value together with any changes in valuation techniques and related inputs during the period. FSP No. 157-4 also requires reporting entities to define major categories for both debt and equity securities to be major security types as described in paragraph 19 of SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. This requires entities to provide

18

Table of Contents

disclosures on a more disaggregated basis than previously had been required under SFAS No. 157. FSP No. 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The Company's adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-3, which clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 in a market that is not active. More specifically, FSP No. 157-3 states that significant judgment should be applied to determine if observable data in a dislocated market represents forced liquidations or distressed sales and is not representative of fair value in an orderly transaction. FSP No. 157-3 also provides further guidance that the use of a reporting entity's own assumptions about future cash flows and appropriately risk-adjusted discount rates is acceptable when relevant observable inputs are not available. In addition, FSP No. 157-3 provides guidance on the level of reliability of broker quotes or pricing services when measuring fair value in a non-active market stating that less reliance should be placed on a quote that does not reflect actual market transactions and a quote that is not a binding offer. The guidance in FSP No. 157-3 is effective upon issuance for all financial statements that have not been issued and any changes in valuation techniques as a result of applying FSP No. 157-3 are accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. The Company's adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ("SFAS No. 161"), which is intended to help investors better understand how derivative instruments and hedging activities affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows through enhanced disclosure requirements. The enhanced disclosures primarily surround disclosing the objectives and strategies for using derivative instruments by their underlying risk as well as a tabular format of the fair values of the derivative instruments and their gains and losses. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on the reporting of its derivatives.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* ("SFAS No. 141(R)"). SFAS No. 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS No. 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008. The Company is required to adopt SFAS No. 141 (R) on October 1, 2009 and is currently evaluating the impact of this pronouncement on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* ("SFAS No. 159"). Among other requirements, SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company adopted SFAS No. 159 on October 1, 2008, and elected to apply SFAS No. 159 to its credit facility entered into on May 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of SFAS No. 159 had no material impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2009. See further discussion in Note 5 "Line of Credit."

NOTE 3. INVESTMENTS

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, which, for financial assets, is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 on October 1, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the nine months ended June 30, 2009. In part, SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. The new standard provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. The standard also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

- · Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- · <u>Level 2</u>— inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and
- · <u>Level 3</u>— inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect the Company's own assumptions that market participants would use to price the asset or liability based upon the best available information.

19

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2009, all of the Company's assets were valued using Level 3 inputs.

The following table presents the financial instruments carried at fair value as of June 30, 2009, by caption on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities for each of the three levels of hierarchy established by SFAS No. 157:

			As	of Jun	e 30, 2009			
	Level I		Level 2	Level 3			Total Fair Value Reported in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities	
		Level I	Level 2		Level 3		Assets and Liabilities	
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$	_	\$ _	\$	317,501	\$	317,501	
Control investments		_	_		13,532		13,532	
Total investments at fair value	\$		\$ 	\$	331,033	\$	331,033	

The following table provides a roll-forward in the changes in fair value during the nine-month period from September 30, 2008 to June 30, 2009, and for the three-month period from March 31, 2009 to June 30, 2009, for all investments for which the Company determines fair value using unobservable (Level 3) factors. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated by external sources). Accordingly, the losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

Fair value measurements using unobservable data inputs (Level 3)

	Non-Control/ Non-Affiliate Investments		Control Investments		Derivative	Total
Nine months ended June 30, 2009:						
Fair value at September 30, 2008	\$	407,153	\$	780	\$ _	\$ 407,933
Realized losses (a)		(14,325)		_	(304)	(14,629)
Reversal of prior period depreciation on realization (b)		12,286		_	304	12,590
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) (b)		(14,454)		10	_	(14,444)
New investments, repayments, and settlements, net (c)		(73,159)		12,742	_	(60,417)
Transfers in (out) of Level 3						
Fair value as of June 30, 2009	\$	317,501	\$	13,532	\$ 	\$ 331,033
Three months ended June 30, 2009:						
Fair value at March 31, 2009	\$	369,595	\$	15,502	\$ _	\$ 385,097
Realized losses (a)		(10,594)		_	_	(10,594)
Reversal of prior period depreciation on realization (b)		9,141		_	_	9,141
Unrealized depreciation (b)		(2,067)		(2,703)	_	(4,770)
New investments, repayments and settlements, net (c)		(48,574)		733	_	(47,841)
Transfers in (out) of Level 3						
Fair value as of June 30, 2009	\$	317,501	\$	13,532	\$ 	\$ 331,033

⁽a) Included in net realized loss on investments and realized gain on settlement of derivative on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009.

20

Table of Contents

Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments

At June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, the Company held Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments in the aggregate of approximately \$317,501 and \$407,153, at fair value, respectively.

Control Investments

At June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, the Company held Control investments in the aggregate of approximately \$13,532 and \$780, at fair value, respectively. At June 30, 2009, the Control investments were comprised of BERTL, Inc. ("BERTL"), Lindmark Acquisition, LLC ("Lindmark"), LYP Holdings Corp. ("LYP Holdings"), U.S. Healthcare Communications, Inc. ("U.S. Healthcare") and Western Directories, Inc. ("Western Directories").

- · BERTL: The Company originally purchased a past due debt instrument in MCA Communications, LLC, and the Company accepted a deed in lieu of foreclosure in satisfaction of BERTL's obligations under the debt instrument on September 28, 2007. BERTL is a web-based evaluator of digital imaging products.
- Lindmark: On March 18, 2009, the Company acquired from the previous owner certain assets of Lindmark Outdoor Advertising, LLC, consisting of all tangible and intangible personal property. The Company acquired these assets through a newly formed subsidiary, Lindmark, and intends to continue the business under its control. Lindmark is a billboard advertising company.
- · LYP Holdings: On July 2, 2008, the Company acquired from the previous owner certain assets of LocalTel, Inc., consisting of all tangible and intangible personal property. The Company acquired these assets through a newly formed subsidiary, LYP Holdings, and intends to continue the business under its control. LYP Holdings is a publisher of community yellow page directories.
- U.S. Healthcare: The Company offered at public sale certain assets of U.S Healthcare Communications, LLC on January 30, 2008, consisting generally of all fixtures of tangible and intangible personal property. The Company acquired these assets in the sale through a newly formed subsidiary, U.S. Healthcare, and intends to continue the business under its control. U.S. Healthcare is a trade magazine operator.
- Western Directories: On August 27, 2008, the Company acquired from the previous owner certain assets of West Coast Yellow Pages, Inc., consisting of all tangible and intangible personal property. The Company acquired these assets through a newly formed subsidiary, Western Directories, and intends to continue the business under its control. Western Directories is a publisher of community yellow page directories.

Investment Concentrations

At June 30, 2009, the Company had aggregate investments in 51 portfolio companies and approximately 64.4% of the aggregate fair value of such investments was senior term debt, approximately 34.8% was senior subordinated term debt, no investments were in junior subordinated debt and approximately 0.8% was in equity securities. The following table outlines the Company's investments by type at June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008:

	June 30, 2009 Cost Fair Value			September 30, 2008					
	 Cost]	Fair Value		Cost	I	air Value		
Senior Term Debt	\$ 242,373	\$	213,330	\$	297,910	\$	265,297		

⁽b) Included in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009.

⁽c) Includes increases in the cost basis of investments resulting from new portfolio investments, the amortization of discounts, premiums and closing fees as well as decreases in the cost basis of investments resulting from principal repayments or sales.

Investments at fair value consisted of the following industry classifications as of June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008:

			June 30, 2009	9	September 30, 2008				
			Percentage	e		Percentag	e		
			Total	Net		Total	Net		
Industry Classification	F	air Value	Investments	Assets	Fair Value	Investments	Assets		
Aerospace & Defense	\$	1,927	0.6%	0.8%	\$ 4,192	1.0%	1.6%		
Automobile		4,195	1.3%	1.7%	5,055	1.2%	1.9%		
Broadcast (TV & Radio)		45,785	13.8%	18.3%	52,336	12.8%	19.2%		
Buildings & Real Estate		12,816	3.9%	5.1%	13,519	3.3%	5.0%		
Cargo Transport		5,306	1.6%	2.1%	15,805	3.9%	5.8%		
Chemicals, Plastics & Rubber		15,809	4.8%	6.3%	16,375	4.0%	6.0%		
Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing		1,886	0.6%	0.8%	3,195	0.8%	1.2%		
Diversified Natural Resources, Precious Metals &									
Minerals		13,588	4.1%	5.4%	12,936	3.2%	4.8%		
Electronics		27,466	8.3%	11.0%	35,208	8.6%	13.0%		
Farming & Agriculture		9,791	3.0%	3.9%	10,031	2.5%	3.7%		
Finance		_	_	_	1,812	0.4%	0.7%		
Healthcare, Education & Childcare		59,129	17.9%	23.7%	76,642	18.8%	28.2%		
Home & Office Furnishings		17,064	5.2%	6.8%	15,379	3.8%	5.7%		
Leisure, Amusement, Movies & Entertainment		5,886	1.8%	2.4%	8,097	2.0%	3.0%		
Machinery		9,334	2.8%	3.7%	9,834	2.4%	3.6%		
Mining, Steel, Iron & Non-Precious Metals		23,646	7.1%	9.5%	25,095	6.2%	9.2%		
Personal & Non-durable Consumer Products		8,856	2.7%	3.5%	9,703	2.4%	3.6%		
Printing & Publishing		44,736	13.4%	17.9%	60,440	14.8%	22.2%		
Retail Stores		23,350	7.0%	9.3%	22,800	5.6%	8.4%		
Textiles & Leather		463	0.1%	0.2%	9,479	2.3%	3.5%		
Total	\$	331,033	100.0%		\$ 407,933	100.0%			

The investments at fair value were included in the following geographic regions of the United States at June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008:

	June 30, 2009				September 30, 2008				
			Percentage				Percentage	,	
Geographic			Total	Net			Total	Net	
Region	Fai	ir Value	Investments	Assets	F	air Value	Investments	Assets	
Midwest	\$	179,076	54.1%	71.6%	\$	206,271	50.6%	69.4%	
West		66,673	20.1%	26.7%		85,294	20.9%	29.0%	
Southeast		35,828	10.8%	14.3%		49,374	12.1%	20.4%	
Mid-Atlantic		29,147	8.8%	11.7%		50,807	12.4%	14.7%	
Northeast		13,456	4.1%	5.4%		10,617	2.6%	4.3%	
U.S. Territory		6,853	2.1%	2.7%		5,570	1.4%	1.9%	
	\$	331,033	100.0%		\$	407,933	100.0 %		

The geographic region indicates the location of the headquarters for the Company's portfolio companies. A portfolio company may have a number of other business locations in other geographic regions.

22

Table of Contents

Investment Principal Repayments

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayment and maturity of the Company's investment portfolio by fiscal year, assuming no voluntary prepayments:

		A	Amount
For the remaining three months ending September 30:	2009	\$	11,465
For the fiscal year ending September 30:	2010		42,873
	2011		94,731
	2012		90,650
	2013		121,808
	2014		11,738
	Thereafter		6,851
	Total Contractual Repayments	\$	380,116
	Investments in equity securities		5,477
	Unamortized premiums, discounts and investment		
	acquisition costs on debt securities		535
	Total	\$	386,128

NOTE 4. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company provided loans to employees of the Adviser, who at the time of the loans were joint employees of the Company and either the Adviser or the Company's previous investment adviser, Gladstone Capital Advisers, Inc., for the exercise of options under the Amended and Restated 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, which has since been terminated and is no longer in operation. The loans require the quarterly payment of interest at the market rate in effect at the date of issue, have varying terms not exceeding ten years and have been recorded as a reduction of net assets. The loans are evidenced by full recourse notes that are due upon maturity or 60 days following termination of employment, and the shares of common stock purchased with the proceeds of the loan are posted as collateral. No new loans were issued during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 or June 30, 2008. The Company received \$4 and \$51 of principal repayments during the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, respectively. The Company recognized interest income from all employee stock option loans of \$118 and \$352 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$118 and \$354 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

Investment Advisory and Management Agreement

The Company is externally managed by the Adviser, which is controlled by its chairman and chief executive officer, under a contractual investment advisory agreement. On October 1, 2006, the Company entered into the investment advisory agreement (the "Advisory Agreement"). Under the Advisory Agreement, the Company pays the Adviser an annual base management fee of 2% of its average gross assets, which is defined as total assets less uninvested cash and cash equivalents resulting from borrowings calculated as of the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters. The Advisory Agreement also includes a two-part incentive fee. On July 8, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved the renewal of its Advisory Agreement with the Adviser through August 31, 2010.

23

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the management fees, incentive fees and associated credits reflected in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations:

	Three months ended			Nine months ended				
	June 30, June 30, 2009 2008		,		June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008		
Base management fee	\$	457	\$	529	\$	1,374	\$	1,664
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies		(2)		(348)		(87)		(1,320)
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated								
loans to 0.5% per annum		(51)		(97)		(254)		(299)
Net base management fee	\$	404	\$	84	\$	1,033	\$	45
Ü					_	·	_	
Incentive fee	\$	1,060	\$	1,340	\$	3,326	\$	4,089
Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser's board of directors		(1,060)		(1,340)		(3,326)		(4,089)
Net incentive fee	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	\$	(2)	\$	(348)	\$	(87)	\$	(1,380)
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated								
loans to 0.5% per annum		(51)		(97)		(254)		(299)
Incentive fee credit		(1,060)		(1,340)		(3,326)		(4,089)
Credit to base management and incentive fees from Adviser	\$	(1,113)	\$	(1,785)	\$	(3,667)	\$	(5,708)

Overall, the base management fee due to the Adviser cannot exceed 2% of total assets (as reduced by cash and cash equivalents pledged to creditors) during any given fiscal year. Amounts included in Due to Adviser in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities were as follows:

	Jui	1e 30,		
	2	009	Septem	ber 30, 2008
Unpaid base management fee to Adviser	\$	404	\$	83
Unpaid loan servicing fees to Adviser		296		374
Total Due to Adviser	\$	700	\$	457

Loan Servicing and Portfolio Company Fees

The Adviser also services the loans held by Business Loan, in return for which it receives a 1.5% annual fee based on the monthly aggregate outstanding balance of the loans pledged under the Company's line of credit. Since the Company owns these loans, all loan servicing fees paid to the Adviser are treated as reductions directly against the 2.0% base management fee under the Advisory Agreement. Effective in April 2006, the Adviser's board of directors voluntarily reduced the annual servicing fee rate on the senior syndicated loans to 0.5% and such reduction remained in effective through the quarter ended June 30, 2009. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, these loan servicing fees totaled \$1,410 and \$4,559, and \$1,598 and \$4,541, respectively, all of which were deducted against the 2% base management fee in order to derive the base management fee, which is presented as the line item Base management fee in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Administration Agreement

On October 1, 2006, the Company entered into an administration agreement (the "Administration Agreement") with Gladstone Administration, LLC (the "Administrator"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Adviser. Under the Administration Agreement, the Company pays separately for administrative services. The Company recorded an administration fee of \$218 and \$285 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$656 and \$737, for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, the Company owed \$218 and \$247, respectively, of unpaid administration fees to the Adviser, which is recorded in the Fee due to Administrator in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of assets and liabilities. On July 8, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved the renewal of its Administration Agreement with the Administrator through August 31, 2010.

24

Table of Contents

NOTE 5. LINE OF CREDIT

On May 15, 2009, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Gladstone Business Loan, LLC, entered into a third amended and restated credit agreement providing for a \$127,000 revolving line of credit arranged by Key Equipment Finance Inc. "(KEF") as administrative agent, replacing Deutsche Bank, A.G. as administrative agent (the "KEF Facility"). Branch Banking and Trust Company ("BB&T") also joined the KEF Facility as a committed lender. In connection with entering into the KEF Facility, the Company borrowed \$35,881 under the KEF Facility to make a final payment to Deutsche Bank, A.G. in satisfaction of all unpaid principal and interest owed to Deutsche Bank under the prior credit agreement. The KEF Facility may be expanded up to \$200,000 through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. Without the addition of other committed lenders, the KEF Facility provides a total commitment of \$127,000 through December 31, 2009, \$102,000 from January 1, 2010 to May 11,

2010 and \$77,000 thereafter. The KEF Facility matures on May 14, 2010 and, if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of the maturity date on May 14, 2011. Between the maturity date and May 14, 2011, the Company's lenders have the right to apply all interest income to amounts outstanding under the KEF Facility. Advances under the KEF Facility will generally bear interest at the 30-day LIBOR or the commercial paper rate (subject to a minimum rate of 2%), plus 4% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.75% per annum on undrawn amounts. As of June 30, 2009, there was approximately \$91,700 of borrowings outstanding under the KEF Facility at an average rate of 6.99%, and the remaining borrowing capacity under the KEF Facility was \$35,300. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under the KEF Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Loan. Interest is payable monthly during the term of the KEF Facility. After May 14, 2010, if the Facility is not renewed, all principal collections from the Company's loans are required to be used to pay outstanding principal on the KEF Facility.

The KEF Facility contains covenants that require Business Loan to maintain its status as a separate entity; prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions); and restrict material changes to the Company's credit and collection policies. The facility also limits payments of distributions. Further, the KEF Facility requires the Company to pay distributions only from estimated net investment income. As a result of that change from its prior credit facility, the Company reduced its distribution from 14 cents per share per month to 7 cents per share per month. See additional discussion in Note 9.

As of June 30, 2009, Business Loan was in compliance with all of the facility covenants. Additionally, during the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company elected to apply SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities*, specifically for its KEF Facility which requires the Company to apply a fair value methodology to the KEF Facility as of June 30, 2009, which is the period that this liability became eligible under SFAS No. 159. Due to the nature of the KEF Facility being a short-term agreement and the fact that interest is based on a variable interest rate, the Company's KEF Facility was fair valued at its approximate cost basis as of June 30, 2009

In conjunction with entering into the KEF Facility, the Company amended a performance guaranty, which remains substantially similar to the form under the previous facility. The loan documents require the Company to maintain a minimum net worth of \$200,000 plus 50% of all equity issuances after May 2009, to maintain "asset coverage" with respect to "senior securities representing indebtedness" of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act, and to maintain its status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of June 30, 2009, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the performance guaranty.

The Company's continued compliance with these covenants, however, depends on many factors, some of which are beyond the Company's control. In particular, depreciation in the valuation of its assets, which valuation is subject to changing market conditions that are presently very volatile, affects the Company's ability to comply with these covenants. Given the continued deterioration in the capital markets, net unrealized depreciation in the Company's portfolio may occur in future periods and threaten the Company's ability to comply with the covenants under the KEF Facility. Accordingly, there are no assurances that the Company will continue to comply with these covenants. Failure to comply with these covenants would result in a default which, if the Company is unable to obtain a waiver from the lenders, could accelerate the Company's repayment obligations under the KEF Facility and thereby have a material adverse impact on its liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions.

The KEF Facility matures on May 14, 2010, and, if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all unpaid principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of maturity. There can be no guarantee that the Company will be able to renew, extend or replace the KEF Facility on terms that are favorable to the Company, or at all. The Company's ability to obtain replacement financing will be constrained by current economic conditions affecting the credit markets, which have significantly deteriorated over the last several months and may decline further. Consequently, any renewal, extension or refinancing of the KEF Facility will likely result in significantly higher interest rates and related charges and may impose significant restrictions on the use of borrowed funds with regard to its ability to fund investments or maintain distributions. For instance, in connection with the establishment of the Company's KEF Facility in May 2009, the size of the line was reduced from \$162,000 under its prior facility to \$127,000 under its KEF Facility and Deutsche Bank A.G., who was a committed lender under its prior credit facility elected not to participate in the KEF Facility and withdrew its commitment. If the Company is not able to renew, extend or refinance the KEF Facility, this would likely

25

Table of Contents

have a material adverse effect on its liquidity and ability to fund new investments or pay distributions to its stockholders. The Company's inability to pay distributions could result in it failing to qualify as a RIC. Consequently, any income or gains could become taxable at corporate rates. If the Company is unable to secure replacement financing, it may be forced to sell certain assets on disadvantageous terms, which may result in realized losses such as those recently recorded in connection with the syndicated loan sales, which resulted in a realized loss of approximately \$10,594 during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Such realized losses could materially exceed the amount of any unrealized depreciation on these assets as of the Company's most recent balance sheet date, which would have a material adverse effect on its results of operations. In addition to selling assets, or as an alternative, the Company may issue equity in order to repay amounts outstanding under the KEF Facility. Based on the recent trading prices of its stock, such an equity offering may have a substantial dilutive impact on the Company's existing stockholders' interest in the Company's earnings and assets and voting interest in it

NOTE 6. INTEREST RATE CAP AGREEMENT

Pursuant to the its previous revolving credit facility (the "DB Facility"), the Company had an interest rate cap agreement, with an initial notional amount of \$35,000 at a cost of \$304 that effectively limited the interest rate on a portion of the borrowings under the line of credit. The interest rate cap agreement expired in February 2009.

At September 30, 2008, the interest rate cap agreement had a nominal fair market value, which is recorded in other assets on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of assets and liabilities. The Company recorded changes in the fair market value of the interest rate cap agreement monthly based on the current market valuation at month end as unrealized depreciation or appreciation on derivative on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations. The agreement provided that the Company's floating interest rate or cost of funds on a portion of the portfolio's borrowings would be capped at 5% when the LIBOR rate was in excess of 5%. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recorded \$0 and \$304 of loss from the interest rate cap agreement, respectively, recorded as a realized loss on the settlement of derivative on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2008, the Company recorded \$0 and \$6 of income from the interest rate cap agreement, recorded as a realized gain on the settlement of derivative on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

NOTE 7. COMMON STOCK TRANSACTIONS

Transactions in common stock were as follows:

	Shares	Total Value
Balance at September 30, 2008	21,087,574	\$ 334,164
Shelf offering costs	_	(3)
Balance at June 30, 2009	21,087,574	\$ 334,161

NOTE 8. NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net increase (decrease) in net assets per common share resulting from operations per share for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

Three months ended June 30,	Nine months ended June 30,

	 2009		2008	 2009	2008
Numerator for basic and diluted net (decrease) increase in net	 				
assets resulting from operations per share	\$ (788)	\$	2,809	\$ 389	\$ (7,194)
Denominator for basic and diluted shares	21,087,574		21,087,574	21,087,574	19,237,203
Basic and diluted net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting	 				
from operations per common share	\$ (0.04)	\$	0.13	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.37)
	 	_		 	

26

Table of Contents

NOTE 9. DISTRIBUTIONS

Distributions

The following table lists the per share distributions paid for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

		D 1D (
Fiscal Year	Record Date	Payment Date	pe	r Share	
2009	June 22, 2009	June 30, 2009	\$	0.07	
	May 29, 2009	June 11, 2009	\$	0.07	
	April 27, 2009	May 8, 2009	\$	0.07	
	March 23, 2009	March 30, 2009	\$	0.14	
	February 19, 2009	February 27, 2009	\$	0.14	
	January 22, 2009	January 31, 2009	\$	0.14	
	December 22, 2008	December 31, 2008	\$	0.14	
	November 19, 2008	November 28, 2008	\$	0.14	
	October 23, 2008	October 23, 2008 October 31, 2008		0.14	
		Total	\$	1.05	
			-		
2008	June 20, 2008	June 30, 2008	\$	0.14	
	May 21, 2008	May 30, 2008	\$	0.14	
	April 22, 2008	April 30, 2008	\$	0.14	
	March 21, 2008	March 31, 2008	\$	0.14	
	February 21, 2008	February 29, 2008	\$	0.14	
	January 23, 2008	January 31, 2008	\$	0.14	
	December 20, 2007	December 31, 2007	\$	0.14	
	November 21, 2007	November 30, 2007	\$	0.14	
	October 23, 2007	October 31, 2007	\$	0.14	
		Total	\$	1.26	

Aggregate distributions declared and paid for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$22,142 and \$24,523, respectively. All distributions were declared based on estimates of net investment income for the respective fiscal years, and some of the distributions include a return of capital.

The timing and characterization of certain income and capital gains distributions are determined annually in accordance with federal tax regulations which may differ from GAAP. These differences primarily relate to items recognized as income for financial statement purposes and realized gains for tax purposes. As a result, net investment income and net realized gain (loss) on investment transactions for a reporting period may differ significantly from distributions during such period. Accordingly, the Company may periodically make reclassifications among certain of its capital accounts without impacting the net asset value of the Company.

Section 19(a) Disclosure

The Company's Board of Directors estimates the source of the distributions at the time of their declaration as required by Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act. On a monthly basis, if required under Section 19(a), the Company posts a Section 19(a) notice through the Depository Trust Company's Legal Notice System ("LENS") and also sends to its registered stockholders a written Section 19(a) notice along with the payment of distributions for any payment which includes a distribution estimated to be paid from any other source other than net investment income. The estimates of the source of the distribution are interim estimates based on GAAP that are subject to revision, and the exact character of the distributions for tax purposes cannot be determined until the books and records of the Company are finalized for the calendar year. Following the calendar year end, after definitive information has been determined by the Company, if the Company has made distributions of taxable income (or return of capital), the Company will deliver a Form 1099-DIV to its stockholders specifying such amount and the tax characterization of such amount. Therefore, these estimates are made solely in order to comply with the requirements of Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act and should not be relied upon for tax reporting or any other purposes and could differ significantly from the actual character of distributions for tax purposes.

27

Table of Contents

The following GAAP estimates were made by the Board of Directors during the quarter ended June 30, 2009:

Month Ended	Ordinar	y Income	Return of Capital			Total Distribution
June 30, 2009	\$	0.073	\$	(0.003)	\$	0.070
May 31, 2009		0.090		(0.020)		0.070
April 30, 2009		0.090		(0.020)		0.070

Because the Board of Directors declares distributions at the beginning of a quarter, it is difficult to estimate how much of the Company's monthly distributions, based on GAAP, will come from ordinary income, capital gains and returns of capital. Subsequent to the quarter ended June 30, 2009, the following corrections were made to the above listed estimates for that quarter:

Month Ended	Ordinar	ary Income Return of Capital		To	otal Distribution	
June 30, 2009	<u> </u>	0.070	\$	_	\$	0.070
May 31, 2009		0.090		(0.020)		0.070
April 30, 2009		0.097		(0.027)		0.070

For distributions declared subsequent to quarter end, the following estimates, based on GAAP, have been made pursuant to Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act:

Month Ended	Ordina	Ordinary Income		Ordinary Income		Return of Capital		otal Distribution
September 30, 2009	\$	0.086	\$	(0.016)	\$	0.070		
August 31, 2009		0.087		(0.017)		0.070		
July 31, 2009		0.089		(0.019)		0.070		

NOTE 10. COMMITMENT AND CONTINGENCIES

At June 30, 2009, the Company was not party to any signed term sheets for potential investments.

NOTE 11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company evaluated all events that have occurred subsequent to June 30, 2009 through the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q on August 3, 2009.

Distributions

In July 2009, the Company's Board of Directors declared the following monthly cash distributions:

		Dis	tribution
Record Date	Payment Date	pe	r Share
September 22, 2009	September 30, 2009	\$	0.070
August 21, 2009	August 31, 2009	\$	0.070
July 23, 2009	July 31, 2009	\$	0.070

Investment Activity

Subsequent to June 30, 2009, the Company extended approximately \$230 of revolver draws and additional investments to existing portfolio companies. The Company also received approximately \$8,644 from scheduled and unscheduled loan repayments and syndicated loan sales.

The Company entered into an agreement to sell the John Henry syndicated loan that was held in its portfolio of investments at June 30, 2009 to an investor in the syndicated loan market. The loan had a cost value of approximately \$8,000 and fair value of \$6,400. On August 3, 2009, the Company closed the sale of the loan for \$6,389 in net proceeds. This loan is included in the Company's condensed consolidated assets as of June 30, 2009 and the loan is valued at the sales price.

Renewal of Advisory Agreement

On July 8, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved the renewal of the Advisory Agreement with the Adviser and its Administration Agreement with the Administrator through August 31, 2010.

28

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data or unless otherwise indicated)

All statements contained herein, other than historical facts, may constitute "forward-looking statements." These statements may relate to, among other things, future events or our future performance or financial condition. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "might," "believe," "will," "provided," "anticipate," "future," "could," "growth," "plan," "intend," "expect," "should," "would," "fi," "seek," "possible," "potential," "likely" or the negative of such terms or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this Form 10-Q.

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report and our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

OVERVIEW

General

We were incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Maryland on May 30, 2001. Our investment objectives are to achieve a high level of current income by investing in debt securities, consisting primarily of senior notes, senior subordinated notes and junior subordinated notes, of established private businesses that are substantially owned by leveraged buyout funds, individual investors or are family-owned businesses, with a particular focus on senior notes. In addition, we may acquire from others existing loans that meet this profile. We also seek to provide our stockholders with long-term capital growth through the appreciation in the value of warrants or other equity instruments that we may receive when we make loans. We operate as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company, and have elected to be treated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act"). In addition, for tax purposes we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code").

We seek out small and medium-sized private U.S. businesses that meet certain criteria, including some but not all of the following: the potential for growth in cash flow, adequate assets for loan collateral, experienced management teams with a significant ownership interest in the borrower, profitable operations based on the borrower's cash flow, reasonable capitalization of the borrower (usually by leveraged buyout funds or venture capital funds) and the potential to realize appreciation and gain liquidity in our equity position, if any. We anticipate that liquidity in our equity position will be achieved through a merger or acquisition of the borrower, a public offering of the borrower's stock or by exercising our right to require the borrower to repurchase our warrants, though there can be no assurance that we will always have these rights. We lend to borrowers that need funds to finance growth, restructure their balance sheets or effect a change of control.

Business Environment

The current economic conditions generally and the disruptions in the capital markets in particular have decreased liquidity and increased our cost of debt and equity capital, where available. The longer these conditions persist, the greater the probability that these factors could continue to increase our cost and significantly limit our access to debt and equity capital, and thus have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results. Many of the companies in which we have made or will make investments may also be susceptible to the economic downturn, which may affect the ability of one or more of our portfolio companies to repay our loans or engage in a liquidity event, such as a sale, recapitalization or initial public offering. An economic downturn could also disproportionately impact some of the industries in which we invest, causing us to be more vulnerable to losses in our portfolio. Therefore, the number of our non-performing assets are likely to increase and the fair market value of our portfolio is likely to decrease during these periods. We do not know when market conditions will stabilize, if adverse conditions will intensify or the full extent to which the disruptions will affect us. If

market instability persists or intensifies, we may experience difficulty in raising capital.

Challenges in the current market are intensified for us by certain regulatory limitations under the Code and the 1940 Act, as well as contractual restrictions under the agreement governing our credit facility that further constrain our ability to access the capital markets. To maintain our qualification as a RIC, we must satisfy, among other requirements, an annual distribution requirement to pay out at least 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains to our stockholders on an annual basis. Because we are required to

29

Table of Contents

distribute our income in this manner, and because the illiquidity of many of our investments makes it difficult for us to finance new investments through the sale of current investments, our ability to make new investments is highly dependent upon external financing. Our external financing sources include the issuance of equity securities, debt securities or other leverage such as borrowings under our line of credit. Our ability to seek external debt financing, to the extent that it is available under current market conditions, is further subject to the asset coverage limitations of the 1940 Act, which require us to have at least a 200% asset coverage ratio, meaning generally that for every dollar of debt, we must have two dollars of assets.

Recent market conditions have also affected the trading price of our common stock and thus our ability to finance new investments through the issuance of equity. On July 31, 2009, the closing market price of our common stock was \$10.02, which price represented a 16% discount to our June 30, 2009 net asset value ("NAV") per share. When our stock is trading below NAV, as it has consistently traded subsequent to September 30, 2008, our ability to issue equity is constrained by provisions of the 1940 Act which generally prohibit the issuance and sale of our common stock below NAV per share without stockholder approval other than through sales to our then-existing stockholders pursuant to a rights offering. At our annual meeting of stockholders held on February 19, 2009, stockholders approved a proposal which authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per share for a period of one year from the date of approval, provided that our Board of Directors makes certain determinations prior to any such sale.

The economic downturn may also continue to decrease the value of collateral securing some of our loans, as well as the value of our equity investments, which has impacted and may continue to impact our ability to borrow under our credit facility. For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded net unrealized appreciation on our portfolio of investments of \$194. We may see decreases in the value of our portfolio, which will further limit our ability to borrow under our current credit facility. Additionally, our credit facility contains covenants regarding the maintenance of certain minimum net worth requirements which are affected by the decrease in value of our portfolio. Failure to meet these requirements would result in a default which, if we are unable to obtain a waiver from our lenders, would result in the acceleration of our repayment obligations under the credit facility.

We expect that, given these regulatory and contractual constraints in combination with current market conditions, debt and equity capital may be costly or difficult for us to access for some time. For so long as this is the case, our near-term strategy will be focused primarily on retaining capital and building the value of our existing portfolio companies to increase the likelihood of maintaining potential future returns. We will also, where prudent and possible, consider the sale of lower-yielding investments. This has resulted, and may continue to result, in significantly reduced investment activity, as our ability to make new investments under these conditions is largely dependent on availability of proceeds from the sale or exit of existing portfolio investments, which events may be beyond our control. As capital constraints improve, we intend to continue our strategy of making conservative investments in businesses that we believe will weather the current economy and that are likely to produce attractive long-term returns for our stockholders.

Use of Internally-Developed Discount Cash Flow Methodologies

Given the continued economic downturn, the market for syndicated loans has become increasingly illiquid with limited or no transactions for many of those securities which we hold. The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Staff Position 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active ("FSP No. 157-3"), provides guidance on the use of a discounted cash flow ("DCF") methodology to value investments in an illiquid market. Under FSP No. 157-3, indications of an illiquid market include cases where the volume and level of trading activity in the asset have declined significantly, the available prices vary significantly over time or amongst market participants, or the prices are not current. The marketplace for which we obtain indicative bids for purposes of determining fair value for our syndicated loan investments have recently shown these attributes of illiquidity. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, our valuation procedures specify the use of third-party indicative bid quotes for valuing syndicated loans where there is a liquid public market for those loans and market pricing quotes are readily available. However, due to the market illiquidity and the lack of transactions during the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we determined that the current agent bank non-binding indicative bids for the majority of our syndicated loans were unreliable and alternative procedures would need to be performed until liquidity returns to the marketplace. As such, we have valued the syndicated loans that were not sold subsequent to June 30, 2009 using a DCF method for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. As of June 30, 2009, the portion of our investment portfolio that was valued using DCF was approximately \$12,617, or 3.8% of the fair value of our total portfolio of investments.

30

Table of Contents

Investment Highlights

Purchases: During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we extended \$24,711 of investments to existing portfolio companies through revolver draws or the additions of new term notes. The most substantial investments were to United Maritime, Saunders & Associates, Lindmark, VantaCore and GFRC.

Repayments: During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, three borrowers refinanced their loans for \$14,075, three borrowers made unscheduled payoffs of \$8,653, one borrower made an unscheduled partial payoff of \$2,500, and we experienced contractual amortization, revolver repayments and some principal payments received ahead of schedule for an aggregate of \$18,191, for total principal repayments of \$43,419.

Sales: During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 1 non-syndicated loan and 16 syndicated loans (which resulted in our exit from 7 portfolio companies) for an aggregate of \$41,962 in net proceeds.

Since our initial public offering in August 2001, we have made 260 different loans to, or investments in, 126 companies for a total of approximately \$952,013, before giving effect to principal repayments on investments and divestitures.

Financing Highlights

On May 15, 2009 we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary Gladstone Business Loan, LLC ("Business Loan"), entered into a third amended and restated credit agreement providing for a \$127,000 revolving line of credit arranged by Key Equipment Finance Inc. as administrative agent, replacing Deutsche Bank, A.G. as administrative agent (the "KEF Facility"). Branch Banking and Trust Company ("BB&T") also joined the KEF Facility as a committed lender. In connection with entering into the KEF Facility, we borrowed \$35,881 under the KEF Facility to make a final payment to Deutsche Bank, A.G. in satisfaction of all unpaid principal and interest owed to Deutsche Bank under the prior credit agreement. The KEF Facility may be expanded up to \$200,000 through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. Without the addition of other committed lenders, the KEF Facility provides a total commitment of \$127,000 through December 31, 2009, \$102,000 from January 1, 2010 to May 11, 2010, and \$77,000

thereafter. The KEF Facility matures on May 14, 2010, and if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of the maturity date on May 14, 2011. Advances under the KEF Facility will generally bear interest at the 30-day LIBOR or commercial paper rate (subject to a minimum rate of 2%), plus 4% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.75% per annum on undrawn amounts. As of June 30, 2009, there was approximately \$91,700 of borrowings outstanding on the KEF Facility at an average rate of 6.99%, and the remaining borrowing capacity under the KEF Facility was \$35,300. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under the KEF Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Loan. Interest is payable monthly during the term of the KEF Facility. After May 14, 2010, if the KEF Facility is not renewed, all principal collections from our loans are required to be used to pay outstanding principal on the KEF Facility.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we elected to apply SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities*, specifically for our KEF Facility, which requires us to apply a fair value methodology to the KEF Facility as of June 30, 2009, which is the period that this liability became eligible under SFAS No. 159. Due to the nature of the KEF Facility being a short-term agreement and the fact that interest is based on a variable interest rate, the KEF Facility was fair valued at its approximate cost basis as of June 30, 2009.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008

Investment Income

Investment income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$10,598, as compared to \$11,420 for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

Interest income from our aggregate investment portfolio was \$10,480 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to \$11,236 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the balance, at cost, of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. Interest income from our investments decreased \$756, or 6.7%, during the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the prior year period. This decrease was based on the overall reduction in the cost basis of our investments, resulting primarily from the sale of 15 syndicated loans and one-non syndicated loan during the quarter, partially

31

Table of Contents

offset by a slight increase in the weighted average yield on our portfolio. The annualized weighted average yield on our portfolio was 10.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 10.1% for the prior year period. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, three investments, for an aggregate of approximately \$10,659 at cost, or 2.8% of the aggregate cost of our investment portfolio, were on non-accrual and during the prior year period, three investments, for an aggregate of approximately \$8,424 at cost, or 1.9% of the aggregate cost of our investment portfolio, were on non-accrual.

Interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments was \$9,726 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to \$11,220 for the comparable prior year period. This decrease resulted from an overall decrease in the aggregate Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments held at June 30, 2009 compared to the prior year period. The success fees earned during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, included in interest income, were \$123 and \$0, respectively. Success fees earned during the three months ended June 30, 2009 resulted from a refinancing by ActivStyle.

Interest income from Control investments was \$754 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to \$16 in the comparable prior year period. The increase is attributable to three additional Control investments held during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, which were converted from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments, as compared to the prior year period.

The following table lists the interest income from investments for the five largest portfolio companies during the respective periods:

Three months ended June 30, 2009

Company	Interest Income	% of Total
Sunshine Media	\$ 839	8.0%
Reliable Biopharma	768	7.3 %
Westlake Hardware	600	5.7%
Clinton Aluminum	470	4.5%
VantaCore	418	4.0%
Subtotal	3,095	29.5 %
Other companies	7,385	70.5 %
Total interest income	\$ 10,480	100.0 %

Three months ended June 30, 2008

	I	nterest	% of
Company	1	Income	Total
Reliable Biopharma	\$	758	6.7%
Sunshine Media		693	6.2 %
Defiance Acquisition		674	6.0%
Westlake Hardware		521	4.6%
Clinton Aluminum		470	4.2 %
Subtotal	\$	3,116	27.7 %
Other companies		8,120	72.3 %
Total interest income	\$	11,236	100.0 %

Interest income from invested cash for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was nominal, as compared to \$38 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Interest income decreased from the prior year due to the amount of cash that was held in interest bearing accounts and the interest earned on our custodial account prior to disbursement.

Interest income from loans to our employees, in connection with the exercise of employee stock options, for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, was \$118.

Prepayment fees and other income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$0 compared to \$28 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The income for the prior

period consisted of prepayment penalty fees received upon the full repayment of certain loan investments ahead of contractual maturity.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, net of credits from the Adviser for fees earned and voluntary and irrevocable waivers to the base management and incentive fees, were \$5,163 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to \$4,723 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2009 reflected an increase in amortization of deferred financing fees incurred in connection with the previous revolving credit facility with Deutsche Bank AG (the "DB Facility") and the new KEF Facility.

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$1,811, as compared to \$1,771 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. This increase is primarily a result of higher weighted average annual interest cost, which is determined by using the annual stated interest rate plus commitment and other fees, plus the amortization of deferred financing fees divided by the weighted average debt outstanding.

Loan servicing fees for the three months ended June 30, 2009 were \$1,410, as compared to \$1,598 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. These fees were incurred in connection with a loan servicing agreement between Business Loan and our Adviser, which is based on the size of the portfolio.

32

Table of Contents

Base management fee for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$457, as compared to \$529 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The base management fee is computed quarterly as described under "Investment Advisory and Management Agreement" in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on December 2, 2008, and is summarized in the table below:

	Three months ended			ded
	June	30, 2009		June 30, 2008
Base management fee (1)	\$	457	\$	529
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies		(2)		(348)
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum (2)		(51)		(97)
Net base management fee	\$	404	\$	84

(1) Base management fee is net of loan servicing fees per the terms of the Amended Advisory Agreement.

(2) The board of our Adviser voluntarily and irrevocably waived, for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the annual 2.0% base management fee to 0.5% for senior syndicated loan participations.

Incentive fee for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$1,060, as compared to \$1,340 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The board of our Adviser waived the entire incentive fee for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. The incentive fee and associated credits are summarized in the table below:

		Three months ended		
	June	30, 2009	Ju	ine 30, 2008
Incentive fee	\$	1,060	\$	1,340
Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser's board of directors		(1,060)		(1,340)
Net incentive fee	\$		\$	

Administration fee for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$218 as compared to \$285 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in the current year period is due to a decrease of administration staff and related expenses, as well as a decrease in our total assets in comparison to the total assets of all companies managed by our Adviser under similar agreements. The calculation of the administrative fee is described in detail above under "Investment Advisory and Management Agreement" in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on December 2, 2008.

Other operating expenses (including professional fees, deferred financing fees, stockholder related costs, director's fees, insurance and other direct expenses) increased over the prior year period driven by amortization of additional fees incurred with amending our previous Deutsche Bank facility and entering into the new KEF Facility and legal fees incurred in connection with troubled loans in the current period.

Net Realized Loss on Investments

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we realized a net loss of \$10,594, as compared to a net loss of \$86 for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The loss in the current period resulted from the sale of 15 syndicated loans and one non-syndicated loan.

Realized (Loss) Gain on Settlement of Derivative

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we did not realize a gain or loss on settlement of derivative as the interest rate cap expired in February 2009 and we therefore did not receive any interest rate cap agreement payments during that period. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we received a nominal interest rate cap agreement payments as a result of the one-month LIBOR having a downward trend. We received payments when the one-month LIBOR was over 5%.

Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivative

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on derivative is the net change in the fair value of our interest rate cap during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are realized. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, we did not record unrealized appreciation on derivative as the interest rate cap expired in February 2009. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded a nominal unrealized depreciation due to a decrease in the fair market value of our interest rate cap agreement.

33

Table of Contents

Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Investments

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are realized. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded net unrealized appreciation on investments of \$4,371, as compared to unrealized depreciation of (\$3,802) for the prior year period. The unrealized appreciation in the current period is mainly

attributable to the following:

•	Control investments	\$ (2,703)
•	Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	(2,067)
•	Reversal of previously unrealized depreciation upon realization of losses	9,141
	Total	\$ 4,371

Net (Decrease) Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations

Overall, we realized a net decrease in net assets resulting from operations of \$788 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Based on a weighted-average of 21,087,574 basic and diluted common shares outstanding, our net increase in net assets from operations per weighted-average common share for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$0.04, basic and diluted. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, we realized a net increase in net assets resulting from operations of \$2,809. Based on a weighted-average of 21,087,574 basic and diluted common shares outstanding, our net increase in net assets from operations per weighted-average common share for the three months ended June 30, 2008 was \$0.13 basic and diluted.

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2009 to the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2008

Investment Income

Investment income for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$33,335, as compared to \$34,172 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008.

Interest income from our aggregate investment portfolio was \$32,972 for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to \$33,499 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the balance, at cost, of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average yield varies from period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments and the amounts of loans for which interest is not accruing. Interest income from our investments decreased \$527, or 1.6%, during the nine months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the prior year period. This decrease was based on the overall reduction in the cost basis of our investments, resulting primarily from the sale of 16 syndicated loans and one-non syndicated loan during the period, as well as a slight decrease in the weighted average yield on our portfolio. The annualized weighted average yield on our portfolio was 10.0% for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 10.5% for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, three investments were on non-accrual , for an aggregate of approximately \$10,659 at cost, or 2.8% of the aggregate cost of our investment portfolio and during the prior year period, three investments were on non-accrual for an aggregate of approximately \$8,424 at fair value, or 1.9% of the aggregate fair value of our investment portfolio.

Interest income from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments was \$31,716 for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to \$33,454 for the comparable prior year period. This decrease resulted from an overall decrease in the aggregate Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments held at June 30, 2009 compared to the prior year period. The success fees earned during the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, included in interest income, were \$145 and \$846, respectively. Success fees earned during the nine months ended June 30, 2009 resulted from refinancings by ActivStyle and It's Just Lunch. Success fees earned during the nine months ended June 30, 2008 resulted from refinancings by Defiance and Westlake Hardware.

Interest income from Control investments was \$1,256 for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to \$45 in the comparable prior year period. The increase is attributable to three additional Control investments held during the nine months ended June 30, 2009, which were converted from Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments, as compared to the prior year period.

34

Table of Contents

The following table lists the interest income from investments for the five largest portfolio companies during the respective periods:

Nine months ended June 30, 2009

Company	Interest Income	% of Total
Sunshine Media	\$ 2,506	7.6%
Reliable Biopharma	2,298	7.0%
Westlake Hardware	1,810	5.5%
Clinton Aluminum	1,411	4.3 %
VantaCore	1,276	3.9%
Subtotal	\$ 9,301	28.2 %
Other companies	23,671	71.8 %
Total interest income	\$ 32,972	100.0 %

Nine months ended June 30, 2008

	Interest	% of
Company	Income	Total
Westlake Hardware	\$ 2,245	6.7%
Sunshine Media	2,096	6.3 %
Reliable Biopharma	2,090	6.2 %
Clinton Aluminum	1,427	4.3 %
Defiance Acquisition	1,071	3.2%
Subtotal	\$ 8,929	26.7 %
Other companies	24,570	73.3 %
Total interest income	\$ 33,499	100.0 %

Interest income from invested cash for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$11, as compared to \$285 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. Interest income came from the following sources:

		Nine months ended		
	June 30, 2009 June 3		June 30, 2008	
Interest earned on Gladstone Capital account (1)	\$		\$	50
Interest earned on Business Loan custodial account (2)		10		164
Interest earned on Gladstone Financial account (3)		1		71

\$ 11	\$ 285

- (1) Interest earned on our Gladstone Capital account during the nine months ended June 30, 2008 resulted from proceeds received from the equity offerings done during the nine month period that were held in the account prior to being invested or used to pay down the line of credit.
- (2) Interest earned on our Business Loan custodial account during the nine months ended June 30, 2008 resulted from large cash amounts held in the account prior to disbursement. During this nine month period, we had \$107,442 of originations to new portfolio companies.
- (3) Interest earned on our Gladstone Financial account during the nine months ended June 30, 2008 resulted from the U.S. Treasury bill that was held with an original maturity of six months.

Interest income from loans to our employees, in connection with the exercise of employee stock options, for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, was \$352 and \$354, respectively.

Prepayment fees and other income for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$0 compared to \$34 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. The income for the prior period consisted of prepayment penalty fees received upon the full repayment of certain loan investments ahead of contractual maturity.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, net of credits from the Adviser for fees earned and voluntary and irrevocable waivers to the base management and incentive fees, were \$16,463 for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to \$13,729 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. Operating expenses for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 reflected a significant increase in amortization of deferred financing fees incurred connection with the DB Facility and the new KEF Facility.

Interest expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$6,288, as compared to \$5,982 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. This increase is primarily a result of higher weighted average annual interest cost, which is determined by using the annual stated interest rate plus commitment and other fees, plus the amortization of deferred financing fees divided by the weighted average debt outstanding.

Loan servicing fees for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 were \$4,559, as compared to \$4,541 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. These fees were incurred in connection with a loan servicing agreement between Business Loan and our Adviser, which is based on the size of the portfolio.

Base management fee for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$1,374 as compared to \$1,664 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. The base management fee is computed quarterly as described under "Investment Advisory and Management Agreement" in

35

Table of Contents

Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on December 2, 2008, and is summarized in the table below:

	Nine months ended			nded
	Jui	ne 30, 2009		June 30, 2008
Base management fee (1)	\$	1,374	\$	1,664
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies		(87)		(1,320)
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum (2)		(254)		(299)
Net base management fee	\$	1,033	\$	45

- (1) Base management fee is net of loan servicing fees per the terms of the Amended Advisory Agreement.
- (2) The board of our Adviser voluntarily and irrevocably waived, for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the annual 2.0% base management fee to 0.5% for senior syndicated loan participations.

Incentive fee for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$3,326, as compared to \$4,089 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. The board of our Adviser waived the entire incentive fee for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008. The incentive fee and associated credits are summarized in the table below:

	Nine months ended		ided	
	June	30, 2009		June 30, 2008
Incentive fee	\$	3,326	\$	4,089
Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser's board of directors		(3,326)		(4,089)
Net incentive fee	\$		\$	

Administration fee for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$656 as compared to \$737 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease is due to a decrease of administration staff and related expenses, as well as a decrease in our total assets in comparison to the total assets of all companies managed by our Adviser under similar agreements. The calculation of the administrative fee is described in detail above under "Investment Advisory and Management Agreement" in Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on December 2, 2008.

Other operating expenses (including professional fees, deferred financing fees, stockholder related costs, director's fees, insurance and other direct expenses) increased over the prior year period driven by amortization of additional fees incurred with amending the DB Facility and entering into the new KEF Facility and legal fees incurred in connection with troubled loans in the current period.

Net Realized Loss on Investments

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we realized a net loss of \$14,325, as compared to a loss of \$86 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. The loss in the current period consisted of \$12,352 loss from the sale of 16 syndicated loans and one non-syndicated loan and a \$2,000 write-off of a portion of the Greatwide second lien syndicated loan, partially offset by a \$27 gain from the Country Road payoff.

Realized (Loss) Gain on Settlement of Derivative

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we realized a loss of \$304 due to the expiration of the interest rate cap in February 2009. We did not receive any interest rate cap agreement payments during that period as a result of the one-month LIBOR having a downward trend. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we received interest rate cap agreement payments of only \$6 as a result of the one-month LIBOR having a downward trend. We receive payments when the one-month LIBOR is over 5%.

months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded unrealized depreciation of \$12 due to a decrease in the fair market value of our interest rate cap agreement.

Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Investments

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are realized. For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded net unrealized depreciation on investments of \$2,158, as compared to net unrealized depreciation of \$27,545, for the prior year period. The unrealized depreciation is mainly attributable to the net decrease in fair value on our portfolio, most notably in the following:

Control investments	\$ 10
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	(14,454)
Reversal of previously unrealized depreciation upon realization of losses	12,286
Total	\$ (2,158)

We believe that our investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value due primarily to the general instability of the loan markets. Although our investment portfolio has depreciated, our entire portfolio was fair valued at 86% of cost as of June 30, 2009. The unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution.

Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Resulting from Operations

Overall, we realized a net increase in net assets resulting from operations of \$389 for the nine months ended June 30, 2009. Based on a weighted-average of 21,087,574 basic and diluted common shares outstanding, our net decrease in net assets from operations per weighted-average common share for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$0.02, basic and diluted. For the nine months ended June 30, 2008, we realized a net decrease in net assets resulting from operations of \$7,194. Based on a weighted-average of 19,237,203 basic and diluted common shares outstanding, our net decrease in net assets from operations per weighted-average common share for the nine months ended June 30, 2008 was \$0.37 basic and diluted.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (dollar amounts in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)

Operating Activities

At June 30, 2009, we had investments in debt securities of, or loans to, 51 private companies, totaling approximately \$386,128 (cost basis) of total assets. During the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, the following investment activity occurred:

Quarter Ended	Inve	New estments (1)	F	Principal Repayments (2)	Investments Sold	Net Loss on Disposal
June 30, 2009	\$	7,582	\$	15,439	\$ 39,750	\$ (10,594)
March 31, 2009		8,427		13,053	_	(2,000)
December 31, 2008		8,702		14,927	2,212	(1,731)
	\$	24,711	\$	43,419	\$ 41,962	\$ (14,325)
June 30, 2008	\$	43,678	\$	40,755	\$ _	\$ (86)
March 31, 2008		20,483		3,000	_	_
December 31, 2007		73,341		4,047	_	_
	\$	137,502	\$	47,802	\$ _	\$ (86)

(1) New Investments

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we extended \$24,711 of investments to existing portfolio companies through revolver draws or the additions of new term notes. The most substantial investments were to United Maritime, Saunders & Associates, Lindmark, VantaCore and GFRC.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2008, we extended, directly or through participations, \$107,442 of loan originations to nine new portfolio companies, in one instance receiving a common equity warrant, and \$30,060 of investments to existing portfolio companies through revolver draws or the additions of new term notes, for total new investments of \$137,502. The originations of loans to new portfolio companies by quarter were as follows:

37

Table of Contents

Quarter ended	Originations	Companies	Description
June 30, 2008	\$ 35,750	3	Saunders, Legend and BAS Broadcasting
March 31, 2008	13,700	1	ACE Expediters
December 31, 2007	57,992	5	Interfilm, Reliable, Lindmark, GS Maritime and GFRC
Total	\$ 107,442	9	

(2) Principal Repayments

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, three borrowers refinanced their loans for \$14,075, three borrowers made unscheduled payoffs of \$8,653, one borrower made an unscheduled partial payoff of \$2,500, and we experienced contractual amortization, revolver repayments and some principal payments received ahead of schedule of \$18,191, for total principal repayments of \$43,419. The refinancings/payoffs by quarter were as follows:

Quarter ended	Repayments	Companies	Description

June 30, 2009	80, 2009 Payoff		ine 30, 2009 Payoff		Multi-Ag Media
	Partial payoff	1	Saunders & Associates Line of Credit		
	Refinancing	1	ActivStyle		
March 31, 2009	Refinancing	2	ACE Expediters and Sunburst Media		
December 31, 2008	Payoff	2	Country Road and Community Media		

During the nine months ended June 30, 2008, three borrowers made payments in full ahead of contractual maturity for an aggregate of \$25,073, two borrowers made unscheduled partial payoffs for an aggregate of \$3,560, and we experienced contractual amortization, revolver repayments and some principal payments received ahead of schedule of an aggregate \$19,169, for total principal repayments of \$47,802. The total and partial payoffs by quarter were as follows:

Quarter ended	Repayments	Companies	Description
June 30, 2008	Repaid in full ahead	3	Reading Broadcasting, Macfadden Performing Arts and SCS Acquisition
	of maturity		Corp.
	Partial payoff	1	Anitox – Senior Real Estate Term Debt
March 31, 2008	Partial payoff	1	Risk Metrics - Senior Subordinated Term Debt
December 31, 2007	None	_	

(3) Investments Sold

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 1 non-syndicated loan and 16 syndicated loans (which resulted in our exit from 7 portfolio companies) for an aggregate of \$41,962 in net proceeds. The sales by quarter were as follows:

Quarter ended	Sales	Companies	Description
June 30, 2009	\$ 39,750	8	See breakout below
March 31, 2009	_	_	
December 31, 2008	2,212	_	One syndicated sale partially exited (Greatwide Senior Term Debt)
Total	\$ 41,962	8	
		38	

Table of Contents

The sales for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 are summarized below:

Companies	T			D
exited	Loans	Non-syndicated sale fully exited		Proceeds
1	1	It's Just Lunch - Senior Term Debt	\$	4,241
1		it 3 Just Editor - Senior Term Debt	Ψ	7,271
		Sundicated calca fully suited		
1	1	Syndicated sales fully exited AKQA - Senior Term Debt	\$	8,233
2	2	Bresnan Communications, LLC - Senior Term Debt	Φ	2,621
2	3	Bresnan Communications, LLC - Senior Subordinated Term Debt		1,087
3	4	Emdeon Business Services, Inc Senior Term Debt		2,000
3	5	Emdeon Business Services, Inc Senior Subordinated Term Debt		1,460
1	6	Harrington Holdings, Inc Senior Term Debt		2,059
4	7	Harrington Holdings, Inc Senior Subordinated Term Debt		3,045
5	8	RedPrairie Holding, Inc Senior Term Debt		3,045
5	9	RedPrairie Holding, Inc Senior Subordinated Term Debt		1,488
6	10	RiskMetrics Group Holdings, LLC - Senior Term Debt		1,761
7	11	United Maritime - Senior Subordinated Term Debt		4,860
•		Total syndicated sales fully exited	\$	31,659
		10th by haldwide onto rainy chieu	Ψ	31,037
		Syndicated sales partially exited		
	1	CHG Companies, Inc Letter of Credit		333
	2	CHG Companies, Inc Senior Term Debt		1,262
	3	GTM Holdings, Inc Senior Term Debt		271
	4	Wesco Holdings, Inc Senior Term Debt		1,984
_	7	Total syndicated sales partially exited	•	3,850
		- Total syllulcated sales partially exiced	3	3,030
0	16	Total loan sales	Φ.	20.750
8	16	1 OTAI 10AN SAIES	3	39,750

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayments and maturity of our investment portfolio by fiscal year, assuming no voluntary prepayments:

		Amount
For the remaining three months ending September 30:	2009	\$ 11,465
For the fiscal year ending September 30:	2010	42,873
	2011	94,731
	2012	90,650
	2013	121,808
	2014	11,738
	Thereafter	6,851
	Total Contractual Repayments	\$ 380,116
	Investments in Equity Securities	5,477
	Unamortized premiums, discounts and investment	
	acquisition costs on debt securities	535
	Total	\$ 386,128

Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, consisting primarily of the items described in "—Results of Operations" and the investment activity described above, was \$81,653 as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$72,430 for the nine months ended June 30, 2008.

39

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 was \$83,580 and mainly consisted of net payments on the KEF Facility of \$59,330, distribution payments of \$22,142, and financing fees of \$2,109 associated with the new credit facility, which was entered into on May 15, 2009. Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2008 was \$66,533 and consisted of two offerings of common stock for net proceeds of \$106,226, partially offset by net repayments on our line of credit of \$11,350 and distribution payments of \$24,523.

Distributions

In order to qualify as a RIC and to avoid corporate level tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we are required, under Subchapter M of the Code, to distribute at least 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains to our stockholders on an annual basis. Further, our KEF Facility requires us to pay distributions only from estimated net investment income. In accordance with these requirements, we declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.07 per common share for April, May and June 2009. In July 2009, our Board of Directors declared a monthly distribution of \$0.07 per common share for each of July, August and September 2009.

Issuance of Equity

During fiscal year 2007, we filed a registration statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-143027) (the "Registration Statement") with the SEC that permits us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300,000 in securities, consisting of common stock, or debt securities, of which to date we have issued \$123,800 in common stock, which leaves a remaining capacity of \$176,200.

We anticipate issuing equity securities to obtain additional capital in the future. However, we cannot determine the terms of any future equity issuances or whether we will be able to issue equity on terms favorable to us, or at all. Additionally, when our common stock is trading below NAV, we will have regulatory constraints under the 1940 Act on our ability to obtain additional capital in this manner. Generally, the 1940 Act provides that we may not issue and sell our common stock at a price below our NAV per share, other than to our then existing stockholders pursuant to a rights offering, without first obtaining approval from our stockholders and our independent directors. As of June 30, 2009, our NAV per share was \$11.86 and our closing market price was \$7.53 per share. To the extent that our common stock continues to trade at a market price below our NAV per share, we will generally be precluded from raising equity capital through public offerings of our common stock, other than pursuant to stockholder approval or a rights offering. The asset coverage requirement of a BDC under the 1940 Act effectively limits our ratio of debt to equity to 1:1. To the extent that we are unable to raise capital through the issuance of equity, our ability to raise capital through the issuance of debt may also be inhibited to the extent of our regulatory debt to equity ratio limits.

At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on February 19, 2009, stockholders approved a proposal which authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per share for a period of one year, provided that our Board of Directors makes certain determinations prior to any such sale.

Revolving Credit Facility

On May 15, 2009 we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary Business Loan, entered into the KEF Facility. BB&T also joined the KEF Facility as a committed lender. In connection with entering into the KEF Facility, we borrowed \$35,881 under the KEF Facility to make a final payment to Deutsche Bank, A.G. in satisfaction of all unpaid principal and interest owed to Deutsche Bank under the prior credit agreement. The KEF Facility may be expanded up to \$200,000 through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. Without the addition of other committed lenders, the KEF Facility provides a total commitment of \$127,000 through December 31, 2009, \$102,000 from January 1, 2010 to May 11, 2010, and \$77,000 thereafter. The KEF Facility matures on May 14, 2010, and if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all principal and interest will be due and payable within one year of the maturity date on May 14, 2011. Advances under the KEF Facility will generally bear interest at the 30-day LIBOR or commercial paper rate (subject to a minimum rate of 2%), plus 4% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.75% per annum on undrawn amounts. As of June 30, 2009, there was approximately \$91,700 of borrowings outstanding on the KEF Facility at an average rate of 6.99%, and the remaining borrowing capacity under the KEF Facility was \$35,300. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under the KEF Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Loan. Interest is payable monthly during the term of the KEF Facility. After May 14, 2010, if the KEF Facility is not renewed, all principal collections from our loans are required to be used to pay outstanding principal on the KEF Facility.

The KEF Facility contains covenants that require Business Loan to maintain its status as a separate entity; prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions); and restrict material changes to our credit and collection policies. The facility also limits payments of distributions. Further, the KEF Facility requires us to pay distributions only

40

Table of Contents

from estimated net investment income. As of June 30, 2009, Business Loan was in compliance with all of the facility covenants. Additionally, during the three months ended June 30, 2009, we elected to apply SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities*, specifically for the KEF Facility which requires us to apply a fair value methodology to the KEF Facility as of June 30, 2009, which is the period that this liability became eligible under SFAS No. 159. Due to the nature of the KEF Facility being a short-term agreement and the fact that interest is based on a variable interest rate, the KEF Facility was fair valued at its approximate cost basis as of June 30, 2009.

In conjunction with entering into the KEF Facility, we amended a performance guaranty, which remains substantially similar to the form under the previous facility. The loan documents require us to maintain a minimum net worth of \$200,000 plus 50% of all equity issuances after May 2009, to maintain "asset coverage" with respect to "senior securities representing indebtedness" of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act, and to maintain our status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants under the performance guaranty.

Our continued compliance with these covenants, however, depends on many factors, some of which are beyond our control. In particular, depreciation in the valuation of its assets, which valuation is subject to changing market conditions that are presently very volatile, affects our ability to comply with these covenants. Given the continued deterioration in the capital markets, net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio may occur in future periods and threaten our ability to comply with the covenants under our KEF Facility. Accordingly, there are no assurances that we will continue to comply with these covenants. Failure to comply with these covenants would result in a default which, if we are unable to obtain a waiver from the lenders, could accelerate our repayment obligations under the KEF Facility and thereby have a material adverse impact on its liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions.

The KEF Facility matures on May 14, 2010, and, if the facility is not renewed or extended by this date, all unpaid principal and interest will be due and payable within one

year of maturity. Between the maturity date and May 14, 2011, our lenders have a right to apply all interest income to amounts outstanding under the KEF Facility. There can be no guarantee that we will be able to renew, extend or replace the KEF Facility on terms that are favorable to us, or at all. Our ability to obtain replacement financing will be constrained by current economic conditions affecting the credit markets, which have significantly deteriorated over the last several months and may decline further. Consequently, any renewal, extension or refinancing of the KEF Facility will likely result in significantly higher interest rates and related charges and may impose significant restrictions on the use of borrowed funds with regard to its ability to fund investments or maintain distributions. For instance, in connection with the establishment of the KEF Facility in May 2009, the size of the line was reduced from \$162,000 under our prior facility to \$127,000 under the KEF Facility, and Deutsche Bank A.G., who was a committed lender under our prior credit facility elected not to participate in the KEF Facility and withdrew its commitment. If we are not able to renew, extend or refinance the KEF Facility, this would likely have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and ability to fund new investments or pay distributions to our stockholders. Our inability to pay distributions could result in it failing to qualify as a RIC. Consequently, any income or gains could become taxable at corporate rates. If we are unable to secure replacement financing, we may be forced to sell certain assets on disadvantageous terms, which may result in realized losses such as those recently recorded in connection with the syndicated loan sales, which resulted in a realized loss of approximately \$10,594 during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Such realized losses could materially exceed the amount of any unrealized depreciation on these assets as of our most recent balance sheet date, which would have a material adverse effec

Contractual Obligations

As of June 30, 2009, we were not party to any signed term sheets for potential investments.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K as of June 30, 2009.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported consolidated amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the period reported. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. We have identified our investment valuation process, which was amended during the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as our most critical account policy.

41

Table of Contents

Investment Valuation

The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements is the valuation of investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments recorded.

General Valuation Policy: We value our investments in accordance with the requirements of the 1940 Act. As discussed more fully below, we value securities for which market quotations are readily available and reliable at their market value. We value all other securities and assets at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, which, for financial assets, is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted. We adopted SFAS No. 157 on October 1, 2008. In part, SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. The new standard provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. The standard also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

- · Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- · <u>Level 2</u>—inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and
- · <u>Level 3</u>—inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect our own assumptions that market participants would use to price the asset or liability based upon the best available information.

Refer to Note 3 in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding fair value measurements and our adoption of SFAS No. 157.

We use generally accepted valuation techniques to value our portfolio unless we have specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time we may accept an appraisal of a business in which we hold securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and scopes used to value our investments. When these specific third-party appraisals are engaged or accepted, we would use such appraisals to value the investment we have in that business if we determined that the appraisals were the best estimate of fair value.

In determining the value of our investments, our Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the "Policy"). The Policy has been approved by our Board of Directors, and each quarter the Board of Directors reviews whether our Adviser has applied the Policy consistently, and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio.

The Policy, which is summarized below, applies to the following categories of securities:

- · Publicly-traded securities;
- · Securities for which a limited market exists; and
- · Securities for which no market exists.

Valuation Methods:

Publicly-traded securities: We determine the value of publicly-traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that we own restricted securities that are not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, we will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature.

Securities for which a limited market exists: We value securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market, but for which a limited market for the

42

Table of Contents

the indicative bid price offered by the respective originating syndication agent's trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that we use the indicative bid price as a basis for valuing the security, our Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid such that market prices are no longer readily available, we will value our syndicated loans using estimated net present values of the future cash flows or discounted cash flows. The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*, which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity's own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant observable market data does not exist, the alternative outlined in the FSP No. 157-3 is the use of valuing investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, we considered multiple inputs such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, we developed a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among others, increased probability of default, or higher loss given default, or increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what we believe a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. We will continue to apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity.

Securities for which no market exists: The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into three categories: (1) portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities; (2) portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt or equity securities, or both; and (3) portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt or equity securities, or both.

(1) **Portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities:** Debt securities that are not publicly traded on an established securities market, or for which a limited market does not exist ("Non-Public Debt Securities"), and that are issued by portfolio companies where we have no equity, or equity-like securities, are fair valued in accordance with the terms of the policy, which utilizes opinions of value submitted to us by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. ("SPSE"). We may also submit paid in kind ("PIK") interest to SPSE for their evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.

In the case of Non-Public Debt Securities, we have engaged SPSE to submit opinions of value for our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies in which we own no equity, or equity-like securities. SPSE's opinions of value are based on the valuations prepared by our portfolio management team as described below. We request that SPSE also evaluate and assign values to success fees (conditional interest included in some loan securities) when we determine that the probability of receiving a success fee on a given loan is above 6-8%, a threshold of significance. SPSE will only evaluate the debt portion of our investments for which we specifically request evaluation, and may decline to make requested evaluations for any reason at its sole discretion. Upon completing our collection of data with respect to the investments (which may include the information described below under "----Credit Information," the risk ratings of the loans described below under "----Loan Grading and Risk Rating" and the factors described hereunder), this valuation data is forwarded to SPSE for review and analysis. SPSE makes its independent assessment of the data that we have assembled and assesses its independent data to form an opinion as to what they consider to be the market values for the securities. With regard to its work, SPSE has issued the following paragraph:

SPSE provides evaluated price opinions which are reflective of what SPSE believes the bid side of the market would be for each loan after careful review and analysis of descriptive, market and credit information. Each price reflects SPSE's best judgment based upon careful examination of a variety of market factors. Because of fluctuation in the market and in other factors beyond its control, SPSE cannot guarantee these evaluations. The evaluations reflect the market prices, or estimates thereof, on the date specified. The prices are based on comparable market prices for similar securities. Market information has been obtained from reputable secondary market sources. Although these sources are considered reliable, SPSE cannot guarantee their accuracy.

SPSE opinions of value of our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies where we have no equity, or equity-like securities are submitted to our Board of Directors along with our Adviser's supplemental assessment and recommendation regarding valuation of each of these investments. Our Adviser generally accepts the opinion of value given by SPSE, however, in certain limited circumstances, such as when our Adviser may learn new information regarding an investment between the time of submission to SPSE and the date of the assessment by our Board of Directors, our Adviser's conclusions as to value may differ from the opinion of value delivered by SPSE. Our Board of Directors then reviews whether our Adviser has followed its established procedures for determinations of fair value, and votes to accept or reject the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. Our Adviser and our management recommended, and the Board of Directors voted to accept, the opinions of value delivered by SPSE on the loans in our portfolio as denoted on the Schedule of Investments included in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

43

Table of Contents

Because there is a delay between when we close an investment and when the investment can be evaluated by SPSE, new loans are not valued immediately by SPSE; rather, management makes its own determination about the value of these investments in accordance with our valuation policy using the methods described herein.

- (2) Portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt or equity securities, or both: The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value of the company utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under SFAS No. 157. For Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g. preferred equity, equity or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package, where we have control or could gain control through an option or warrant security, both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisitions market as the principal market, generally through a sale or recapitalization of the portfolio company. In accordance with SFAS No. 157, we apply the in-use premise of value which assumes the debt and equity securities are sold together. Under the liquidity waterfall approach, we continue to use the enterprise value methodology utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach to determine the fair value of these investments under SFAS No. 157 if we have the ability to initiate a sale of a portfolio company as of the measurement date. Under this approach, we first calculate the total enterprise value of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors:
 - · the issuer's ability to make payments;
 - · the earnings of the issuer;
 - · recent sales to third parties of similar securities;
 - · the comparison to publicly traded securities; and
 - · discounted cash flow or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, we may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. Once we have estimated the total enterprise value of the issuer, we subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer; which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of total enterprise value over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities (which include the debt securities) have been subtracted from the total enterprise value of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer's equity or

equity -like securities. If, in our Adviser's judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, our Adviser may recommend that we use a valuation by SPSE or, if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

(3) Portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt or equity securities, or both:We value Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity and equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical secondary market as our principal market. In accordance with SFAS No. 157, we determine the fair value of these debt securities of non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual debt security using the in-exchange premise of value (as defined in SFAS No. 157). As such, we estimate the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. For equity and equity-like securities of investments for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, we value the equity portion based on the total enterprise value of the issuer, which is calculated using a liquidity waterfall approach as described above.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed, and the differences could be material. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that we might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an arms-length transaction in the security's principal market.

Valuation Considerations: From time to time, depending on certain circumstances, the Adviser may use the following valuation considerations, including but not limited to:

- the nature and realizable value of the collateral;
- the portfolio company's earnings and cash flows and its ability to make payments on its obligations;
- the markets in which the portfolio company does business;
- · the comparison to publicly traded companies; and
- · DCF and other relevant factors.

44

Table of Contents

Because such valuations, particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are not susceptible to precise determination, may fluctuate over short periods of time, and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ from the values that might have actually resulted had a readily available market for these securities been available.

Credit Information: Our Adviser monitors a wide variety of key credit statistics that provide information regarding our portfolio companies to help us assess credit quality and portfolio performance. We and our Adviser participate in the periodic board meetings of our portfolio companies in which we hold Control and Affiliate investments and also require them to provide annual audited and monthly unaudited financial statements. Using these statements or comparable information and board discussions, our Adviser calculates and evaluates the credit statistics.

Loan Grading and Risk Rating: As part of our valuation procedures above, we risk rate all of our investments in debt securities. For syndicated loans that have been rated by an NRSRO (as defined in Rule 2a-7 under the 1940 Act), we use the NRSRO's risk rating for such security. For all other debt securities, we use a proprietary risk rating system. Our risk rating system uses a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 being the lowest probability of default. This system is used to estimate the probability of default on debt securities and the probability of loss if there is a default. These types of systems are referred to as risk rating systems and are used by banks and rating agencies. The risk rating system covers both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the business and the securities we hold.

For the debt securities for which we do not use a third-party NRSRO risk rating, we seek to have our risk rating system mirror the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. While we seek to mirror the NRSRO systems, we cannot provide any assurance that our risk rating system will provide the same risk rating as an NRSRO for these securities. The following chart is an estimate of the relationship of our risk rating system to the designations used by two NRSROs as they risk rate debt securities of major companies. Because our system rates debt securities of companies that are unrated by any NRSRO, there can be no assurance that the correlation to the NRSRO set out below is accurate. We believe our risk rating would be significantly higher than a typical NRSRO risk rating because the risk rating of the typical NRSRO is designed for larger businesses. Our risk rating, on the other hand, has been designed to risk rate the securities of smaller businesses that are not rated by a typical NRSRO. Therefore, when we use our risk rating on larger business securities, the risk rating is higher than a typical NRSRO rating. The primary difference between our risk rating of a typical NRSRO is that our risk rating uses more quantitative determinants and includes qualitative determinants that we believe are not used in the NRSRO rating. It is our understanding that most debt securities of medium-sized companies do not exceed the grade of BBB on an NRSRO scale, so there would be no debt securities in the middle market that would meet the definition of AAA, AA or A. Therefore, our scale begins with the designation 10 as the best risk rating which may be equivalent to a BBB from an NRSRO, however, no assurance can be given that a 10 on our scale is equal to a BBB on an NRSRO scale.

Company's	First	Second	
System	NRSRO	NRSRO	Gladstone Capital's Description(a)
>10	Baa2	BBB	Probability of Default (PD) during the next ten years is 4% and the Expected Loss (EL) is 1% or less
10	Baa3	BBB-	PD is 5% and the EL is 1% to 2%
9	Ba1	BB+	PD is 10% and the EL is 2% to 3%
8	Ba2	BB	PD is 16% and the EL is 3% to 4%
7	Ba3	BB-	PD is 17.8% and the EL is 4% to 5%
6	B1	B+	PD is 22% and the EL is 5% to 6.5%
5	B2	В	PD is 25% and the EL is 6.5% to 8%
4	В3	B-	PD is 27% and the EL is 8% to 10%
3	Caa1	CCC+	PD is 30% and the EL is 10% to 13.3%
2	Caa2	CCC	PD is 35% and the EL is 13.3% to 16.7%
1	Caa3	CC	PD is 65% and the EL is 16.7% to 20%
0	N/a	D	PD is 85% or there is a payment default and the EL is greater than 20%

⁽a) The default rates set forth are for a ten year term debt security. If a debt security is less than ten years, then the probability of default is adjusted to a lower percentage for the shorter period, which may move the security higher on our risk rating scale.

The above scale gives an indication of the probability of default and the magnitude of the loss if there is a default. Our policy is to stop accruing interest on an investment if we determine that interest is no longer collectible. At June 30, 2009, two Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments and one Control investment were on non-accrual. At September 30, 2008 one Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investment and two Control investments were on non-accrual. Additionally, we do not risk rate our equity securities.

The following table lists the risk ratings for all non-syndicated loans in our portfolio at June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, representing approximately 94% of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

Rating	Jun. 30, 2009	Sept. 30, 2008
Average	7.2	7.3
Weighted Average	7.0	7.0
Highest	9.0	9.0
Lowest	5.0	5.0

The following table lists the risk ratings for all syndicated loans in our portfolio that were not rated by an NRSRO at June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, representing approximately 2% of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

Rating	Jun. 30, 2009	Sept. 30, 2008
Average	7.0	6.6
Weighted Average	7.0	6.7
Highest	7.0	7.0
Lowest	7.0	6.0

For syndicated loans that are currently rated by an NRSRO, we risk rate such loans in accordance with the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. The following table lists the risk ratings for all syndicated loans in our portfolio that were rated by an NRSRO at June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, representing approximately 4% of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

Rating	Jun. 30, 2009	Sept.30, 2008
Average	CCC/Caa2	CCC+/Caa1
Weighted Average	CCC+/Caa1	CCC+/Caa1
Highest	B-/B3	BB/Ba3
Lowest	D/C	CC/C

Tax Status

We intend to continue to qualify for treatment as a RIC under Subtitle A, Chapter 1 of Subchapter M of the Code. As a RIC, we are not subject to federal income tax on the portion of our taxable income and gains distributed to stockholders. To qualify as a RIC, we are required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code. We have a policy to pay out as a distribution up to 100% of that amount.

In an effort to avoid certain excise taxes imposed on RICs, we currently intend to distribute during each calendar year, an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for the calendar year, (2) 98% of our capital gains in excess of capital losses for the one-year period ending on October 31 of the calendar year and (3) any ordinary income and net capital gains for preceding years that were not distributed during such years.

Revenue Recognition

Interest Income Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs and for the accretion of discounts, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due or if our qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, we will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, we remain contractually entitled to this interest. At June 30, 2009, two Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments and one Control investment were on non-accrual with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$10,659 or 2.8% of the cost basis of all investments in our portfolio. At September 30, 2008, or 2.8% of the cost basis of approximately \$13,098 at September 30, 2008, or 2.8% of the cost basis of all investments in our portfolio. Conditional interest, or a success fee, is recorded when earned upon full repayment of a loan investment.

46

Table of Contents

Paid in Kind Interest

We may hold loans in our portfolio which contain a PIK interest provision. The PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in each loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as interest income. To maintain our status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of distributions, even though we have not yet collected the cash. As of June 30, 2009, one loan in our portfolio bore PIK interest.

Services Provided to Portfolio Companies

As a business development company under the 1940 Act, we are required to make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. We provide these services through our Adviser, who provides these services on our behalf through its officers who are also our officers. Currently, neither we nor our Adviser charges a fee for managerial assistance, however, if our Adviser does receive fees for such managerial assistance, our Adviser will credit the managerial assistance fees to the base management fee due from us to our Adviser.

Our Adviser receives fees for the other services it provides to our portfolio companies. These other fees are typically non-recurring, are recognized as revenue when earned and are generally paid directly to our Adviser by the borrower or potential borrower upon the closing of the investment. The services our Adviser provides to our portfolio companies vary by investment, but generally include a broad array of services such as investment banking services, arranging bank and equity financing, structuring financing from multiple lenders and investors, reviewing existing credit facilities, restructuring existing investments, raising equity and debt capital, turnaround management, merger and acquisition services and recruiting new management personnel. Effective April 1, 2007, when our Adviser receives fees for these services, 50% of certain of those fees are voluntarily and irrevocably credited against the base management fee that we pay to our Adviser, whereas prior to such date fees were 100% credited. Any services of this nature subsequent to the closing would typically generate a separate fee at the time of completion.

Our Adviser also receives fees for monitoring and reviewing portfolio company investments. These fees are recurring and are generally paid annually or quarterly in advance to our Adviser throughout the life of the investment. Fees of this nature are recorded as revenue by our Adviser when earned and are not credited against the base management fee.

We may receive fees for the origination and closing services we provide to portfolio companies through our Adviser. These fees are paid directly to us and are recognized as

revenue upon closing of the originated investment and are reported as fee income in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 2 in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for a summary of recently issued accounting pronouncements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. We estimate that ultimately approximately 20% of the loans in our portfolio will be made at fixed rates and approximately 80% will be made at variable rates. As of June 30, 2009, our portfolio consisted of the following:

81%	variable rates with a floor
2%	variable rates with a floor and ceiling
13%	variable rates without a floor or ceiling
4%	fixed rate
100 %	total

There have been no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative market risk disclosures for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 from that disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, as filed with the SEC on December 2, 2008.

47

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2009, we, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)). Based on that evaluation, our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in timely alerting management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of material information about us required to be included in periodic Securities and Exchange Commission filings. However, in evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

48

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Neither we, nor any of our subsidiaries, are currently subject to any material legal proceeding, nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal proceeding threatened against us or any of our subsidiaries.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our business is subject to certain risks and events that, if they occur, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the trading price of our common stock. For a discussion of these risks, please refer to the "Risk Factors" section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, filed by us with the SEC on December 2, 2008, and the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended December 31, 2008 and March 31, 2009, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2009 and May 5, 2009, respectively.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

Not applicable

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not applicable

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

See the exhibit index.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ GRESFORD GRAY Gresford Gray Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 3, 2009

49

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Articles of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit a.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.
3.2	By-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit b to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.
3.3	Amendment to by-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 814-00237), filed February 17, 2004.
3.4	Second amendment to by-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed July 10, 2007.
10.1	Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 15, 2009 by and among Gladstone Business Loan, LLC as Borrower, Gladstone Management Corporation as Servicer, the Committed Lenders named therein, the CP Lenders named therein, the Managing Agents named therein, and Key Equipment Finance Inc. as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed May 19, 2009.
11	Computation of Per Share Earnings (included in the notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this report).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

All other exhibits for which provision is made in the applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instruction or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

CERTIFICATION Pursuant to Section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- I, David Gladstone, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Gladstone Capital Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a—15(f) and 15d—15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 3, 2009
/s/ DAVID GLADSTONE
David Gladstone
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION Pursuant to Section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- I, Gresford Gray, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Gladstone Capital Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a—15(f) and 15d—15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 3, 2009
/s/ GRESFORD GRAY
Gresford Gray
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The undersigned, the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Gladstone Capital Corporation (the "Company"), hereby certifies on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 ("Form 10-Q"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 3, 2009	
/s/ David Gladstone	_
David Gladstone	
Chief Executive Officer	

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The undersigned, the Chief Financial Officer of Gladstone Capital Corporation (the "Company"), hereby certifies on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 ("Form 10-Q"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 3, 2009	
/s/ Gresford Gray	
Gresford Gray	
Chief Financial Officer	