

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 10-Q**

---

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2012**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 814-00237**

---

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

**MARYLAND**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**54-2040781**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 200**  
**MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102**  
(Address of principal executive office)

**(703) 287-5800**  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12 b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. The number of shares of the issuer's common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, outstanding as of July 31, 2012 was 21,000,160.

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>PART I.</b>	<b>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Item 1.</b>	<a href="#">Financial Statements (Unaudited)</a>	
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011</a>	3
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</a>	4
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</a>	5
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</a>	6
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011</a>	7
	<a href="#">Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</a>	15
<b>Item 2.</b>	<a href="#">Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a>	35
	<a href="#">Overview</a>	35
	<a href="#">Results of Operations</a>	38
	<a href="#">Liquidity and Capital Resources</a>	48
<b>Item 3.</b>	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</a>	59
<b>Item 4.</b>	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a>	59
<b>PART II.</b>	<b>OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Item 1.</b>	<a href="#">Legal Proceedings</a>	60
<b>Item 1A.</b>	<a href="#">Risk Factors</a>	60
<b>Item 2.</b>	<a href="#">Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</a>	60
<b>Item 3.</b>	<a href="#">Defaults Upon Senior Securities</a>	60
<b>Item 4.</b>	<a href="#">Mine Safety Disclosures</a>	60
<b>Item 5.</b>	<a href="#">Other Information</a>	60
<b>Item 6.</b>	<a href="#">Exhibits</a>	60
	<a href="#">SIGNATURES</a>	61

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Investments at fair value		
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments (Cost of \$293,579 and \$288,266, respectively)	\$260,757	\$ 257,302
Control investments (Cost of \$100,923 and \$94,549, respectively)	37,830	45,645
Total investments at fair value (Cost of \$394,502 and \$382,815, respectively)	298,587	302,947
Cash	9,327	6,732
Restricted cash	1,175	—
Interest receivable – investments in debt securities	2,871	3,066
Interest receivable – employees <sup>(A)</sup>	62	—
Due from custodian	5,410	2,547
Deferred financing fees	3,212	650
Other assets	1,062	1,682
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$321,706</b>	<b>\$ 317,624</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Borrowings at fair value (Cost of \$87,300 and \$99,400, respectively)	\$ 91,777	\$ 100,012
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share, \$25 liquidation preference per share; 4,000,000 and no shares authorized; 1,539,882 and no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively	38,497	—
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	339	513
Interest payable	225	289
Fees due to Adviser <sup>(A)</sup>	1,726	1,760
Fee due to Administrator <sup>(A)</sup>	175	194
Other liabilities	1,954	1,135
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>\$134,693</b>	<b>\$ 103,903</b>
Commitments and contingencies <sup>(B)</sup>		
<b>NET ASSETS</b>	<b>\$187,013</b>	<b>\$ 213,721</b>
<b>ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS</b>		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, 46,000,000 and 50,000,000 shares authorized; 21,000,160 and 21,039,242 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively	\$ 21	\$ 21
Capital in excess of par value	326,580	326,913
Notes receivable from employees <sup>(A)</sup>	(3,519)	(3,858)
Cumulative net unrealized depreciation of investments	(95,915)	(79,867)
Cumulative net unrealized appreciation of borrowings	(4,477)	(612)
Net investment income in excess of distributions	108	108
Accumulated net realized losses	(35,785)	(28,984)
<b>TOTAL NET ASSETS</b>	<b>\$187,013</b>	<b>\$ 213,721</b>
<b>NET ASSET VALUE PER COMMON SHARE AT END OF PERIOD</b>	<b>\$ 8.91</b>	<b>\$ 10.16</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> Refer to Note 4—*Related Party Transactions* for additional information.

<sup>(B)</sup> Refer to Note 10—*Commitments and Contingencies* for additional information.

*THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.*

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)  
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>INVESTMENT INCOME</b>				
Interest income				
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$ 8,093	\$ 7,028	\$ 23,822	\$ 19,722
Control investments	827	1,406	3,236	3,604
Cash	1	—	7	1
Notes receivable from employees <sup>(A)</sup>	62	102	192	346
Total interest income	<u>8,983</u>	<u>8,536</u>	<u>27,257</u>	<u>23,673</u>
Other income				
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	978	444	3,020	1,089
Control investments	—	—	—	625
Total other income	<u>978</u>	<u>444</u>	<u>3,020</u>	<u>1,714</u>
Total investment income	<u>9,961</u>	<u>8,980</u>	<u>30,277</u>	<u>25,387</u>
<b>EXPENSES</b>				
Base management fee <sup>(A)</sup>	1,561	1,451	4,655	4,164
Incentive fee <sup>(A)</sup>	1,217	1,133	3,556	3,395
Administration fee <sup>(A)</sup>	175	174	579	535
Interest expense on borrowings	1,167	958	3,305	1,316
Dividend expense on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	686	—	1,806	—
Amortization of deferred financing fees	252	368	987	1,032
Professional fees	135	360	790	894
Other general and administrative expenses	281	196	1,054	799
Expenses before credits from Adviser	5,474	4,640	16,732	12,135
Credits to fees from Adviser <sup>(A)</sup>	(382)	(194)	(956)	(348)
Total expenses net of credits	<u>5,092</u>	<u>4,446</u>	<u>15,776</u>	<u>11,787</u>
<b>NET INVESTMENT INCOME</b>	<u>4,869</u>	<u>4,534</u>	<u>14,501</u>	<u>13,600</u>
<b>REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS)</b>				
Net realized gain (loss):				
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	150	—	(8,062)	161
Control investments	—	(2)	—	(158)
Total net realized gain (loss)	<u>150</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(8,062)</u>	<u>3</u>
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation:				
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	(5,128)	(13,706)	(1,862)	(21,768)
Control investments	(5,994)	(5,083)	(14,186)	(13,035)
Borrowings	(4,477)	(53)	(3,865)	640
Net unrealized depreciation	<u>(15,599)</u>	<u>(18,842)</u>	<u>(19,913)</u>	<u>(34,163)</u>
Net realized and unrealized loss	<u>(15,449)</u>	<u>(18,844)</u>	<u>(27,975)</u>	<u>(34,160)</u>
<b>NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS</b>	<u>\$ (10,580)</u>	<u>\$ (14,310)</u>	<u>\$ (13,474)</u>	<u>\$ (20,560)</u>
<b>NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER COMMON SHARE</b>				
Basic and Diluted	<u>\$ (0.50)</u>	<u>\$ (0.68)</u>	<u>\$ (0.64)</u>	<u>\$ (0.98)</u>
<b>WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING</b>				
Basic and Diluted	21,000,160	21,039,242	21,014,805	21,039,242

<sup>(A)</sup> Refer to Note 4—*Related Party Transactions* for additional information.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

	<u>Nine Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
<b>OPERATIONS:</b>		
Net investment income	\$ 14,501	\$ 13,600
Net realized (loss) gain on investments	(8,062)	3
Net unrealized depreciation of investments	(16,048)	(34,803)
Net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of borrowings	(3,865)	640
Net decrease in net assets resulting from operations	<u>(13,474)</u>	<u>(20,560)</u>
<b>DISTRIBUTIONS:</b>		
Distributions to common stockholders	<u>(13,240)</u>	<u>(13,255)</u>
<b>CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS:</b>		
Stock redemption for repayment of principal on employee notes <sup>(A)</sup>	(332)	—
Repayment of principal on employee notes <sup>(A)</sup>	338	2,105
Net increase in net assets from capital transactions	<u>6</u>	<u>2,105</u>
<b>Total decrease in net assets</b>	<b>(26,708)</b>	<b>(31,710)</b>
<b>NET ASSETS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD</b>	<b>213,721</b>	<b>249,246</b>
<b>NET ASSETS AT END OF PERIOD</b>	<b><u>\$ 187,013</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 217,536</u></b>

<sup>(A)</sup> Refer to Note 4—*Related Party Transactions* for additional information.

*THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.*

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

	<u>Nine Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net decrease in net assets resulting from operations	\$ (13,474)	\$ (20,560)
Adjustments to reconcile net decrease in net assets resulting from operations to net cash used in operating activities:		
Purchase of investments	(66,254)	(118,646)
Principal repayments on investments	39,980	39,855
Proceeds from sale of investments	6,459	777
Increase in investment balance due to paid-in-kind interest	—	(12)
Increase in investment balance due to transferred interest	—	(204)
Net change in premiums, discounts and amortization	(115)	1,420
Net realized loss (gain) on investments	8,242	(163)
Net unrealized depreciation of investments	16,048	34,803
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of borrowings	3,865	(640)
Increase in restricted cash	(1,175)	—
Amortization of deferred financing fees	987	1,032
Decrease in interest receivable	133	36
Increase in due from custodian	(2,863)	(1,667)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	620	(42)
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(175)	(151)
Decrease in interest payable	(64)	(430)
(Decrease) increase in fees due to Adviser <sup>(A)</sup>	(19)	1,118
Decrease in fee due to Administrator <sup>(A)</sup>	(34)	(93)
Increase in other liabilities	819	118
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(7,020)</u>	<u>(63,449)</u>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Proceeds from borrowings	69,900	109,800
Repayments on borrowings	(82,000)	(34,400)
Proceeds from issuance of mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	38,497	—
Deferred financing fees	(3,548)	(759)
Distributions paid to common stockholders	(13,240)	(13,255)
Receipt of principal on employee notes	6	2,105
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>9,615</u>	<u>63,491</u>
<b>NET INCREASE IN CASH</b>	<b>2,595</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>CASH, BEGINNING OF PERIOD</b>	<b>6,732</b>	<b>7,734</b>
<b>CASH, END OF PERIOD</b>	<b>\$ 9,327</b>	<b>\$ 7,776</b>
<b>NON-CASH ACTIVITIES<sup>(B)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 332</b>	<b>\$ —</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> Refer to Note 4—*Related Party Transactions* for additional information.

<sup>(B)</sup> Redemption of 39,082 shares of common stock to reduce the principal balance of an employee loan by \$332. Refer to Note 7—*Common Stock* for additional information.

*THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.*

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS**  
**AS OF JUNE 30, 2012**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Company <sup>(A)</sup>	Industry	Investment <sup>(B)</sup>	Principal	Cost	Fair Value
<b>NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS:</b>					
<b>Non-syndicated Loans:</b>					
Access Television Network, Inc.	Service-cable airtime (infomercials)	Senior Term Debt (14.0%, Due 2/2011) <sup>(D)(H)</sup>	\$ 903	\$ 903	\$ —
Allison Publications, LLC	Service-publisher of consumer oriented Magazines	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 9/2012) <sup>(D)</sup>	8,014	8,018	7,453
BAS Broadcasting	Service-radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2013) <sup>(D)</sup>	7,465	7,465	2,240
Chinese Yellow Pages Company	Service-publisher of Chinese language directories	Line of Credit, \$0 available (7.3%, Due 11/2012) <sup>(D)</sup>	450	450	225
		Senior Term Debt (7.3%, Due 11/2012) <sup>(D)</sup>	33	33	17
				483	242
CMI Acquisition, LLC	Service-recycling	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 12/2016) <sup>(D)</sup>	14,265	14,265	13,552
FedCap Partners, LLC	Private equity fund	Class A Membership Units (80 units) <sup>(G)</sup> Uncalled Capital Commitment (\$800)		1,200	2,163
Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd.	Service—oil and natural gas drilling logistics network provider	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 11/2017) <sup>(I)</sup> Common Stock Warrants (4.2% ownership) <sup>(G)(I)</sup>	15,000	15,000	15,000
				1,000	1,000
				16,000	16,000
GFRC Holdings, LLC	Manufacturing-glass-fiber reinforced concrete	Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 12/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 12/2013) <sup>(D)</sup>	5,224	5,224	2,612
			6,598	6,598	3,299
				11,822	5,911
Heartland Communications Group	Service-radio station operator	Line of Credit, \$0 available (5.0%, Due 3/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Line of Credit, \$55 available (10.0%, Due 3/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (5.0%, Due 3/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Common Stock Warrants (8.8% ownership) <sup>(F)(G)</sup>	100	100	33
			45	45	15
			4,343	4,329	1,411
				66	—
				4,540	1,459
International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company	Service-golf training	Line of Credit, \$200 available (11.0%, Due 5/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 5/2014) <sup>(C)(D)</sup>	2,025	2,025	1,215
			561	561	337
			2,500	2,500	1,500
				5,086	3,052
Legend Communications of Wyoming, LLC	Service-operator of radio stations	Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 6/2013) <sup>(D)</sup>	8,932	8,932	4,466
North American Aircraft Services, LLC	Service—repairs and maintains aircraft fuel tanks and fuel systems	Line of Credit, \$500 available (6.5%, Due 8/2012) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (7.5%, Due 8/2016) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.8%, Due 8/2016) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 8/2016) <sup>(D)</sup> Common Stock Warrants (4.6% ownership) <sup>(F)(G)</sup>	1,500	1,500	1,478
			4,516	4,516	4,448
			4,750	4,750	4,679
			2,820	2,820	2,778
				350	452
				13,936	13,835
Northstar Broadband, LLC	Service-cable TV franchise owner	Senior Term Debt (0.7%, Due 12/2012) <sup>(D)</sup>	35	31	30
Ohana Media Group	Service—AM/FM radio broadcast	Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2016) <sup>(D)</sup>	1,590	1,590	1,423
POP Radio, L.P.	Service—advertiser-supported in-store radio network	Senior Term Debt (11.8%, Due 5/2017) <sup>(I)</sup> Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.0%, Due 11/2017) <sup>(I)</sup>	11,500	11,500	11,500
			500	500	500
				12,000	12,000
Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-consumable components for the Aluminum industry	Equipment Note (13.0%, Due 3/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2013) <sup>(C)(D)</sup>	1,000	1,000	820
			4,125	4,125	3,383
			4,053	4,053	3,323
				9,178	7,526
PROFIT Systems Acquisition Co.	Service-design and develop ERP Software	Line of Credit, \$350 available (11.3%, Due 7/2012) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 7/2014) <sup>(C)(D)</sup>	—	—	—
			2,700	2,700	2,538
				2,700	2,538
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-pharmaceutical and biochemical intermediates	Line of Credit, \$1,100 available (9.0%, Due 1/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Mortgage Note (9.5%, Due 12/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 12/2014) <sup>(C)(D)</sup> Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 12/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Common Stock Warrants (764 shares) <sup>(F)(G)</sup>	2,900	2,900	2,683
			7,098	7,098	6,566
			11,482	11,482	10,621
			6,000	6,000	5,550
				209	—
				27,689	25,420

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)**  
**AS OF JUNE 30, 2012**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Company <sup>(A)</sup>	Industry	Investment <sup>(B)</sup>	Principal	Cost	Fair Value
<b>NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS (Continued):</b>					
Saunders & Associates	Manufacturing—equipment provider for frequency control devices	Line of Credit, \$1,500 available (11.3%, Due 5/2013) <sup>(D)</sup>	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
		Senior Term Debt (11.3%, Due 5/2013) <sup>(D)</sup>	8,947	8,947	7,828
				8,947	7,828
Sunburst Media—Louisiana, LLC	Service—radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 11/2013) <sup>(D)</sup>	6,000	6,000	1,800
Thibaut Acquisition Co.	Service—design and distribute wall Covering	Line of Credit, \$450 available (9.0%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(D)</sup>	550	550	538
		Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(D)</sup>	156	156	153
		Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(C) (D)</sup>	3,000	3,000	2,917
				3,706	3,608
Westlake Hardware, Inc.	Retail—hardware and variety	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.3%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(D)</sup>	12,000	12,000	11,580
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(D)</sup>	8,000	8,000	7,680
				20,000	19,260
Westland Technologies, Inc.	Service—diversified conglomerate	Senior Term Debt (7.5%, Due 4/2016) <sup>(D)</sup>	1,650	1,650	1,567
		Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 4/2016) <sup>(D)</sup>	4,000	4,000	3,800
		Common Stock Warrants (77,287 shares) <sup>(F) (G)</sup>		350	260
				6,000	5,627
Winchester Electronics	Manufacturing—high bandwidth connectors and cables	Senior Term Debt (6.5%, Due 5/2013) <sup>(D) (I)</sup>	1,250	1,250	1,250
		Senior Term Debt (7.0%, Due 5/2013) <sup>(D) (I)</sup>	1,665	1,665	1,665
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 6/2013) <sup>(D) (I)</sup>	9,725	9,725	9,725
				12,640	12,640
				\$203,131	\$ 170,073
<b>Subtotal —Non-syndicated loans</b>					
<b>Syndicated Loans:</b>					
Airvana Network Solutions, Inc.	Service—telecommunications	Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2015) <sup>(E)</sup>	\$ 2,143	\$ 2,077	\$ 2,078
Allied Security Holdings, LLC	Service—contract security officer providers	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (8.5%, Due 2/2018) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,000	991	990
Allied Specialty Vehicles, Inc.	Manufacturing—specialty vehicles	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 2/2016) <sup>(E)</sup>	9,875	9,719	9,677
Ameriquel Group, LLC	Manufacturing—production and distribution of food products	Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 3/2016) <sup>(E)</sup>	7,425	7,307	7,276
Applied Systems, Inc.	Software for property & casualty insurance industry	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.3%, Due 6/2017) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,000	991	990
Ascend Learning, LLC	Service—technology-based learning solutions	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 12/2017) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,000	974	997
Autoparts Holdings Limited	Distributor—light and heavy-duty vehicle replacement parts	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2018) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,000	996	870
Blue Coat Systems, Inc.	Distributor—internet security and network acceleration appliances	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 8/2018) <sup>(E)</sup>	8,500	8,497	8,500
HGI Holding, Inc.	Service— distributor of disposable medical products	Senior Term Debt (6.8%, Due 10/2016) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,566	1,538	1,566
Hubbard Radio, LLC	Service—radio station operator	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (8.8%, Due 4/2018) <sup>(E)</sup>	500	496	499
Keypoint Government Solutions, Inc.	Service—security consulting services	Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 12/2015) <sup>(E)</sup>	6,380	6,354	6,284
Mood Media Corporation	Service—media and marketing solutions	Senior Term Debt (10.3%, Due 11/2018) <sup>(E)</sup>	8,000	7,928	7,760
National Surgical Hospitals, Inc.	Service—physician-partnered surgical facilities	Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due 2/2017) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,682	1,649	1,631
PLATO Learning, Inc.	Service—education based software provider	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.3%, Due 5/2019) <sup>(E)</sup>	5,000	4,901	4,900
Sensus USA, Inc.	Service—provider of utility communication Services	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 5/2018) <sup>(E)</sup>	500	496	497
Springs Window Fashions, LLC	Manufacturing—window coverings	Senior Term Debt (11.3%, Due 11/2017) <sup>(E)</sup>	7,000	6,848	6,790



[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)**  
**AS OF JUNE 30, 2012**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Company <sup>(A)</sup>	Industry	Investment <sup>(B)</sup>	Principal	Cost	Fair Value
<b>NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS (Continued):</b>					
SRAM, LLC	Manufacturing-premium bicycle components	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 12/2018) (E)	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,478	\$ 2,513
Targus Group International, Inc.	Manufacturing-carrying cases and accessories for notebook computers	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 5/2016) (E)	9,900	9,735	9,801
Ulterra Drilling Technologies, LP	Manufacturing-oil field drill bits and slick-slip reduction tools	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 6/2016) (E)	1,888	1,856	1,892
Vision Solutions, Inc.	Service-provider of information availability software	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 7/2017) (E)	11,000	10,923	10,890
Wall Street Systems Holdings, Inc.	Service-software provider	Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 6/2018) (E)	3,000	2,973	3,008
WP Evenflo Group Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-infant and juvenile Products	Senior Term Debt (8.0%, Due 2/2013) (E)	277	277	274
		Senior Preferred Equity (333 shares) (F)(G)		111	450
		Junior Preferred Equity (111 shares) (F)(G)		333	160
		Common Stock (1,874 shares) (F)(G)		—	389
				<u>721</u>	<u>1,273</u>
<i>Subtotal—Syndicated loans</i>				<u>\$ 90,448</u>	<u>\$ 90,682</u>
<b>Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments (represented 87.3% of total investments at fair value)</b>				<u><b>\$293,579</b></u>	<u><b>\$260,755</b></u>
<b>CONTROL INVESTMENTS:</b>					
BERTL, Inc.	Service-web-based evaluator of imaging products	Line of Credit, \$150 available (6.5%, Due 7/2012) (F)(H)	\$ 1,359	\$ 1,359	\$ —
		Common Stock (100 shares) (F)(G)		424	—
				<u>1,783</u>	<u>—</u>
Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc.	Manufacturing-trucking parts	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 4/2013) (C)(F)	7,265	7,265	7,265
		Common Stock (15,500 shares) (F)(G)		1	7,099
				<u>7,266</u>	<u>14,364</u>
Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc.	Service - cable, internet, voice provider	Line of Credit, \$155 available (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (D)(H)	820	811	4
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (D)(H)	1,500	1,444	8
		Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (D)(H)	1,039	1,000	5
		Common Stock (100 shares) (F)(G)		—	—
				<u>3,255</u>	<u>17</u>
Lindmark Acquisition, LLC	Service-advertising	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.0%, Due 10/2012) (D)(H)	10,000	10,000	1,000
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 10/2012) (D)(H)	2,000	2,000	200
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due Upon Demand) (D)(H)	1,909	1,909	191
		Common Stock (100 shares) (F)(G)		317	—
				<u>14,226</u>	<u>1,391</u>
LocalTel, LLC	Service-yellow pages publishing	Line of credit, \$435 available (10.0%, Due 6/2013) (F)(H)	2,415	2,415	559
		Line of Credit, \$1,830 available (4.7%, Due 6/2013) (F)(H)	1,170	1,170	—
		Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 6/2013) (F)(H)	325	325	—
		Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 6/2013) (F)(H)	2,688	2,687	—
		Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 6/2013) (C)(F)(H)	2,750	2,750	—
		Common Stock Warrants (4,000 shares) (F)(G)		—	—
				<u>9,347</u>	<u>559</u>
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	Distribution-aluminum sheets and stainless steel	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 7/2013) (D)	18,281	18,269	17,595
		Common Stock (501 shares) (F)(G)		138	—
				<u>18,407</u>	<u>17,595</u>
Sunshine Media Holdings	Service-publisher regional B2B trade magazines	Line of credit, \$351 available (4.8%, Due 8/2014) (D)(H)	1,649	1,649	165
		Senior Term Debt (4.8%, Due 5/2016) (D)(H)	16,948	16,948	1,695
		Senior Term Debt (5.5%, Due 5/2016) (C)(D)(H)	10,700	10,700	1,070
		Junior Preferred Equity (14,2573 shares) (F)(G)		5,275	—
		Common Stock (934 shares) (F)(G)		740	—
				<u>35,312</u>	<u>2,930</u>
U.S. Healthcare Communications, Inc.	Service-magazine publisher/operator	Line of credit, \$131 available (6.0%, Due 12/2010) (F)(H)	269	269	—
		Line of credit, \$0 available (6.0%, Due 12/2010) (F)(H)	450	450	—
		Common Stock (100 shares) (F)(G)		2,470	—
				<u>3,189</u>	<u>—</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)**  
**AS OF JUNE 30, 2012**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Company <sup>(A)</sup>	Industry	Investment <sup>(B)</sup>	Principal	Cost	Fair Value
<b>CONTROL INVESTMENTS (Continued):</b>					
Viapack, Inc.	Manufacturing-polyethylene film	Line of Credit, \$187 available (6.5%, Due 3/2013) (D)	\$ 3,613	\$ 3,613	\$ 433
		Senior Real Estate Term Debt (5.0%, Due 3/2014) (D)	600	600	72
		Senior Term Debt (6.2%, Due 3/2014) (C) (D) (H)	3,925	3,925	471
		Preferred Equity (100 shares) (F) (G)		—	—
		Guarantee (\$300)		8,138	976
<b>Total Control Investments (represented 12.7% of total investments at fair value)</b>				<b>\$100,923</b>	<b>\$ 37,832</b>
<b>Total Investments</b>				<b>\$394,502</b>	<b>\$ 298,587</b>

- (A) Certain of the securities listed in the above schedule are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.
- (B) Percentage represents interest rates in effect at June 30, 2012, and due date represents the contractual maturity date.
- (C) Last Out Tranche (“LOT”) of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the LOT is paid after the senior debt.
- (D) Fair value was primarily based on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor’s Securities Evaluations, Inc.
- (E) Security valued based on the indicative bid price on or near June 30, 2012, offered by the respective syndication agent’s trading desk or secondary desk.
- (F) Fair value was primarily based on the total enterprise value of the portfolio company using a liquidity waterfall approach. We also considered discounted cash flow methodologies.
- (G) Security is non-income producing.
- (H) Debt security is on non-accrual status.
- (I) New proprietary portfolio investment valued at cost, as it was determined that the price paid during the three months ended June 30, 2012, best represents fair value as of June 30, 2012.
- (J) Security was paid off, at par, subsequent to June 30, 2012, and was valued based on the payoff.

*THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.*

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS**  
**SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**

Company <sup>(A)</sup>	Industry	Investment <sup>(B)</sup>	Principal	Cost	Fair Value
<b>NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS:</b>					
<b>Non-syndicated Loans:</b>					
Access Television Network, Inc.	Service-cable airtime (infomercials)	Senior Term Debt (14.0%, Due 2/2011) (D)(H)	\$ 903	\$ 903	\$ 45
Allison Publications, LLC	Service-publisher of consumer oriented magazines	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 9/2012) (D)	8,463	8,478	7,861
BAS Broadcasting	Service-radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2013) (D)	7,465	7,465	6,233
Chinese Yellow Pages Company	Service-publisher of Chinese language directories	Line of Credit, \$250 available (7.3%, Due 11/2011) (D)	450	450	338
		Senior Term Debt (7.3%, Due 11/2011) (D)	168	168	126
				618	464
CMI Acquisition, LLC	Service-recycling	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 12/2016) (D)	14,265	14,265	14,336
FedCap Partners, LLC	Private equity fund	Class A Membership Units (80 units) (G) Uncalled Capital Commitment (\$800)		1,200	1,153
GFRC Holdings, LLC	Manufacturing-glass-fiber reinforced concrete	Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 12/2012) (D) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 12/2012) (D)	5,617 6,615	5,617 6,615	4,719 5,557
				12,232	10,276
Global Materials Technologies, Inc.	Manufacturing-steel wool products and metal fibers	Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 6/2012) (C)(D)	2,635	2,635	2,212
Heartland Communications Group	Service-radio station operator	Line of Credit, \$0 available (5.0%, Due 3/2013) (D) Line of Credit, \$0 available (10.0%, Due 3/2013) (D)	100 100	100 100	41 41
		Senior Term Debt (5.0%, Due 3/2013) (D)	4,342	4,316	1,780
		Common Stock Warrants (8.8% ownership) (F)(G)		66	—
				4,582	1,862
International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company	Service-golf training	Line of Credit, \$0 available (11.0%, Due 5/2012) (D)	1,500	1,500	1,275
		Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2012) (D)	861	861	732
		Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 5/2012) (C)(D)	2,500	2,500	2,125
				4,861	4,132
KMBQ Corporation	Service-AM/FM radio broadcaster	Line of Credit, \$42 available (12.3%, Due 7/2010) (D)(H)	162	158	76
		Senior Term Debt (12.3%, Due 7/2010) (D)(H)	2,081	2,038	984
				2,196	1,060
Legend Communications of Wyoming, LLC	Service-operator of radio stations	Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 6/2013) (D) Senior Term Debt (16.0%, Due 7/2011) (D)	9,745 220	9,745 220	5,408 123
				9,965	5,531
Newhall Holdings, Inc.	Service-distributor of personal care products and supplements	Line of Credit, \$0 available (8.0%, Due 12/2012) (D)(H)	1,985	1,985	98
		Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 12/2012) (D)(H)	1,870	1,870	94
		Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due 12/2012) (C)(D)(H)	2,000	2,000	100
		Senior Term Debt (3.5%, Due 12/2012) (C)(D)(H)	4,648	4,648	232
		Preferred Equity (1,000,000 shares) (F)(G)(H)			—
		Common Stock (688,500 shares) (F)(G)			—
				10,503	524
North American Aircraft Services LLC	Service - repairs and maintains aircraft fuel tanks and fuel systems	Line of Credit, \$1,500 available (6.5%, Due 8/2012) (D)	500	500	500
		Senior Term Debt (7.5%, Due 8/2016) (D)	3,250	3,250	3,250
		Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.8%, Due 8/2016) (D)	4,750	4,750	4,750
		Common Stock Warrants (4.8% ownership) (F)(G)		350	350
				8,850	8,850
Northern Contours, Inc.	Manufacturing-veneer and laminate components	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 9/2012) (D)	6,128	6,128	5,684
Northstar Broadband, LLC	Service-cable TV franchise owner	Senior Term Debt (0.7%, Due 12/2012) (D)	80	70	64
Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-consumable components for the aluminum industry	Equipment Note (13.0%, Due 11/2011) (D) Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 11/2011) (D) Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 11/2011) (C)(D)	1,000 4,125 4,053	1,000 4,125 4,053	948 3,908 3,840
				9,178	8,696
PROFIT Systems Acquisition Co.	Service-design and develop ERP software	Line of Credit, \$350 available (11.25%, Due 7/2012) (D) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 7/2014) (C)(D)	— 3,150	— 3,150	— 3,024
				3,150	3,024

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)**  
**AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**

Company <sup>(A)</sup>	Industry	Investment <sup>(B)</sup>	Principal	Cost	Fair Value
<b>NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS (Continued):</b>					
RCS Management Holding Co.	Service-healthcare supplies	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 1/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 1/2013) <sup>(C)(D)</sup>	\$ 1,438 3,060	\$ 1,438 <u>3,060</u> 4,498	\$ 1,367 <u>2,907</u> 4,274
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	Manufacturing-pharmaceutical and biochemical intermediates	Line of Credit, \$2,800 available (9.0%, Due 1/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Mortgage Note (9.5%, Due 12/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 12/2014) <sup>(C)(D)</sup> Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 12/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Common Stock Warrants (764 shares) <sup>(F)(G)</sup>	1,200 7,168 11,573 6,000	1,200 7,168 11,573 6,000 <u>209</u> 26,150	1,176 7,025 10,906 5,655 <u>534</u> 25,296
Saunders & Associates	Manufacturing-equipment provider for frequency control devices	Line of Credit, \$2,500 available (11.3%, Due 5/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (11.3%, Due 5/2013) <sup>(D)</sup>	— 8,947	— <u>8,947</u> 8,947	— <u>8,913</u> 8,913
Sunburst Media—Louisiana, LLC	Service-radio station operator	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2011) <sup>(D)</sup>	6,100	6,103	3,964
Thibaut Acquisition Co.	Service-design and distribute wall covering	Line of Credit, \$400 available (9.0%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(C)(D)</sup>	600 550 3,000	600 550 <u>3,000</u> 4,150	585 536 <u>2,910</u> 4,031
Westlake Hardware, Inc.	Retail-hardware and variety	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.3%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 1/2014) <sup>(D)</sup>	12,000 8,000	12,000 <u>8,000</u> 20,000	11,640 <u>7,700</u> 19,340
Westland Technologies, Inc.	Service-diversified conglomerate	Line of Credit, \$1,000 available (6.5%, Due 4/2012) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (7.5%, Due 4/2016) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 4/2016) <sup>(D)</sup> Common Stock Warrants (77,287 shares) <sup>(F)(G)</sup>	— 2,000 4,000	— 2,000 4,000 <u>350</u> 6,350	— 1,995 3,990 <u>307</u> 6,292
Winchester Electronics	Manufacturing-high bandwidth connectors and cables	Senior Term Debt (5.2%, Due 5/2012) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Term Debt (5.7%, Due 5/2013) <sup>(D)</sup> Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 6/2013) <sup>(D)</sup>	1,250 1,677 9,800	1,250 1,677 <u>9,800</u> 12,727	1,238 1,656 <u>9,628</u> 12,522
<b>Subtotal—Non-syndicated loans</b>				<u>\$196,204</u>	<u>\$166,639</u>
<b>Syndicated Loans:</b>					
Airvana Network Solutions, Inc.	Service-telecommunications	Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2015) <sup>(E)</sup>	\$ 6,048	\$ 5,912	\$ 6,048
Allied Security Holdings, LLC	Service-contract security officer providers	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (8.5%, Due 2/2018) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,000	991	965
Allied Specialty Vehicles, Inc.	Manufacturing-specialty vehicles	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 2/2016) <sup>(E)</sup>	9,950	9,767	9,751
Ameriquel Group, LLC	Manufacturing-production and distribution of food products	Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 3/2016) <sup>(E)</sup>	7,481	7,344	7,332
Applied Systems, Inc.	Software for property & casualty insurance industry	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.3%, Due 6/2017) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,000	991	990
Ascend Learning, LLC	Service-technology-based learning solutions	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.53%, Due 12/2017) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,000	972	980
Attachmate Corporate	Service-develops, implements and supports software	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.5%, Due 2/2017) <sup>(E)</sup>	4,000	3,962	3,810
Autoparts Holdings Limited	Supplier to the light and heavy-duty vehicle after market for replacement parts	Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2018) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,000	995	978
Covad Communications Group, Inc.	Service-telecommunications	Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 11/2015) <sup>(E)</sup>	1,850	1,818	1,795
Ernest Health, Inc.	Service-post-acute care services	Senior Term Debt (10.3%, Due 5/2017) <sup>(E)</sup>	2,000	1,971	1,930
Global Brass and Copper, Inc.	Manufacturing—steel wool products and metal fibers	Senior Term Debt (10.3%, Due 8/2015) <sup>(E)</sup>	2,969	2,893	3,054

HGI Holding, Inc	Service—distributor of disposable medical products	Senior Term Debt (6.8%, Due 10/2016) (E)	1,757	1,723	1,687
Hubbard Radio, LLC	Service-radio station operator	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (8.8%, Due 4/2018) (E)	500	495	488
Keypoint Government Solutions, Inc.	Service-security consulting services	Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 12/2015) (E)	6,948	6,916	6,670

[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)**  
**AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**

Company <sup>(A)</sup>	Industry	Investment <sup>(B)</sup>	Principal	Cost	Fair Value
<b>NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS (Continued):</b>					
Mood Media Corporation	Service-media and marketing solutions	Senior Term Debt (10.3%, Due 11/2018) (E)	\$ 8,000	\$ 7,923	\$ 7,370
National Surgical Hospitals, Inc.	Service-physician-partnered surgical facilities	Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due 2/2017) (E)	1,694	1,658	1,627
Sensus USA, Inc.	Service-provider of utility communication services	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 5/2018) (E)	500	495	483
Springs Window Fashions, LLC	Manufacturing-window coverings	Senior Term Debt (11.3%, Due 11/2017) (E)	5,000	4,855	4,750
SRAM, LLC	Manufacturing-premium bicycle components	Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 12/2018) (E)	2,500	2,476	2,475
Targus Group International, Inc.	Manufacturing-carrying cases and accessories for notebook computers	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 5/2016) (E)	9,975	9,785	9,626
Ulterra Drilling Technologies, LP	Manufacturing-oil field drill bits and slick-slip reduction tools	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 6/2016) (E)	1,975	1,937	1,916
Vision Solutions, Inc.	Service-provider of information availability software	Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 7/2017) (E)	11,000	10,915	10,560
Wall Street Systems Holdings, Inc.	Service-software provider	Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 6/2018) (E)	3,000	2,971	2,880
WP Evenflo Group Holdings Inc.	Manufacturing-infant and juvenile products	Senior Term Debt (8.0%, Due 2/2013) (E) Senior Preferred Equity (333 shares) (F)(G) Junior Preferred Equity (111 shares) (F)(G) Common Stock (1,874 shares) (F)(G)	1,853	1,853 333 111 —	1,723 419 146 210
				<u>2,297</u>	<u>2,498</u>
<i>Subtotal—Syndicated loans</i>				<u>\$ 92,062</u>	<u>\$ 90,663</u>
<b>Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments (represented 84.9% of total investments at fair value)</b>				<b><u>\$288,266</u></b>	<b><u>\$257,302</u></b>
<b>CONTROL INVESTMENTS:</b>					
BERTL, Inc.	Service-web-based evaluator of imaging products	Line of Credit, \$6 available (6.4%, Due 10/2011)(F)(H) Common Stock (100 shares) (F)(G)	\$ 1,427	\$ 1,355 424 1779	\$ — — —
Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc.	Manufacturing-trucking parts	Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 4/2013) (C)(F) Common Stock (15,500 shares) (F)(G)	7,505	7,505 1 7,506	7,505 7,534 15,039
Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc.	Service - cable, internet, voice provider	Line of Credit, \$179 available (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (D)(H) Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (D)(H) Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) (D)(H) Common Stock (100 shares) (F)(G)	346 1,500 1,039 —	337 1,444 1,000 —	14 60 42 —
				<u>2,781</u>	<u>116</u>
Lindmark Acquisition, LLC	Service-advertising	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.0%, Due 10/2012)(D)(H) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 10/2012)(D)(H) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due Upon Demand) (D)(H) Common Stock (100 shares) (F)(G)	10,000 2,000 1,908 —	10,000 2,000 1,908 317	2,000 400 383 —
				<u>14,225</u>	<u>2,783</u>
LocalTel, LLC	Service-yellow pages publishing	Line of credit, \$2 available (10.0%, Due 12/2011) (F)(H) Line of Credit, \$1,830 available (4.7%, Due 6/2012) (F)(H) Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 2/2012) (F)(H) Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 6/2012) (F)(H) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 6/2012) (C)(F)(H) Common Stock Warrants (4,000 shares) (F)(G)	1,848 1,170 325 2,688 2,750 —	1,848 1,170 325 2,688 2,750 —	734 — — — — —
				<u>8,781</u>	<u>734</u>
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	Distribution-aluminum sheets and stainless steel	Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 7/2013) (D) Common Stock (501 shares) (F)(G)	18,281	18,262 138 18,400	17,184 — 17,184
Sunshine Media Holdings	Service-publisher regional B2B trade magazines	Line of credit, \$1,100 available (10.5%, Due 8/2014) (D) Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 5/2016) (D) Senior Term Debt (5.0%, Due 5/2016) (C)(D) Junior Preferred Equity (6,689 shares) (F)(G) Common Stock (934 shares) (F)(G)	900 16,948 10,700 — —	900 16,948 10,700 2,475 740	270 5,084 3,210 — —



[Table of Contents](#)

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)**  
**AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**  
**(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**

Company <sup>(A)</sup>	Industry	Investment <sup>(B)</sup>	Principal	Cost	Fair Value
<b>CONTROL INVESTMENTS (Continued):</b>					
U.S. Healthcare Communications, Inc.	Service-magazine publisher/operator	Line of credit, \$131 available (6.0%, Due 12/2010) (F) (H)	\$ 269	\$ 269	\$ —
		Line of credit, \$0 available (6.0%, Due 12/2010) (F) (H)	450	450	—
		Common Stock (100 shares) (F) (G)		2,470	—
				3,189	—
Viapack, Inc.	Manufacturing-polyethylene film	Line of Credit, \$900 available (10.0%, Due 3/2013) (D)	1,600	1,600	320
		Senior Real Estate Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2014) (D)	600	600	120
		Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 3/2014) (C) (D)	3,925	3,925	785
		Preferred Equity (100 shares) (F) (G)		—	—
				6,125	1,225
<b>Total Control Investments (represented 15.1% of total investments at fair value)</b>				<b>\$ 94,549</b>	<b>\$ 45,645</b>
<b>Total Investments</b>				<b>\$382,815</b>	<b>\$302,947</b>

(A) Certain of the securities listed in the above schedule are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.

(B) Percentage represents interest rates in effect at September 30, 2011, and due date represents the contractual maturity date.

(C) Last Out Tranche (“LOT”) of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the LOT is paid after the senior debt.

(D) Fair value was primarily based on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor’s Securities Evaluations, Inc.

(E) Security valued based on the indicative bid price on or near September 30, 2011, offered by the respective syndication agent’s trading desk or secondary desk.

(F) Fair value was primarily based on the total enterprise value of the portfolio company using a liquidity waterfall approach. We also considered discounted cash flow methodologies.

(G) Security is non-income producing.

(H) Debt security is on non-accrual status.

*THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.*



GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

JUNE 30, 2012

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE DATA AND AS OTHERWISE INDICATED)

**NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION**

Gladstone Capital Corporation was incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Maryland on May 30, 2001 and completed an initial public offering on August 23, 2001. The terms “we,” “our,” and “us” all refer to Gladstone Capital Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. We are a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be treated as a business development company (“BDC”) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”). In addition, we have elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company (“RIC”) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). Our investment objective is to achieve a high level of current income by investing in debt securities, consisting primarily of senior notes, senior subordinated notes and junior subordinated notes, with a particular focus on senior notes, of established private businesses in the United States (“U.S.”) that are substantially owned by leveraged buyout funds, individual investors or are family-owned businesses. In addition, we may acquire existing loans that meet this profile from other funds. We also seek to provide our stockholders with long-term capital growth through the appreciation in the value of warrants or other equity instruments that we may receive when we make loans.

Gladstone Business Loan, LLC (“Business Loan”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, was established on February 3, 2003 for the sole purpose of owning our portfolio of investments in connection with our line of credit.

Gladstone Financial Corporation (“Gladstone Financial”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, was established on November 21, 2006 for the purpose of holding a license to operate as a Specialized Small Business Investment Company. Gladstone Financial (previously known as Gladstone SSBIC Corporation) acquired this license in February 2007. The license enables us, through this subsidiary, to make investments in accordance with the United States Small Business Administration guidelines for specialized small business investment companies.

The financial statements of the subsidiaries are consolidated with those of ours.

We are externally managed by Gladstone Management Corporation (the “Adviser”), an affiliate of ours.

**NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Unaudited Interim Financial Statements and Basis of Presentation*

We prepare our interim financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”). Accordingly, certain disclosures accompanying annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP are omitted. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Under Article 6 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Act, and the authoritative accounting guidance provided by the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies, we are not permitted to consolidate any portfolio company investments, including those in which we have a controlling interest. In our opinion, all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring accruals, necessary for the fair statement of financial statements for the interim periods have been included. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of results that ultimately may be achieved for the year. The interim financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on November 14, 2011.

Our fiscal year-end *Condensed Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities* was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

*Reclassifications*

Certain amounts in the prior period’s financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the presentation for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2012, with no effect to net decrease in net assets resulting from operations.

---

## Table of Contents

### *Investment Valuation Policy*

We carry our investments at fair value to the extent that market quotations are readily available and reliable and otherwise at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors (the “Board of Directors”). In determining the fair value of our investments, our Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the “Policy”). The Policy has been approved by our Board of Directors, and each quarter our Board of Directors reviews whether our Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether to accept the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. Such determination of fair values may involve subjective judgments and estimates.

We use generally accepted valuation techniques to value our portfolio unless we have specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time, we may accept an appraisal of a business in which we hold securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently, but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and scope used to value our investments. When we obtain these specific third-party appraisals, we use estimates of value provided by such appraisals and our own assumptions, including estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date, to value our investments.

The Policy, summarized below, applies to publicly traded securities, securities for which a limited market exists and securities for which no market exists.

**Publicly traded securities:** We determine the value of publicly traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that we own restricted securities that are not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, we will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature. As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we did not have any investments in publicly traded securities.

**Securities for which a limited market exists:** We value securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market, but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the quoted bid price, which are non-binding. In valuing these assets, we assess trading activity in an asset class and evaluate variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quoted prices are reliable. In general, if we conclude that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid prices are requested; however, if firm bid prices are unavailable, we base the value of the security upon the indicative bid price (“IBP”) offered by the respective originating syndication agent’s trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that we use the IBP as a basis for valuing the security, the Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy, including but not limited to reviewing a range of indicative bids to the extent the Adviser has ready access to such qualified information.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid to a degree that market prices are no longer readily available, we will value our syndicated loans using alternative methods, such as estimated net present values of the future cash flows or discounted cash flows (“DCF”). The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures,” which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity’s own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant observable market data does not exist, an alternative outlined in ASC 820 is the valuation of investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, we consider multiple inputs, such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make, both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, we develop a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among other things, increased probability of default, higher loss given default or increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what we believe a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. We will apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity.

As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we determined that the indicative bid prices were reliable indicators of fair value for our syndicate investments. However, because of the private nature of this marketplace (meaning actual transactions are not publicly reported), we determined that these valuation inputs were classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy as defined in ASC 820.

**Securities for which no market exists:** The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into four categories: (A) portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities; (B) portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities; (C) portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities; and (D) portfolio investments comprised of non-publicly traded, non-control equity securities of other funds.

## Table of Contents

- (A) **Portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities:** Debt securities that are not publicly traded on an established securities market, or for which a market does not exist (“Non-Public Debt Securities”), and that are issued by portfolio companies in which we have no equity or equity-like securities, are fair valued utilizing opinions of value submitted to us by Standard & Poor’s Securities Evaluations, Inc. (“SPSE”). We may also submit paid-in-kind (“PIK”) interest to SPSE for its evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.
- (B) **Portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:**The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value (“TEV”) of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under ASC 820 for our Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g., preferred equity, common equity or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package, where we have control or could gain control through an option or warrant security; both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisitions market as the principal market, generally through a sale of the portfolio company. We manage our risk related to these investments at the aggregated issuer level and generally exit the debt and equity securities together. Applying the liquidity waterfall approach to all of the investments of an issuer, we first calculate the TEV of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors:

- the issuer’s ability to make payments;
- the earnings of the issuer;
- recent sales to third parties of similar securities;
- the comparison to publicly traded securities; and
- DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, we may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. TEV is only an estimate of value and may not be the value received in an actual sale. Once we have estimated the TEV of the issuer, we will subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer, which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of TEV over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities, which include all the debt securities, have been subtracted from the TEV of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer’s equity or equity-like securities. If, in the Adviser’s judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, the Adviser may recommend that we use a valuation by SPSE, or, if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

- (C) **Portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:**We value Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity or equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical secondary market as our principal market. In accordance with ASC 820 (as amended by the FASB’s Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, “Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”),” (“ASU 2011-04”)), we have defined our “unit of account” at the investment level (either debt or equity) and as such determine our fair value of these non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual security using the standalone premise of value. As such, we estimate the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. For equity or equity-like securities of investments for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, we estimate the fair value of the equity based on factors such as the overall value of the issuer, the relative fair value of other units of account, including debt, or other relative value approaches. Consideration is also given to capital structure and other contractual obligations that may impact the fair value of the equity. Furthermore, we may utilize comparable values of similar companies, recent investments and indices with similar structures and risk characteristics or DCF valuation techniques and, in the absence of other observable market data, our own assumptions.
- (D) **Portfolio investments comprised of non-publicly traded, non-control equity securities of other funds:** We generally value any uninvested capital of the non-control fund at par value and value any invested capital at the value provided by the non-control fund.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly and materially from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that we might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

Refer to Note 3—*Investments* for additional information regarding fair value measurements and our application of ASC 820.

### *Interest Income Recognition*

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs, the accretion of discounts and the amortization of amendment fees, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due, or if our qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, we will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, we remain contractually entitled to this interest. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to the cost basis, depending upon management's judgment. Generally, non-accrual loans are restored to accrual status when past due principal and interest are paid and, in management's judgment, are likely to remain current, or due to a restructuring such that the interest income is deemed to be collectable. At June 30, 2012, eight portfolio companies were either fully or partially on non-accrual with an aggregate debt cost basis of \$62.4 million, or 16.3% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of \$5.3 million, or 1.9% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio. At September 30, 2011, eight portfolio companies were on non-accrual with an aggregate debt cost basis of \$41.1 million, or 11.0% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of \$5.3 million, or 1.8% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio.

As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we had 25 and 27 original issue discount ("OID") loans, respectively, primarily from the syndicated loans in our portfolio. We recorded OID income of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$64 and \$117 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The unamortized balance of OID investments as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 totaled \$1.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

As of June 30, 2012, we had one investment that bore PIK interest and as of September 30, 2011, we had no investments that bore PIK interest. PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in a loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of a loan and recorded as income. To maintain our status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be paid out to common stockholders in the form of distributions, even though we have not yet collected the cash. We recorded \$6 of PIK income during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to \$4 and \$12 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

We also transfer past due interest to the principal balance as stipulated in certain loan amendments with portfolio companies. There were no such transfers during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012. We transferred past due interest to the principal balance of \$0 and \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

### *Other Income Recognition*

We generally record success fees upon receipt of cash. Success fees are contractually due upon a change of control in a portfolio company and are recorded in other income in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. We recorded \$2.8 million of success fees during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, which resulted mainly from our exits of Global Materials Technologies, Inc, RCS Management Holding Co, and Northern Contours, Inc. We recorded \$0.6 million of success fees during the nine months ended June 30, 2011, which resulted from our exits of Pinnacle Treatment Centers, Inc. and Interfilm Holdings, Inc.

## **NOTE 3. INVESTMENTS**

ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. ASC 820 provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. ASC 820 also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

- Level 1 — inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;

## Table of Contents

- Level 2— inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active or inactive markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and
- Level 3— inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and can include our own assumptions based upon the best available information.

We transfer investments in and out of Level 1, 2 and 3 securities as of the beginning balance sheet date, based on changes in the use of observable and unobservable inputs utilized to perform the valuation for the period. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no transfers in or out of Level 1, 2 and 3.

The following table presents the investments carried at fair value as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, by caption on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* and by security type, all of which are valued using Level 3 inputs:

	Total Recurring Fair Value Measurements Reported in <i>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</i>	
	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
<b>Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments</b>		
Senior term debt	\$ 164,662	\$ 182,002
Senior subordinated term debt	91,218	72,182
Preferred equity	609	566
Common equity/equivalents	4,266	2,552
Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments	\$ 260,755	\$ 257,302
<b>Control Investments</b>		
Senior term debt	\$ 11,746	\$ 18,143
Senior subordinated term debt	18,987	19,966
Common equity/equivalents	7,099	7,536
Total Control Investments	\$ 37,832	\$ 45,645
<b>Total Investments at Fair Value</b>	<b>\$ 298,587</b>	<b>\$ 302,947</b>

## Table of Contents

In accordance with ASU 2011-04, which was effective for us beginning January 1, 2012, the following table provides quantitative information about our Level 3 fair value measurements of our investments as of June 30, 2012. In addition to the techniques and inputs noted in the table below, according to our valuation policy, we may also use other valuation techniques and methodologies when determining our fair value measurements. The below table is not intended to be all-inclusive, but rather provides information on the significant Level 3 inputs as they relate to our fair value measurements. The weighted average calculations in the table below are based on the principal balances for all debt related calculations and on the cost basis for all equity related calculations for the particular input.

### Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

	Fair Value as of June 30, 2012	Valuation Techniques/ Methodologies	Unobservable Input	Range /Weighted Average
Non-syndicated debt only investments	\$ 105,567 <sup>(A)</sup>	SPSE <sup>(B)</sup>	EBITDA <sup>(C)</sup> Risk Ratings <sup>(D)</sup>	(\$310) - \$15,332 / \$5,168 2.0 - 10.0 / 6.0
Syndicated debt only investments	89,412	Market Quotes	IBP <sup>(E)</sup>	87.0% - 100.5% / 98.4%
Bundled debt and equity investments	101,445	SPSE <sup>(B)</sup>	EBITDA <sup>(C)</sup> Risk Ratings <sup>(D)</sup>	(\$1,141) - \$4,840 / \$2,383 3.0 - 7.0 / 4.9
		TEV	EBITDA multiples <sup>(C)</sup>	4.5 - 9.5 / 5.7
			EBITDA <sup>(C)</sup>	(\$1,141) - \$13,166 / \$6,705
Other investments	2,163			
<b>Total Fair Value for Level 3 Investments</b>	<b>\$ 298,587</b>			

- <sup>(A)</sup> Includes a new non-syndicated debt only investment which was valued at cost, as it was determined that the price paid during the three months ended June 30, 2012, best represents fair value as of June 30, 2012.
- <sup>(B)</sup> SPSE makes an independent assessment of the data we submit to them (which includes the financial and operational performance, as well as our internally assessed risk ratings of the portfolio companies – see footnote (C) below) and its own independent data to form an opinion as to what they consider to be the market values for our securities. With regard to its work, SPSE has stated that the data submitted to us is regarded as proprietary in nature.
- <sup>(C)</sup> Earnings before interest expense, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) is an unobservable input which is generally based on the most recently available trailing twelve month financial statements submitted to us from the portfolio companies. EBITDA multiples, generally indexed, represent our estimation of where market participants might price these investments. For our bundled debt and equity investments, the EBITDA and EBITDA multiples impact the TEV fair value determination and the value of the issuer’s debt, equity, or equity-like securities are valued in accordance with our liquidity waterfall approach.
- <sup>(D)</sup> As part of our valuation procedures, we risk rate all of our investments in debt securities. We use the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization’s risk rating system for generally all of syndicated loans and a proprietary risk rating system for all other debt securities. Our risk rating system uses a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 being the lowest probability of default. The risk rating system covers both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the portfolio company business and the securities we hold.
- <sup>(E)</sup> We generally base the value of our syndicated debt securities on the IBP offered by the respective originating syndication agent’s trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. These bid prices are non-binding and are generally based on the underlying company performance and security characteristics, as well as other market conditions and credit risk factors.

Portfolio company’s EBITDA and EBITDA multiples are the significant unobservable inputs generally included in our internally assessed TEV models used to value our proprietary debt and equity investments. Holding all other factors constant, increases (decreases) in the EBITDA and/or the EBITDA multiples inputs would result in a higher (lower) fair value measurement. Per our valuation policy, we generally use an indexed EBITDA multiple. EBITDA and EBITDA multiple inputs do not have to directionally correlate since EBITDA is a company performance metric and EBITDA multiples can be influenced by market, industry, size and other factors.

### Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements of Investments

The following tables provide the changes in fair value, broken out by security type, during the three and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for all investments for which we determine fair value using unobservable (Level 3) factors. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, such determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable, or Level 3, inputs, observable inputs (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). In these cases, we categorize all of the inputs as the lowest level input within the hierarchy. Accordingly, the gains and losses in the tables below include changes in fair value, due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

[Table of Contents](#)

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

**Periods ended June 30, 2012:**

**Three months ended June 30, 2012:**

	Senior Term Debt	Senior Subordinated Term Debt	Preferred Equity	Common Equity/ Equivalents	Total
Fair value as of March 31, 2012	\$180,000	\$ 95,697	\$ 595	\$ 11,875	\$288,167
Total gains or losses					
Net realized gain <sup>(a)</sup>	87	34	—	—	121
Net unrealized depreciation <sup>(b)</sup>	(6,411)	(2,003)	(1,186)	(1,510)	(11,110)
Reversal of prior period net (appreciation) depreciation on realization <sup>(b)</sup>	(108)	96	—	—	(12)
New investments, repayments and settlements <sup>(c)</sup>					
Issuances/originations	13,945	20,500	1,200	1,000	36,645
Settlements/repayments	(11,105)	(4,119)	—	—	(15,224)
<b>Fair value as of June 30, 2012</b>	<b>\$176,408</b>	<b>\$ 110,205</b>	<b>\$ 609</b>	<b>\$ 11,365</b>	<b>\$298,587</b>

**Nine months ended June 30, 2012:**

	Senior Term Debt	Senior Subordinated Term Debt	Preferred Equity	Common Equity/ Equivalents	Total
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$200,145	\$ 92,148	\$ 566	\$ 10,088	\$302,947
Total gains or losses					
Net realized (loss) gain <sup>(a)</sup>	(8,276)	34	—	—	(8,242)
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation <sup>(b)</sup>	(21,539)	(4,032)	(2,758)	277	(28,052)
Reversal of prior period net depreciation on realization <sup>(b)</sup>	11,463	541	—	—	12,004
New investments, repayments and settlements <sup>(c)</sup>					
Issuances/originations	30,633	31,820	2,801	1,000	66,254
Settlements/repayments	(29,559)	(10,306)	—	—	(39,865)
Sales	(6,459)	—	—	—	(6,459)
<b>Fair value as of June 30, 2012</b>	<b>\$176,408</b>	<b>\$ 110,205</b>	<b>\$ 609</b>	<b>\$ 11,365</b>	<b>\$298,587</b>

**Periods ended June 30, 2011:**

**Three months ended June 30, 2011:**

	Senior Term Debt	Senior Subordinated Term Debt	Preferred Equity	Common Equity/ Equivalents	Total
Fair value as of March 31, 2011	\$173,602	\$ 76,599	\$ 537	\$ 6,375	\$257,113
Total gains or losses					
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation <sup>(b)</sup>	(16,849)	(1,053)	14	(901)	(18,789)
New investments, repayments and settlements <sup>(c)</sup>					
Issuances/originations	52,691	12,785	—	750	66,226
Settlements/repayments	(5,163)	(108)	—	—	(5,271)
<b>Fair value as of June 30, 2011</b>	<b>\$204,281</b>	<b>\$ 88,223</b>	<b>\$ 551</b>	<b>\$ 6,224</b>	<b>\$299,279</b>

**Nine months ended June 30, 2011:**

	Senior Term Debt	Senior Subordinated Term Debt	Preferred Equity	Common Equity/ Equivalents	Total
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$172,596	\$ 81,899	\$ 386	\$ 2,228	\$257,109
Total gains or losses					
Net realized gain (loss) <sup>(a)</sup>	177	(14)	—	—	163
Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation <sup>(b)</sup>	(34,067)	(2,892)	(210)	2,073	(35,096)
Reversal of prior period net (appreciation) depreciation on realization <sup>(b)</sup>	(191)	731	—	(247)	293
New investments, repayments and settlements <sup>(c)</sup>					
Issuances/originations	99,633	15,907	375	2,947	118,862
Settlements/repayments	(33,867)	(7,408)	—	—	(41,275)
Sales	—	—	—	(777)	(777)
<b>Fair value as of June 30, 2011</b>	<b>\$204,281</b>	<b>\$ 88,223</b>	<b>\$ 551</b>	<b>\$ 6,224</b>	<b>\$299,279</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> Included in net realized gain (loss) on Non-Control/Non-Affiliate and Control investments on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations* for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

## Table of Contents

- (b) Included in net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation on Non-Control/Non-Affiliate and Control investments on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations* for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.
- (c) Includes increases in the cost basis of investments resulting from new portfolio investments, the amortization of discounts, premiums and closing fees as well as decreases in the cost basis of investments resulting from principal repayments or sales.

### *Non-Syndicated Investments*

As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we held 33 and 35 non-syndicated investments with an aggregate fair value of \$207.9 million and \$212.3 million, respectively. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we added three new non-syndicated investments, with an aggregate fair value of \$29.4 million at June 30, 2012, we sold two non-syndicated investments for combined gross proceeds of \$6.5 million and three non-syndicated investments paid off early, for which we received aggregate principal payments of \$12.9 million. Additionally, during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we funded \$19.2 million to existing non-syndicated portfolio companies through revolver draws, add-on investments, or new securities, while scheduled and unscheduled principal payments totaled \$22.6 from existing non-syndicated portfolio companies. The following significant non-syndicated investment transactions occurred during the nine months ended June 30, 2012:

- *Sunshine Media Holdings*—Effective October 1, 2011, we restructured Sunshine Media Holdings (“Sunshine”) by reducing the interest rates on its line of credit, senior term debt and LOT senior term debt to preserve capital at the portfolio company to further enable Sunshine to invest in new and existing initiatives. In addition, we funded \$2.8 million through additional preferred equity investments and \$3.6 million through additional line of credit draws to Sunshine for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. We placed our investment in Sunshine’s LOT senior term debt on non-accrual status effective January 1, 2012 and the remaining senior term debt and revolver investments on non-accrual status effective April 1, 2012.
- *KMBQ Corporation*—In November 2011, we invested \$1.6 million in Ohana Media Group (“Ohana”) to facilitate its purchase of certain of KMBQ Corporation’s (“KMBQ”) assets out of receivership. In connection with this transaction, we received net proceeds of \$1.2 million and recorded a realized loss during the three months ended December 31, 2011 totaling \$1.0 million. Ohana replaced KMBQ on our Condensed Consolidated Schedule of Investments as a Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investment at December 31, 2011.
- *Newhall Holdings, Inc.*—In December 2011, we sold our investments in Newhall Holdings, Inc. (“Newhall”) for net proceeds of \$3.3 million, which resulted in a realized loss of \$7.4 million recorded in the three months ended December 31, 2011.
- *Viapack, Inc.*—Effective January 1, 2012, we restructured our investment in Viapack, Inc. (“Viapack”) by reducing the interest rates on its line of credit, senior real estate term debt and senior term debt to preserve capital at the portfolio company to enable it to invest in existing initiatives. In addition, we funded \$2.1 million to Viapack through additional draws on its line of credit for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. We placed our investment in Viapack’s LOT senior term debt on non-accrual status effective January 1, 2012.
- *Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd.*—In May 2012, we invested \$16.0 million in Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. (“Francis”) through a combination of debt and equity. Francis, headquartered in Crowley, Louisiana, is a logistics network provider of warehousing, transportation and energy field services for oil and natural gas drilling to oilfields and exploration and production customers.
- *POP Radio, L.P.*—In May 2012, we invested \$12.0 million in POP Radio, L.P. (“POP”) through senior and senior subordinated term debt. POP, headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah, is an advertiser-supported in-store radio network provider to retailers.

### *Syndicated Investments*

We had a total of 22 and 24 syndicate loans with an aggregate fair value of \$90.7 million as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we had four early payoffs of syndicated investments for a combined total of \$10.3 million and added two new syndicated investments for a combined total of \$15.5 million. In addition, we had one add-on investment to an existing syndicate investment during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 for \$2.0 million.



## Table of Contents

### Investment Concentrations

As of June 30, 2012, our investment portfolio consisted of loans to 55 companies located in 28 states across 21 different industries with an aggregate fair value of \$298.6 million. As of June 30, 2012, there were 22 syndicated investments totaling \$90.4 million at cost and \$90.7 million at fair value, or 22.9% and 30.4% of the total aggregate portfolio, respectively.

The following table outlines our investments by security type as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

	June 30, 2012				September 30, 2011			
	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Fair Value
Senior term debt	\$252,831	64.1%	\$176,408	59.1%	\$266,491	69.6%	\$200,145	66.1%
Senior subordinated term debt	128,688	32.6	110,205	36.9	107,140	28.0	92,148	30.4
Common equity/equivalents	11,799	3.0	11,365	3.8	7,999	2.1	10,088	3.3
Preferred equity	1,184	0.3	609	0.2	1,185	0.3	566	0.2
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$394,502</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$298,587</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$382,815</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$302,947</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Investments at fair value consisted of the following industry classifications at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

Industry Classification	June 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments
Electronics	\$ 53,661	18.0%	\$ 45,752	15.1%
Healthcare, education & childcare	32,948	11.0	34,106	11.3
Mining, steel, iron & non-precious metals	31,147	10.4	33,734	11.1
Broadcast (TV & radio)	25,323	8.5	22,146	7.3
Automobile	24,911	8.3	25,768	8.5
Retail stores	19,260	6.5	19,340	6.4
Oil and Gas	17,892	6.0	1,916	0.6
Aerospace & defense	15,998	5.4	10,003	3.3
Printing & publishing	11,183	3.7	17,623	5.8
Textiles & leather	9,801	3.3	9,626	3.2
Personal & non-durable consumer products	8,356	2.8	6,962	2.3
Machinery	7,526	2.5	8,696	2.9
Beverage, food & tobacco	7,276	2.4	7,332	2.4
Personal, food and miscellaneous services	7,275	2.4	7,635	2.5
Diversified/conglomerate manufacturing	6,901	2.3	8,790	2.9
Buildings & real estate	5,911	2.0	10,275	3.4
Leisure, amusement, movies & entertainment	5,564	1.9	6,607	2.2
Home & office furnishings	3,608	1.2	9,715	3.2
Telecommunications	2,079	0.7	7,842	2.6
Other <sup>(A)</sup>	1,967	0.7	2,215	0.7
Diversified/conglomerate service	—	—	3,810	1.3
Diversified natural resources, precious metals & minerals	—	—	3,054	1.0
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$298,587</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$302,947</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> No individual industry within this category exceeds 1%.

The investments at fair value were included in the following geographic regions of the U.S. at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

Geographic Region	June 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
	Fair Value	Percent of Total Investments	Fair Value	Percent of Total Investments
Midwest	\$128,409	43.0%	\$144,292	47.6%
West	80,766	27.0	70,862	23.4
South	57,900	19.4	52,265	17.3
Northeast	23,752	8.0	28,158	9.3
Other—non U.S.	7,760	2.6	7,370	2.4
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>\$298,587</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$302,947</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Table of Contents

The geographic region reflects the location of the headquarters of our portfolio companies. A portfolio company may have a number of other business locations in other geographic regions.

### Investment Principal Repayments

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayments and maturity of our investment portfolio by fiscal year, assuming no voluntary prepayments, at June 30, 2012:

		<u>Amount</u>
For the remaining three months ending September 30:	2012	\$ 13,819
For the fiscal year ending September 30:	2013	116,114
	2014	57,649
	2015	28,538
	2016	75,452
	Thereafter	91,207
	<b>Total contractual repayments</b>	<b>\$382,779</b>
	Investments in equity securities	12,983
	Adjustments to cost basis on debt securities	(1,260)
	<b>Total cost basis of investments held at June 30, 2012:</b>	<b><u>\$394,502</u></b>

### Receivables from Portfolio Companies

Receivables from portfolio companies represent non-recurring costs that we incurred on behalf of portfolio companies and are included in other assets on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*. We maintain an allowance for uncollectible receivables from portfolio companies, which is determined based on historical experience and management's expectations of future losses. We charge the accounts receivable to the established provision when collection efforts have been exhausted and the receivables are deemed uncollectible. As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we had gross receivables from portfolio companies of \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The allowance for uncollectible receivables was \$0.4 million as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011. In addition, we recorded an allowance for uncollectible interest receivable of \$21 and \$65 as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

## NOTE 4. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

### Loans to Former Employees

We have outstanding loans to certain employees of the Adviser, each of whom was a joint employee of the Adviser (or our previous adviser, Gladstone Capital Advisers, Inc.) and us at the time the loans were originally provided. The loans were extended to such employees to allow them to exercise options granted under the Amended and Restated 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, which has since been terminated. The loans require the quarterly payment of interest at the market rate in effect at the date of issuance, have varying terms not exceeding ten years and have been recorded as a reduction of net assets. The loans are evidenced by full recourse notes that are due upon maturity or 60 days following termination of employment, and the shares of common stock purchased with the proceeds of the loans are posted as collateral. We received \$0.3 million and \$2.1 million of principal repayments during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additionally, one employee redeemed 39,082 common shares (20,000 in December 2011 and 19,082 in January 2012) to pay off \$0.3 million of principal on his outstanding loans during the six months ended March 31, 2012. There were no redemptions of common shares held by employees during the quarter ended June 30, 2012. We recognized interest income from all employee loans of \$62 and \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Refer to Note 7—*Common Stock* for additional information related to these transactions.

### Investment Advisory and Management Agreement

We entered into an investment advisory and management agreement with our Adviser (the "Advisory Agreement"). Our Adviser is controlled by our chairman and chief executive officer. In accordance with the Advisory Agreement, we pay the Adviser certain fees as compensation for its services, such fees consisting of a base management fee and an incentive fee. On July 10, 2012, our Board of Directors approved the renewal of the Advisory Agreement through August 31, 2013.

## Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the management fees, incentive fees and associated credits reflected in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Average total assets subject to base management fee</b>	<b>\$ 312,200</b>	<b>\$ 290,200</b>	<b>\$ 310,300</b>	<b>\$ 277,600</b>
Multiplied by prorated annual base management fee of 2.0%	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
<b>Base management fee <sup>(A)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 1,561</b>	<b>\$ 1,451</b>	<b>\$ 4,655</b>	<b>\$ 4,164</b>
Reduction for loan servicing fees	<b>(867)</b>	<b>(814)</b>	<b>(2,690)</b>	<b>(2,413)</b>
<b>Adjusted base management fee</b>	<b>694</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>1,965</b>	<b>1,751</b>
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	<b>(280)</b>	<b>(77)</b>	<b>(333)</b>	<b>(77)</b>
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum	<b>(102)</b>	<b>(117)</b>	<b>(345)</b>	<b>(250)</b>
<b>Net base management fee</b>	<b>\$ 312</b>	<b>\$ 443</b>	<b>\$ 1,287</b>	<b>\$ 1,424</b>
Incentive fee <sup>(A)</sup>	<b>1,217</b>	<b>1,133</b>	<b>3,556</b>	<b>3,395</b>
Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser's board of directors	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>(278)</b>	<b>(21)</b>
<b>Net incentive fee</b>	<b>\$ 1,217</b>	<b>\$ 1,133</b>	<b>\$ 3,278</b>	<b>\$ 3,374</b>
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	<b>(280)</b>	<b>(77)</b>	<b>(333)</b>	<b>(77)</b>
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum	<b>(102)</b>	<b>(117)</b>	<b>(345)</b>	<b>(250)</b>
Incentive fee credit	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>(278)</b>	<b>(21)</b>
<b>Credit to base management and incentive fees from Adviser <sup>(A)</sup></b>	<b>\$ (382)</b>	<b>\$ (194)</b>	<b>\$ (956)</b>	<b>\$ (348)</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> Reflected as a line item on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*.

### Base Management Fee

The base management fee is payable quarterly and assessed at an annual rate of 2.0%, computed on the basis of the value of our average total assets at the end of the two most recently-completed quarters. Average total assets is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the applicable quarters within the respective periods and adjusted appropriately for any share issuances or repurchases during the periods. In addition, the following three items are adjustments to the base management fee calculation:

- *Loan Servicing Fees*

The Adviser also services the loans held by Business Loan, in return for which it receives a 1.5% annual fee, based on the monthly aggregate outstanding balance of loans pledged under our line of credit. Since we own these loans, all loan servicing fees paid to the Adviser are treated as reductions directly against the 2.0% base management fee under the Advisory Agreement.

- *Senior Syndicated Loan Fee Waiver*

Our Board of Directors accepted an unconditional and irrevocable voluntary waiver from the Adviser to reduce the annual 2.0% base management fee on senior syndicated loan participations to 0.5%, to the extent that proceeds resulting from borrowings were used to purchase such senior syndicated loan participations, for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

- *Portfolio Company Fees*

Under the Advisory Agreement, the Adviser has also provided, and continues to provide, managerial assistance and other services to our portfolio companies and may receive fees for services other than managerial assistance. 50% of certain of these fees, and 100% of other fees are credited against the base management fee that we would otherwise be required to pay to the Adviser.

### Incentive Fee

The incentive fee consists of two parts: an income-based incentive fee and a capital gains-based incentive fee. The income-based incentive fee rewards the Adviser if our quarterly net investment income (before giving effect to any incentive fee) exceeds 1.75% of our net assets (the "hurdle rate"). We will pay the Adviser an income-based incentive fee with respect to our pre-incentive fee net investment income in each calendar quarter as follows:

- no incentive fee in any calendar quarter in which our pre-incentive fee net investment income does not exceed the hurdle rate (7.0% annualized);

---

## Table of Contents

- 100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.1875% in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized); and
- 20% of the amount of our pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds 2.1875% in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized).

Our Board of Directors accepted an unconditional and irrevocable voluntary waiver from the Adviser to reduce the income-based incentive fee to the extent net investment income did not cover all distributions to common stockholders for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

The second part of the incentive fee is a capital gains-based incentive fee that will be determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each fiscal year (or upon termination of the Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date) and equals 20% of our realized capital gains as of the end of the fiscal year. In determining the capital gains-based incentive fee payable to the Adviser, we will calculate the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains and cumulative aggregate realized capital losses since our inception, and the aggregate unrealized capital depreciation as of the date of the calculation, as applicable, with respect to each of the investments in our portfolio. For this purpose, cumulative aggregate realized capital gains, if any, equals the sum of the differences between the net sales price of each investment, when sold, and the original cost of such investment since our inception. Cumulative aggregate realized capital losses equals the sum of the amounts by which the net sales price of each investment, when sold, is less than the original cost of such investment since our inception. Aggregate unrealized capital depreciation equals the sum of the difference, if negative, between the valuation of each investment as of the applicable calculation date and the original cost of such investment. At the end of the applicable year, the amount of capital gains that serves as the basis for our calculation of the capital gains-based incentive fee equals the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains less cumulative aggregate realized capital losses, less aggregate unrealized capital depreciation, with respect to our portfolio of investments. If this number is positive at the end of such year, then the capital gains-based incentive fee for such year equals 20% of such amount, less the aggregate amount of any capital gains-based incentive fees paid in respect of our portfolio in all prior years. No capital gains-based incentive fee has been recorded since our inception through June 30, 2012, as cumulative unrealized capital depreciation has exceeded cumulative realized capital gains net of cumulative realized capital losses.

Additionally, in accordance with GAAP, a capital gains-based incentive fee accrual is calculated using the aggregate cumulative realized capital gains and losses and aggregate cumulative unrealized capital depreciation included in the calculation of the capital gains-based incentive fee plus the aggregate cumulative unrealized capital appreciation. If such amount is positive at the end of a period, then GAAP requires us to record a capital gains-based incentive fee equal to 20% of such amount, less the aggregate amount of actual capital gains-based incentive fees paid in all prior years. If such amount is negative, then there is no accrual for such year. GAAP requires that the capital gains-based incentive fee accrual consider the cumulative aggregate unrealized capital appreciation in the calculation, as a capital gains-based incentive fee would be payable if such unrealized capital appreciation were realized. There can be no assurance that such unrealized capital appreciation will be realized in the future. No GAAP accrual for a capital gains-based incentive fee has been recorded since our inception through June 30, 2012.

As a BDC, we make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies and provide other services to such portfolio companies. Although neither we nor our Adviser receive fees in connection with managerial assistance, the Adviser provides other services to our portfolio companies and receives fees for these other services.

### *Administration Agreement*

We have entered into an administration agreement (the "Administration Agreement") with Gladstone Administration, LLC (the "Administrator"), an affiliate of ours and of the Adviser, whereby we pay separately for administrative services. The Administration Agreement provides for payments equal to our allocable portion of the Administrator's overhead expenses in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including, but not limited to, rent and the salaries and benefits expenses of our chief financial officer and treasurer, chief compliance officer, internal counsel and their respective staffs. Our allocable portion of administrative expenses is generally derived by multiplying the Administrator's total allocable expenses by the percentage of our total assets at the beginning of the quarter in comparison to the total assets at the beginning of the quarter of all companies managed by the Adviser under similar agreements. On July 10, 2012, our Board of Directors approved the renewal of the Administration Agreement through August 31, 2013.

## Table of Contents

### Related Party Fees Due

Amounts due to related parties on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* were as follows:

	As of June 30, 2012	As of September 30, 2011
Base management fee due to Adviser	\$ 312	\$ 330
Incentive fee due to Adviser	1,217	1,203
Loan servicing fee due to Adviser	197	227
<b>Total fees due to Adviser</b>	<b>1,726</b>	<b>1,760</b>
Fee due to Administrator	175	194
<b>Total related party fees due</b>	<b>\$ 1,901</b>	<b>\$ 1,954</b>

## NOTE 5. BORROWINGS

### Line of Credit

On January 19, 2012, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Loan, entered into Amendment No. 3 to the fourth amended and restated credit agreement (the "Credit Facility") to extend the maturity date of our \$137.0 million revolving line of credit from March 15, 2012 to January 18, 2015 (the "Maturity Date"). Our Credit Facility was arranged by Key Equipment Finance Inc. ("Keybank") as administrative agent. Branch Banking and Trust Company ("BB&T") and ING Capital LLC ("ING") also joined our Credit Facility as committed lenders. Subject to certain terms and conditions, our Credit Facility may be expanded to a maximum of \$237.0 million through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. If our Credit Facility is not renewed or extended by the Maturity Date, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before January 18, 2016 (one year after the Maturity Date). The interest rates on advances under our Credit Facility remain unchanged and generally bear interest at a 30-day London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") (subject to a minimum rate of 1.5%), plus 3.75% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.5% per annum on undrawn amounts when our facility is drawn more than 50% and 1.0% per annum on undrawn amounts when our facility is drawn less than 50%. We incurred fees of \$1.5 million in January 2012 in connection with this amendment.

The following tables summarize noteworthy information related to our Credit Facility at cost:

	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Commitment amount	\$ 137,000	\$ 137,000
Borrowings outstanding	87,300	99,400
Availability	40,928	24,700

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Weighted average borrowings outstanding	\$80,575	\$63,435	\$72,292	\$32,614
Effective interest rate <sup>(A)</sup>	5.9%	6.0%	6.0%	6.2%
Commitment (unused) fees incurred	\$ 97	\$ 143	\$ 415	\$ 604

<sup>(A)</sup> Excludes the impact of deferred financing fees.

Interest is payable monthly during the term of our Credit Facility. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under our Credit Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Loan, which varies as loans are added and repaid, regardless of whether such repayments are prepayments or made as contractually required.

The administrative agent also requires that any interest or principal payments on pledged loans be remitted directly by the borrower into a lockbox account with Keybank as custodian. Keybank, who also serves as the trustee of the account, generally remits the collected funds to us monthly.

Our Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Loan to maintain its status as a separate legal entity, prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions), and restrict material changes to our credit and collection policies. Our Credit Facility also limits payments on distributions to aggregate net investment income for each of the twelve month periods ending September 30, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015. Business Loan is also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments it can apply as collateral towards the borrowing base in order to receive additional

## Table of Contents

borrowing availability under our Credit Facility, including restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, dividend payout, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. Our Credit Facility further requires Business Loan to comply with other financial and operational covenants, which obligate Business Loan to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage and a minimum number of obligors required in the borrowing base of the credit agreement. Additionally, we are subject to a performance guaranty that requires us to maintain (i) a minimum net worth (defined in the Credit Facility to include our Term Preferred Stock) of \$190.0 million plus 50.0% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after January 19, 2012, (ii) asset coverage with respect to senior securities representing indebtedness of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act and (iii) our status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of June 30, 2012 and as defined in the performance guaranty of our Credit Facility, we had a minimum net worth of \$225.5 million, an asset coverage of 251.2% and an active status as a BDC and RIC. Our Credit Facility requires a minimum of 20 obligors in the borrowing base and as of June 30, 2012, Business Loan had 37 obligors. As of June 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the facility covenants.

### Fair Value

We elected to apply ASC 825, "Financial Instruments," specifically for our Credit Facility, which was consistent with our application of ASC 820 to our investments. Generally, we estimate the fair value of our Credit Facility using estimates of value provided by an independent third party and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including estimated remaining life, counterparty credit risk, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. At both June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, our Credit Facility was valued using Level 3 inputs.

The following tables present our Credit Facility carried at fair value as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, by caption on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* for Level 3 of the hierarchy established by ASC 820 and the changes in fair value of our Credit Facility during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Total Recurring Fair Value Measurement Reported in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
	\$	\$
<b>Borrowings</b>	<b>91,777</b>	<b>100,012</b>

### Total Fair value Reported in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Fair value as of March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	\$ 65,800	\$ 33,646
Net unrealized appreciation <sup>(A)</sup>	4,477	54
Borrowings	37,000	59,000
Repayments	(15,500)	—
<b>Fair value as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively</b>	<b>\$ 91,777</b>	<b>\$ 92,700</b>

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Fair value as of September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively	\$ 100,012	\$ 17,940
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) <sup>(A)</sup>	3,865	(640)
Borrowings	69,900	109,800
Repayments	(82,000)	(34,400)
<b>Fair value as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively</b>	<b>\$ 91,777</b>	<b>\$ 92,700</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> Included in net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation on borrowings on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations* for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

The fair value of the collateral under our Credit Facility was \$254.9 million and \$256.9 million at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

### NOTE 6. MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

In November 2011, we completed a public offering of 1.5 million shares of 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share ("Term Preferred Stock"), at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. Gross proceeds totaled \$38.5 million and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us, were \$36.4 million and were used to

## Table of Contents

repay a portion of outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. We incurred \$2.1 million in total offering costs related to these transactions, which have been recorded as deferred financing fees on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* and will be amortized over the redemption period ending December 31, 2016.

The shares of our Term Preferred Stock have a redemption date of December 31, 2016 and are traded under the ticker symbol of "GLADP" on the NASDAQ Global Select Market ("NASDAQ"). Our Term Preferred Stock is not convertible into our common stock or any other security and provides for a fixed dividend equal to 7.125% per year, payable monthly (which equates to approximately \$2.7 million per year). We are required to redeem all of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock on December 31, 2016 for cash at a redemption price equal to \$25.00 per share plus an amount equal to accumulated but unpaid dividends, if any, as of the date of redemption. In addition, there are two other potential redemption triggers: 1) if we fail to maintain an asset coverage ratio of at least 200%, we are required to redeem a portion of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock or otherwise cure the ratio redemption trigger and 2) at our sole option, at any time on or after December 31, 2012, we may redeem part or all of the Term Preferred Stock.

Our Board of Directors declared the following monthly distributions to preferred stockholders for the nine months ended June 30, 2012:

Fiscal Year	Time Period	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Distribution per Term Preferred Share
2012	November 4 – 30 <sup>(A)</sup>	December 6, 2011	December 16, 2011	December 30, 2011	\$ 0.13359375
	December 1 – 31	December 6, 2011	December 16, 2011	December 30, 2011	0.14843750
	January 1 – 31	January 10, 2012	January 23, 2012	January 21, 2012	0.14843750
	February 1 – 29	January 10, 2012	February 21, 2012	February 29, 2012	0.14843750
	March 1 – 31	January 10, 2012	March 22, 2012	March 30, 2012	0.14843750
	April 1 – 30	April 10, 2012	April 20, 2012	April 30, 2012	0.14843750
	May 1 – 31	April 10, 2012	May 18, 2012	May 31, 2012	0.14843750
	June 1 – 30	April 10, 2012	June 20, 2012	June 29, 2012	0.14843750
			<b>Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012:</b>		<b>\$ 1.17265625</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> November 2011 was prorated from the time the Term Preferred Stock was issued and outstanding as per our final prospectus supplement dated October 28, 2011.

In accordance with ASC 480, "Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity," mandatorily redeemable financial instruments should be classified as liabilities in the balance sheet and therefore the related dividend payments are treated as dividend expense on our statement of operations as of the ex-dividend date.

Aggregate preferred distributions declared and paid for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 were approximately \$0.7 and \$1.8 million, respectively. There were no preferred distributions declared or paid for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011. The tax character of distributions paid by us to preferred stockholders is from ordinary income.

## NOTE 7. COMMON STOCK

### Registration Statement

On October 20, 2009, we filed a registration statement (the "Registration Statement") on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592) that was amended on December 9, 2009 and which the SEC declared effective on January 28, 2010. Post-effective amendments dated July 13, 2011 (declared effective by the SEC on July 15, 2011) and dated June 4, 2012 (declared effective by the SEC on June 11, 2012) were also filed under this Registration Statement. The Registration Statement permits us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, including through a combined offering of such securities. To date, under the Registration Statement, we have issued \$38.5 million in Term Preferred Stock and have entered into an agreement, described below, to potentially issue up to 2.0 million shares of common stock from time to time in the future.

On May 17, 2010, together with the Advisor, we entered into an equity distribution agreement (the "Agreement") with BB&T Capital Markets, a division of Scott & Stringfellow, LLC (the "Agent"), under which we may, from time to time, issue and sell through the Agent, as sales agent, up to 2.0 million shares (the "Shares") of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, based upon instructions from us (including, at a minimum, the number of Shares to be offered, the time period during which sales are requested to be made, any limitation on the number of Shares that may be sold in any one day and any minimum price below which sales may not be made). Sales of Shares through the Agent, if any, will be executed by means of either ordinary brokers'

## Table of Contents

transactions on NASDAQ in accordance with Rule 153 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or such other sales of the Shares as shall be agreed by us and the Agent. The compensation payable to the Agent for sales of Shares with respect to which the Agent acts as sales agent shall be equal to 2.0% of the gross sales price of the Shares sold pursuant to the Agreement. To date, we have not issued any shares pursuant to this Agreement.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, pursuant to the terms of our articles of incorporation, as amended, our Board of Directors approved reclassifying 4.0 million shares of common stock to shares of preferred stock.

### Employee Notes

The following table is a summary of all outstanding notes issued to employees of the Adviser for the exercise of stock options:

Issue Date	Original Number of Options Exercised	Strike Price of Options Exercised	Original Amount of Promissory Note Issued to Employees	Outstanding Balance of Employee Loans at June 30, 2012	Maturity Date	Original Interest Rate on Note
Aug-01	393,334	15.00	\$ 5,900 <sup>(A)</sup>	\$ 2,749	Aug-10	4.90% <sup>(B)</sup>
Aug-01	18,334	15.00	275 <sup>(A)</sup>	200	Aug-10	4.90 <sup>(B)</sup>
Aug-01	18,334	15.00	275 <sup>(C)</sup>	—	Aug-11	4.90
Sep-04	13,332	15.00	200 <sup>(C)</sup>	95	Sep-13	5.00
Jul-06	13,332	15.00	200	200	Jul-15	8.26
Jul-06	18,334	15.00	275	275	Jul-15	8.26
	<b>475,000</b>		<b>\$ 7,125</b>	<b>\$ 3,519</b>		

<sup>(A)</sup> On September 7, 2010, we entered into redemption agreements (the “Redemption Agreements”) with David Gladstone, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Laura Gladstone, the daughter of Mr. Gladstone and an officer of the Adviser, and one of our Managing Directors, in connection with the maturity of secured promissory notes executed by Mr. Gladstone and Ms. Gladstone in favor of us on August 23, 2001, in the principal amounts of \$5.9 million and \$0.3 million, respectively (collectively, the “Notes”). Mr. and Ms. Gladstone executed the Notes to facilitate their payment of the exercise price of certain stock options (the “Options”) to acquire shares of our common stock. Concurrently with the execution of the Notes, we, together with Mr. and Ms. Gladstone entered into stock pledge agreements (collectively, the “Pledge Agreements”), pursuant to which Mr. and Ms. Gladstone granted to us a first priority security interest in the Pledged Collateral (as defined in the respective Pledge Agreements), which included 393,334 and 18,334 shares, respectively, of our common stock that Mr. and Ms. Gladstone acquired pursuant to the exercise of the Options (collectively, the “Pledged Shares”). An event of default was triggered under the Notes by virtue of Mr. and Ms. Gladstone’s failure to repay the amounts outstanding under the Notes within five business days of August 23, 2010. The Redemption Agreements provide that, pursuant to the terms and conditions thereof, we will automatically accept and retire the Pledged Shares in partial or full satisfaction, as applicable, of Mr. and Ms. Gladstone’s obligations to us under the Notes at such time, if ever, that the trading price of our common stock reaches \$15 per share. In entering into the Redemption Agreements, we reserved all of our existing rights under the Notes and the Pledge Agreements, including, but not limited to, the ability to foreclose on the Pledged Collateral at any time. On March 30, 2011, June 27, 2011 and September 26, 2011, Mr. Gladstone paid down an aggregate of \$3.2 million of the principal balance of his note, leaving a principal balance of \$2.7 million outstanding as of June 30, 2012. In connection with these payments, we released our first priority security interest on 210,000 common shares of Mr. Gladstone’s Pledged Shares, leaving a balance of 183,334 common shares in Pledged Collateral from Mr. Gladstone as of June 30, 2012. On September 28, 2011, Ms. Gladstone paid down \$0.1 million of the principal balance of her 2001 note, leaving a principal balance of \$0.2 million outstanding on this note as of June 30, 2012. The principal balances under her 2006 notes remain unchanged at an aggregate balance of \$0.5 million as of June 30, 2012.

<sup>(B)</sup> An event of default was triggered under these Notes by virtue of Mr. and Ms. Gladstone’s failure to repay the amounts outstanding within five business days of August 23, 2010. As such, we charged a default rate of an additional 2% per annum under these Notes for all periods following default.

<sup>(C)</sup> On December 20, 2011, we entered into an amended and restated redemption agreement with an employee of the Adviser in connection with the maturity of a secured promissory note executed by the employee in favor of us on August 23, 2001, in the principal amount of \$0.3 million. Pursuant to the redemption agreement, on December 29, 2011, we redeemed 20,000 shares of our common stock that had been pledged as collateral on the employee note, resulting in a reduction of \$0.2 million on the employee note, leaving a principal balance of \$0.1 million as of December 31, 2011. On January 26, 2012, we redeemed an additional 19,082 shares of our common stock that had been pledged as collateral on the employee note, resulting in the employee’s defaulted loan being fully paid off and a reduction of \$0.1 million on the employee’s other note, leaving a remaining principal balance thereon of \$0.1 million as of June 30, 2012.

In accordance with ASC 505, “Equity,” receivables from employees for the issuance of capital stock to employees prior to the receipt of cash payment should be reflected in the balance sheet as a reduction to stockholders’ equity. Therefore, these recourse notes were recorded as loans to employees and are included in the equity section of our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*. As of June 30, 2012, we determined that these notes were still recourse.



[Table of Contents](#)

**NOTE 8. NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER COMMON SHARE**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net decrease in net assets resulting from operations per weighted average common share for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Numerator for basic and diluted net decrease in net assets resulting from operations per common share	\$ (10,580)	\$ (14,310)	\$ (13,474)	\$ (20,560)
Denominator for basic and diluted weighted average common shares	21,000,160	21,039,242	21,014,805	21,039,242
Basic and diluted net decrease in net assets resulting from operations per weighted average common share	\$ (0.50)	\$ (0.68)	\$ (0.64)	\$ (0.98)

**NOTE 9. DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS**

We are required to pay out as distributions 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains for each taxable year to maintain our status as a RIC under Subtitle A, Chapter 1 of Subchapter M of the Code. It is our policy to pay out as a distribution up to 100% of those amounts. The amount to be paid out as a distribution is determined by our Board of Directors each quarter and is based on our estimated taxable income by management. Based on that estimate, three monthly distributions are declared each quarter.

Our Board of Directors declared the following monthly distributions to common stockholders for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Fiscal Year	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Distribution per Common Share
2012	October 11, 2011	October 21, 2011	October 31, 2011	\$ 0.07
	October 11, 2011	November 17, 2011	November 30, 2011	0.07
	October 11, 2011	December 21, 2011	December 30, 2011	0.07
	January 10, 2012	January 23, 2012	January 31, 2012	0.07
	January 10, 2012	February 21, 2012	February 29, 2012	0.07
	January 10, 2012	March 22, 2012	March 30, 2012	0.07
	April 11, 2012	April 20, 2012	April 30, 2012	0.07
	April 11, 2012	May 18, 2012	May 31, 2012	0.07
	April 11, 2012	June 20, 2012	June 29, 2012	0.07
			<b>Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012:</b>	<b>\$ 0.63</b>
2011	October 5, 2010	October 21, 2010	October 29, 2010	\$ 0.07
	October 5, 2010	November 19, 2010	November 30, 2010	0.07
	October 5, 2010	December 23, 2010	December 31, 2010	0.07
	January 11, 2011	January 21, 2011	January 31, 2011	0.07
	January 11, 2011	February 21, 2011	February 28, 2011	0.07
	January 11, 2011	March 21, 2011	March 31, 2011	0.07
	April 12, 2011	April 22, 2011	April 29, 2011	0.07
	April 12, 2011	May 20, 2011	May 31, 2011	0.07
	April 12, 2011	June 20, 2011	June 30, 2011	0.07
			<b>Nine Months Ended June 30, 2011:</b>	<b>\$ 0.63</b>

Aggregate common distributions declared and paid for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were each approximately \$13.2 and \$13.3 million, respectively, which were declared based on estimates of net investment income for the respective fiscal years. The characterization of the common distributions declared and paid for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 will be determined at year end and cannot be determined at this time. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, taxable income available for common distributions exceeded distributions declared and paid, and, in accordance with Section 855(a) of the Code, we elected to treat \$0.7 million of the first common distribution paid in fiscal year 2012 as having been paid in the prior year.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### NOTE 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### *Legal Proceedings*

We are party to certain legal proceedings incidental to the normal course of our business, including the enforcement of our rights under contracts with our portfolio companies. We are required to establish reserves for litigation matters where those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable. When loss contingencies are not both probable and estimable, we do not establish reserves. Based on current knowledge, we do not believe that loss contingencies, if any, arising from pending investigations, litigation or regulatory matters will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operation.

#### *Escrow Holdbacks*

From time to time, we will enter into arrangements as it relates to exits of certain investments whereby specific amounts of the proceeds are held in escrow in order to be used to satisfy potential obligations as stipulated in the sales agreements. We record escrow amounts in restricted cash on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*. We establish a contingent liability against the escrow amounts if we determine that it is probable and estimable that a portion of the escrow amounts will not be ultimately received at the end of the escrow period. The aggregate contingent liability amount recorded against the escrow amounts was \$1.2 million and \$0 as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, and is located in other liabilities on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*.

#### *Financial Commitments and Obligations*

We have lines of credit and capital commitments with certain of our portfolio companies that have not been fully drawn or called. Since these commitments have expiration dates and we expect many will never be fully drawn or called, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

In addition to the lines of credit and capital commitments with portfolio companies, we also extend certain guarantees on behalf of some of our portfolio companies during the normal course of business. In January 2012, we executed a guarantee for one of our Control investments, Viapack, to irrevocably and unconditionally guarantee payment and performance of Viapack's obligations regarding purchase agreements and expenses to one of its vendors. This guarantee was amended in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 to decrease the maximum amount from \$0.6 million to \$0.3 million and terminates within 30 days written notice not to extend further credit. All other terms remained the same. As of September 30, 2011, we were not party to any signed guarantees.

We estimated the fair value of our unused line of credit commitments, uncalled capital commitment and guarantee as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 to be minimal; and therefore, they are not recorded on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*. The following table summarizes the dollar balances of unused line of credit commitments, uncalled capital commitment and guarantee as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

	As of June 30, 2012	As of September 30, 2011
Unused line of credit commitments	\$ 8,313	\$ 12,990
Uncalled capital commitment	800	800
Guarantee	300	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 9,413</b>	<b>\$ 13,790</b>

NOTE 11. FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Per Common Share Data<sup>(A)</sup></b>				
Net asset value at beginning of period	\$ 9.62	\$ 11.18	\$ 10.16	\$ 11.85
Net investment income <sup>(B)</sup>	0.23	0.22	0.69	0.65
Net realized gain (loss) on investments <sup>(B)</sup>	0.01	—	(0.38)	—
Net unrealized depreciation of investments <sup>(B)</sup>	(0.53)	(0.90)	(0.76)	(1.66)
Net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of borrowings <sup>(B)</sup>	(0.21)	—	(0.19)	0.03
Distributions to common stockholders from net investment income <sup>(B)(C)</sup>	(0.21)	(0.21)	(0.63)	(0.63)
Repayment of principal on employee notes	—	0.05	0.02	0.10
Stock redemption for repayment on employee notes	—	—	(0.02)	—
Other, net <sup>(D)</sup>	—	—	0.02	—
Net asset value at end of period	<u>\$ 8.91</u>	<u>\$ 10.34</u>	<u>\$ 8.91</u>	<u>\$ 10.34</u>
Market value at beginning of period	\$ 8.11	\$ 11.31	\$ 6.86	\$ 11.27
Market value at end of period	7.89	9.24	7.89	9.24
Total return <sup>(E)</sup>	(0.06)%	(16.6)%	24.39%	(13.24)%
Common shares outstanding at end of period	21,000,160	21,039,242	21,000,160	21,039,242
<b>Statement of Assets and Liabilities Data:</b>				
Net assets at end of period	\$ 187,013	\$ 217,536	\$ 187,013	\$ 217,536
Average net assets <sup>(F)</sup>	197,354	228,291	205,115	242,754
<b>Senior Securities Data:</b>				
Borrowings under Credit Facility, at cost	87,300	92,200	87,300	92,200
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	38,497	—	38,497	—
Asset coverage ratio <sup>(G)</sup>	251%	336%	251%	336%
Asset coverage per unit <sup>(H)</sup>	\$ 2,514	\$ 3,358	\$ 2,514	\$ 3,358
<b>Ratios/Supplemental Data:</b>				
Ratio of expenses to average net assets-annualized <sup>(I)</sup>	11.09%	8.13%	10.88%	6.66%
Ratio of net expenses to average net assets-annualized <sup>(J)</sup>	10.32	7.79	10.25	6.47
Ratio of net investment income to average net assets-annualized	9.86	7.94	9.43	7.47

<sup>(A)</sup> Based on actual shares outstanding at the end of the corresponding period.

<sup>(B)</sup> Based on weighted average basic per share data.

<sup>(C)</sup> Distributions are determined based on taxable income calculated in accordance with income tax regulations which may differ from amounts determined under GAAP.

<sup>(D)</sup> Represents the impact of the different share amounts (weighted average shares outstanding during the period and shares outstanding at the end of the period) in the per share data calculations and rounding impacts.

<sup>(E)</sup> Total return equals the change in the ending market value of our common stock from the beginning of the period, taking into account distributions reinvested in accordance with the terms of our dividend reinvestment plan. Total return does not take into account distributions that may be characterized as a return of capital. For further information on the estimated character of our distributions to common stockholders, please refer to Note 9—*Distributions to Common Stockholders*. Total return is not annualized.

<sup>(F)</sup> Average net assets are computed using the average of the balance of net assets at the end of each month of the reporting period.

<sup>(G)</sup> As a BDC, we are generally required to maintain an asset coverage ratio (as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act) of at least 200% on our senior securities representing indebtedness and our senior securities that are stock. Our mandatorily redeemable preferred stock is a senior security that is stock.

<sup>(H)</sup> Asset coverage per unit is the asset coverage ratio expressed in terms of dollar amounts per one thousand dollars of indebtedness.

<sup>(I)</sup> Ratio of expenses to average net assets is computed using expenses before credits from the Adviser to the base management and incentive fees and including income tax expense.

<sup>(J)</sup> Ratio of net expenses to average net assets is computed using total expenses net of credits from the Adviser to the base management and incentive fees and including income tax expense.

---

[Table of Contents](#)**NOTE 12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS***Distributions*

In July 2012, our Board of Directors declared the following monthly cash distributions to common and preferred stockholders:

<u>Record Date</u>	<u>Payment Date</u>	<u>Distribution per Common Share</u>	<u>Distribution per Term Preferred Share</u>
July 20, 2012	July 31, 2012	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.1484375
August 22, 2012	August 31, 2012	0.07	0.1484375
September 19, 2012	September 28, 2012	0.07	0.1484375
	<b>Total for the Quarter</b>	<b>\$ 0.21</b>	<b>\$ 0.4453125</b>

*Investment Activity*

Subsequent to June 30, 2012, we extended an aggregate amount of approximately \$0.3 million to three existing portfolio companies in revolver draws and received scheduled and unscheduled repayments of \$0.5 million from nine portfolio companies. In addition, our loans to Winchester Electronics were paid off early at par for a total of \$12.6 million. We received \$1.2 million in success fees related to this pay off in July 2012.

*Co-Investment Order*

In an order dated July 26, 2012, the SEC granted us the relief sought in the exemptive application we had previously filed with the SEC that expands our ability to co-invest with certain affiliates by permitting us, under certain circumstances, to co-invest with Gladstone Investment Corporation and any future business development company or closed-end management investment company that is advised by our Adviser (or sub-advised by our Adviser if it controls the fund) or any combination of the foregoing.

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

### **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (dollar amounts in thousands, except share and per share data and as otherwise indicated)**

All statements contained herein, other than historical facts, may constitute "forward-looking statements." These statements may relate to, among other things, future events or our future performance or financial condition. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "estimate," "may," "might," "believe," "will," "provided," "anticipate," "future," "could," "growth," "plan," "intend," "expect," "should," "would," "if," "seek," "possible," "potential," "likely" or the negative of such terms or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this Form 10-Q.

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

#### **OVERVIEW**

##### **General**

We were incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Maryland on May 30, 2001. Our investment objective is to achieve a high level of current income by investing in debt securities, consisting primarily of senior notes, senior subordinated notes and junior subordinated notes, with a particular focus on senior notes, of established private businesses in the United States ("U.S.") that are substantially owned by leveraged buyout funds, individual investors or are family-owned businesses. In addition, we may acquire existing loans that meet this profile from other funds. We also seek to provide our stockholders with long-term capital growth through the appreciation in the value of warrants or other equity instruments that we may receive when we make loans. We operate as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company, and have elected to be treated as a business development company ("BDC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). In addition, for tax purposes we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code").

We focus on investing in small and medium-sized private U.S. businesses that meet certain criteria, including some but not all of the following: the potential for growth in cash flow, adequate assets for loan collateral, experienced management teams with a significant ownership interest in the borrower, profitable operations based on the borrower's cash flow, reasonable capitalization of the borrower (usually by leveraged buyout funds or venture capital funds) and the potential to realize appreciation and gain liquidity in our equity position, if any. We anticipate that liquidity in our equity position will be achieved through a merger or acquisition of the borrower, a public offering of the borrower's stock or by exercising our right to require the borrower to repurchase our warrants, though there can be no assurance that we will always have these rights. We lend to borrowers that need funds to finance growth, restructure their balance sheets or effect a change of control. We invest by ourselves or jointly with other funds and/or management of the portfolio company, depending on the opportunity. If we are participating in an investment with one or more co-investors, our investment is likely to be smaller than if we were investing alone.

##### **Business Environment**

While economic conditions in the U.S. generally appear to be improving, we remain cautious about a long-term economic recovery. The recent recession in general, and the disruptions in the capital markets in particular, have impacted our liquidity options and increased the cost of debt and equity capital. Many of our portfolio companies, as well as those that we evaluate for possible investment, are impacted by these economic conditions. If these conditions continue to persist, it may affect their ability to repay our loans or engage in a liquidity event, such as a sale, recapitalization or initial public offering. The economic conditions could also disproportionately impact some of the industries in which we have invested, causing us to be more vulnerable to losses in our portfolio, which could cause the number of our non-performing assets to increase and the fair market value of our portfolio to decrease. We do not know if market conditions will continue to improve or if adverse conditions will again intensify, and we do not know the full extent to which the economic downturn will affect us. If market instability persists or intensifies, we may experience continued difficulty in raising additional capital.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Despite the challenges during these uncertain economic times, during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we completed both a preferred stock public offering and a renewal of our \$137.0 million line of credit (our "Credit Facility," defined under "*Recent Developments – Renewal of Credit Facility*" below). In November 2011, we issued 1.5 million shares of term preferred stock (our "Term Preferred Stock," defined under "*Recent Developments – Term Preferred Stock Offering*" below) for gross proceeds of \$38.5 million and in January 2012, we closed on an amendment to our Credit Facility to extend its maturity until 2015. In addition, subsequent to June 30, 2012, we have been granted relief by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") that expands our ability to co-invest in portfolio companies with certain affiliated investment funds, subject to certain circumstances, which we believe will enhance our ability to further our investment strategy and objectives. We discuss each of the foregoing in detail below under "*Recent Developments.*"

Market conditions have presumably affected the trading price of our common stock and our ability to finance new investments through the issuance of equity. On July 30, 2012, the closing market price of our common stock was \$8.43, a 5.4% discount to our June 30, 2012 net asset value ("NAV") per common share of \$8.91. When our stock trades below NAV, as it has consistently traded over the last three years, our ability to issue equity is constrained by provisions of the 1940 Act, which generally prohibits the issuance and sale of our common stock below NAV per common share without stockholder approval other than through sales to our then-existing stockholders pursuant to a rights offering. At our annual meeting of stockholders held on February 16, 2012, our stockholders approved a proposal authorizing us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per common share subject to certain limitations (including, but not limited to, that the cumulative number of shares issued and sold pursuant to such authority does not exceed 25% of our then outstanding common stock immediately prior to each such sale) for a period of one year from the date of approval, provided that our Board of Directors makes certain determinations prior to any such sale.

Challenges in the current market are intensified for us by certain regulatory limitations under the Code and the 1940 Act, as well as contractual restrictions under the agreement governing our Credit Facility that further constrain our ability to access the capital markets. To maintain our qualification as a RIC, we must satisfy, among other requirements, an annual distribution requirement to pay out at least 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains to our stockholders. Because we are required to distribute our income in this manner, and because the illiquidity of many of our investments makes it difficult for us to finance new investments through the sale of current investments, our ability to make new investments is highly dependent upon external financing. Our external financing sources include the issuance of equity securities, debt securities or other leverage, such as borrowings under our Credit Facility. Our ability to procure external debt financing, to the extent that it is available under current market conditions, is further subject to the asset coverage limitations of the 1940 Act, which require us to have an asset coverage ratio (as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act) of at least 200% on our senior securities representing indebtedness and our senior securities that are stock. Our Term Preferred Stock is a senior security that is stock.

The continued unsteady economic recovery may also continue to cause the value of the collateral securing some of our loans to fluctuate, as well as the value of our equity investments, which has impacted and may continue to impact our ability to borrow under our Credit Facility. Additionally, our Credit Facility contains covenants regarding the maintenance of certain minimum loan concentrations and net worth covenants, which are affected by the decrease in value of our portfolio. Failure to meet these requirements would result in a default which, if we are unable to obtain a waiver from our lenders, would cause an acceleration of our repayment obligations under our Credit Facility. As of June 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all of our Credit Facility's covenants.

We expect that, given these regulatory and contractual constraints in combination with current market conditions, debt and equity capital may continue to be costly or difficult for us to access in the near term. However, we believe that our recent public offering of Term Preferred Stock, our three year renewal on our Credit Facility and our new ability to co-invest with Gladstone Investment Corporation and other affiliated investment funds, will increase our ability to make conservative investments in businesses that we believe will weather the current economic conditions and will be likely to produce attractive long-term returns for our stockholders.

## **Investment Highlights**

During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we extended an aggregate of \$45.1 million of investments to five new portfolio companies and an aggregate of \$21.2 million of investments to existing portfolio companies through existing revolver draws, new term notes or additions to term notes and equity. Also, during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we sold three portfolio companies for aggregate proceeds of approximately \$6.5 million, and we received scheduled and unscheduled contractual principal repayments of approximately \$40.0 million from existing portfolio companies, including seven early payoffs. Since our initial public offering in August 2001, we have made 316 different loans to, or investments in, 158 companies for a total of approximately \$1.1 billion, before giving effect to principal repayments on investments and divestitures.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Investment Activity*

During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we extended an aggregate of \$28.0 million of investments to two new proprietary portfolio companies (Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. (“FDF”) and POP Radio, L.P. (“POP”)), an aggregate of \$15.5 million to two new syndicated portfolio companies (Blue Coat Systems, Inc. (“Blue Coat”) and PLATO Learning, Inc. (“PLATO”)) and \$1.6 million to Ohana Media Group (“Ohana”), which is a proprietary investment that resulted from a restructuring discussed below. During this period, we also executed the following transactions with certain of our portfolio companies:

### **Repayments and Exits:**

- During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, borrowers made principal repayments totaling \$40.0 million in the aggregate, consisting of \$23.2 million of unscheduled early payoffs as well as \$16.8 million in contractual amortization, revolver repayments and principal payments. Included in the principal payments were the net proceeds at par from early payoffs by Northern Contours, Inc. (“Northern Contours”) of \$6.1 million, Global Materials Technology Inc. (“GMT”) of \$2.4 million, RCS Management Holding Co. (“RCS”) of \$4.4 million, Ernest Health Inc. (“Ernest Health”) of \$2.0 million, Attachmate Corporate (“Attachmate”) of \$4.0 million, Global Brass and Copper, Inc. (“Global Brass”) of \$2.5 million, and Covad Communications Group, Inc. (“Covad”) of \$1.8 million. In relation to these exits, we received \$1.1 million in success fees from GMT, \$0.9 million in success fees from RCS and \$0.8 million from Northern Contours.
- In December 2011, we sold our investments in Newhall Holdings Inc. (“Newhall”) for net proceeds of \$3.3 million, which resulted in a realized loss of \$7.4 million recorded in the three months ended December 31, 2011.

### **Workouts:**

- Effective October 2011, we restructured Sunshine Media Holdings (“Sunshine”), by reducing the interest rates on its line of credit, senior term debt and LOT senior term debt to preserve Sunshine’s capital to further enable it to invest in new and existing initiatives. We have also invested \$2.8 million in additional preferred equity and \$3.6 million in line of credit draws to Sunshine during the nine months ended June 30, 2012. We placed our investment in Sunshine’s LOT senior term debt on non-accrual status effective January 1, 2012 and the remaining senior term debt and revolver investments on non-accrual status effective April 1, 2012.
- In November 2011, we invested \$1.6 million in Ohana to facilitate its purchase of certain of KMBQ Corporation’s (“KMBQ”) assets out of receivership. In connection with this transaction, we received net proceeds of \$1.2 million and recorded a realized loss during the three months ended December 31, 2011 totaling \$1.0 million. Ohana replaced KMBQ on our *Condensed Consolidated Schedule of Investments* as a Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investment at December 31, 2011.
- Effective January 2012, we restructured our investment in Viapack, Inc. (“Viapack”) by reducing the interest rates on its line of credit, senior real estate term debt and senior term debt to preserve Viapack’s capital to enable it to invest in existing initiatives. We have also invested \$2.1 million in line of credit draws to Viapack during the nine months ended June 30, 2012. We placed our investment in Viapack’s LOT senior term debt on non-accrual status effective January 1, 2012.

Refer to Note 12—*Subsequent Events* in our *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q for investment activity occurring subsequent to June 30, 2012.

## **Recent Developments**

### *Renewal of Credit Facility*

On January 19, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 3 to the fourth amended and restated credit agreement (the “Credit Facility”), through Gladstone Business Loan, LLC (“Business Loan”), to extend the maturity date of our \$137.0 million line of credit from March 15, 2012 to January 18, 2015 (the “Maturity Date”). The interest rates remained unchanged. Our Credit Facility was arranged by Key Equipment Finance Inc. (“Keybank”) as administrative agent. Branch Banking and Trust Company (“BB&T”) and ING Capital LLC (“ING”) also joined our Credit Facility as committed lenders. Subject to certain terms and conditions, our Credit Facility may be expanded to a maximum of \$237.0 million through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. If our Credit Facility is not renewed or extended by the Maturity Date, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before January 18, 2016 (one year after the Maturity Date). Our Credit Facility also limits payments on distributions to the aggregate net investment income for each of the twelve month periods ended September 30, 2012, 2013, 2014

## Table of Contents

and 2015. The interest rates on advances under our Credit Facility remained unchanged at 30-day London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) subject to a minimum rate of 1.5%, plus 3.75% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.5% per annum on undrawn amounts when our Credit Facility is drawn more than 50% and 1.0% per annum on undrawn amounts when our Credit Facility is drawn less than 50%. We incurred fees of \$1.4 million in January 2012 in connection with this amendment. All other terms of our Credit Facility remained substantially unchanged.

### Term Preferred Stock Offering

In November 2011, we completed an offering of 1.5 million shares of 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (“Term Preferred Stock”), at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share under a shelf registration statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592) and pursuant to a prospectus dated July 15, 2011, as supplemented by a final prospectus supplement dated October 28, 2011, which was filed with the SEC on October 31, 2011. Net proceeds of the offering, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us were approximately \$36.4 million and were used to repay a portion of outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. Refer to Note 6—*Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Stock* in our *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q for further discussion of our Term Preferred Stock offering.

### Co-Investment Order

In an order dated July 26, 2012, the SEC granted us the relief sought in the exemptive application we had previously filed with the SEC that expands our ability to co-invest with certain affiliates by permitting us, under certain circumstances, to co-invest with Gladstone Investment Corporation and any future business development company or closed-end management investment company that is advised by Gladstone Management Corporation, our investment adviser (our “Adviser”), (or sub-advised by our Adviser if it controls the fund) or any combination of the foregoing.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012, to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
<b>INVESTMENT INCOME</b>				
Interest income	\$ 8,983	\$ 8,536	\$ 447	5.2%
Other income	978	444	534	120.3
Total investment income	9,961	8,980	981	10.9
<b>EXPENSES</b>				
Base management fee	1,561	1,451	110	7.6
Incentive fee	1,217	1,133	84	7.4
Administration fee	175	174	1	0.6
Interest expense	1,167	958	209	21.8
Dividend expense on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	686	—	686	NM
Amortization of deferred financing fees	252	368	(116)	(31.5)
Professional fees	135	360	(225)	(62.5)
Other general and administrative expenses	281	196	85	43.4
Expenses before credits from Adviser	5,474	4,640	834	18.0
Credits to fees from Adviser	(382)	(194)	(188)	(96.9)
Total expenses net of credits	5,092	4,446	646	14.5
<b>NET INVESTMENT INCOME</b>	<b>4,869</b>	<b>4,534</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>7.4</b>
<b>REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS):</b>				
Realized gain (loss) on investments	150	(2)	152	NM
Net unrealized depreciation of investments	(11,122)	(18,789)	7,667	40.8
Net unrealized appreciation of borrowings	(4,477)	(53)	(4,424)	NM
Net loss from investments and borrowings	(15,449)	(18,844)	3,395	18.0
<b>NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS</b>	<b>\$(10,580)</b>	<b>\$(14,310)</b>	<b>\$ 3,730</b>	<b>26.1%</b>

NM = Not Meaningful



## Table of Contents

### Investment Income

Interest income from our investments in debt securities increased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 by 5.2%, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, for several reasons, but primarily due to the increased investment activity during the second half of fiscal year 2011, and a slight increase in our weighted average yield when comparing the quarter ended June 30, 2012 to the prior year quarter. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the principal balance of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was \$317.2 million, compared to \$312.0 million for the prior year quarter. The annualized weighted average yield on our interest-bearing investment portfolio for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was 11.3%, compared to 10.8% for the prior year period. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments. The weighted average yield on our portfolio increased during the three months ended June 30, 2012 due to the purchase of new proprietary investments during the quarter, and the early payoffs of some of our syndicated loans, which generally bear lower interest rates than our proprietary investments. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, eight portfolio companies were either fully or partially on non-accrual, for an aggregate of \$62.4 million at cost, or 16.3% of the aggregate cost of our debt investment portfolio, and during the prior year period, six portfolio companies were on non-accrual, for an aggregate of \$30.7 million at cost, or 8.3% of the aggregate cost of our debt investment portfolio.

Other income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of \$0.8 million in success fees received from the early payoff of Northern Contours. In addition, we received prepayment fees in the aggregate of \$0.2 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 related to early payoffs during the quarter. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, other income consisted primarily of \$0.4 million received in a legal settlement related to a prior portfolio company.

The following tables list the investment income from investments in our five largest portfolio company investments at fair value during the respective periods:

Company	As of June 30, 2012		Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	
	Fair Value	% of Portfolio	Investment Income	% of Total Revenues
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	\$ 25,420	8.5%	\$ 804	8.1%
Westlake Hardware, Inc.	19,260	6.4	645	6.5
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	17,595	5.9	559	5.6
Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd <sup>(A)</sup>	16,000	5.4	290	2.9
Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc.	14,364	4.8	202	2.0
<b>Subtotal—five largest investments</b>	<b>92,639</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>2,500</b>	<b>25.1</b>
Other portfolio companies	205,948	69.0	7,398	74.3
Other non-portfolio company revenue	—	—	63	0.6
<b>Total investment portfolio</b>	<b>\$298,587</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 9,961</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Company	As of June 30, 2011		Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	
	Fair Value	% of Portfolio	Investment Income	% of Total Revenues
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	\$ 25,605	8.6%	\$ 776	8.7%
Westlake Hardware, Inc.	19,440	6.5	645	7.2
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	16,727	5.6	559	6.2
CMI Acquisition, LLC	14,247	4.8	251	2.8
Winchester Electronics Co.	12,591	4.2	396	4.4
<b>Subtotal—five largest investments</b>	<b>88,610</b>	<b>29.7</b>	<b>2,627</b>	<b>29.3</b>
Other portfolio companies	210,669	70.3	6,251	69.6
Other non-portfolio company revenue	—	—	102	1.1
<b>Total investment portfolio</b>	<b>\$299,279</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 8,980</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> New investment during the applicable period.

### Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, net of credits to fees from our Adviser, increased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 by 14.5%, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to an increase in interest expense on our Credit Facility, the distributions on our Term Preferred Stock, and an increase in our base management fee, partially offset by a decrease in professional fees during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

## Table of Contents

Interest expense increased for the three months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to increased borrowings under our Credit Facility to facilitate our increased investment activity during the three months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period. The weighted average balance outstanding on our Credit Facility during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was approximately \$80.6 million, as compared to \$63.4 million in the prior year period, an increase of 27.0%.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we paid \$0.7 million of dividends on our Term Preferred Stock. We classify these dividends as dividend expense on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. There were no preferred stock dividends paid in the three months ended June 30, 2011, as our Term Preferred Stock offering occurred in November 2011.

The base management fee increased for the three months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to the greater amount of total assets subject to the base management fee that we held, resulting from a net increase in investment production. The increase in incentive fees earned by the Adviser during the three months ended June 30, 2012 is due primarily to increased investment income as compared to the prior year period.

The base management and incentive fees are computed quarterly, as described under “*Investment Advisory and Management Agreement*” in Note 4 of the notes to our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* and are summarized in the following table:

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
<b>Average total assets subject to base management fee<sup>(A)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 312,200</b>	<b>\$ 290,200</b>
Multiplied by prorated annual base management fee of 2.0%	0.5%	0.5%
<b>Base management fee<sup>(B)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 1,561</b>	<b>\$ 1,451</b>
Reduction for loan servicing fees	(867)	(814)
<b>Adjusted base management fee</b>	<b>694</b>	<b>637</b>
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	(280)	(77)
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum	(102)	(117)
<b>Net base management fee</b>	<b>\$ 312</b>	<b>\$ 443</b>
<b>Incentive fee<sup>(B)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 1,217</b>	<b>\$ 1,133</b>
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	(280)	(77)
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum	(102)	(117)
<b>Credit to base management and incentive fees from Adviser<sup>(B)</sup></b>	<b>\$ (382)</b>	<b>\$ (194)</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> Average total assets subject to the base management fee is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash and cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the applicable quarters within the respective periods and appropriately adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during the periods.

<sup>(B)</sup> Reflected as a line item on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*.

Professional fees decreased by \$0.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 due to a decrease in legal fees related to certain portfolio companies.

## Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments

### Realized Gain

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded a realized gain on investments of \$0.2 million primarily due to realized gains from unamortized discounts on the early payoff of Covad, Attachmate, and Global Brass as well as an escrow receipt from a previously exited investment.

### Net Unrealized Depreciation

Net unrealized depreciation of investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously-recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are actually realized. During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$11.1 million. Over our entire portfolio, the net unrealized depreciation is comprised of approximately \$8.4 million on our debt investments and approximately \$2.7 million on our equity investments for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

---

[Table of Contents](#)

During the prior year period ended June 30, 2011, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$18.8 million. Over our entire portfolio, the net unrealized depreciation consisted of approximately \$17.9 million on our debt investments and approximately \$0.9 on our equity investments for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

## Table of Contents

The realized gains and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

Portfolio Company	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012			
	Realized Gain	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	Reversal of Unrealized Appreciation	Net Gain (Loss)
FedCap Partners, LLC	\$ —	\$ 1,010	\$ —	\$ 1,010
Mood Media Corporation	—	278	—	278
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	—	(277)	—	(277)
International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company	—	(290)	—	(290)
Lindmark Acquisition, LLC	—	(417)	—	(417)
GFRC Holdings, LLC	—	(546)	—	(546)
Viapack, Inc.	—	(560)	—	(560)
Sunburst Media – Louisiana, LLC	—	(600)	—	(600)
CMI Acquisition, LLC	—	(642)	—	(642)
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	—	(1,320)	—	(1,320)
Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc.	—	(1,597)	—	(1,597)
BAS Broadcasting	—	(1,866)	—	(1,866)
Sunshine Media Holdings	—	(2,789)	—	(2,789)
Other, net (<\$250)	150	(1,494)	(12)	(1,356)
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$ 150</b>	<b>\$ (11,110)</b>	<b>\$ (12)</b>	<b>\$ (10,972)</b>

The largest drivers of our net unrealized depreciation for the three months ended June 30, 2012, were the unrealized depreciation on Sunshine of \$2.8 million, BAS Broadcasting of \$1.9 million, Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc. of \$1.6 million and Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc. of \$1.3 million, which were all due mainly to a decline in these portfolio companies' financial and operational performance.

The realized losses and net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the three months ended June 30, 2011, were as follows:

Portfolio Company	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Realized Loss	Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	Net Gain (Loss)
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	\$ —	\$ 546	\$ 546
Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc. (formerly known as SCI Cable, Inc.)	—	(252)	(252)
Access Television Network, Inc.	—	(354)	(354)
Sunburst Media – Louisiana, LLC	—	(447)	(447)
Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc.	—	(1,026)	(1,026)
Lindmark Acquisition, LLC	—	(1,391)	(1,391)
Sunshine Media Holdings	(2)	(3,120)	(3,122)
Viapack, Inc.	—	(3,348)	(3,348)
Newhall Holdings, Inc.	—	(8,759)	(8,759)
Other, net (<\$250)	—	(638)	(638)
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$ (2)</b>	<b>\$ (18,789)</b>	<b>\$ (18,791)</b>

The primary driver in our net unrealized depreciation for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, was the notable depreciation in Newhall of \$8.8 million, Viapack of \$3.3 million and Sunshine of \$3.1 million, which were primarily due to a decline in these portfolio companies' financial and operational performance.

At June 30, 2012, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than its cost basis by approximately \$95.9 million, and our entire investment portfolio was valued at 75.7% of cost, as compared to cumulative net unrealized depreciation of \$84.8 million and a valuation of our entire portfolio at 77.3% of cost at March 31, 2012. This represents net unrealized depreciation of \$11.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value as of June 30, 2012, due primarily to the general instability of the loan markets and lingering effects of the recent recession on the performances of certain of our portfolio companies. The cumulative net unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution to common stockholders.

## Table of Contents

### Net Unrealized Appreciation of Borrowings

Net unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of borrowings is the net change in the fair value of our Credit Facility during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are realized. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded a net unrealized appreciation on borrowings of \$4.5 million. The Credit Facility was fair valued at \$91.8 million and \$100.0 million as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

### Comparison of the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012, to the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2011

	For the Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	\$ Change	% Change
<b>INVESTMENT INCOME</b>				
Interest income	\$ 27,257	\$ 23,673	\$ 3,584	15.1%
Other income	3,020	1,714	1,306	76.2
Total investment income	<u>30,277</u>	<u>25,387</u>	<u>4,890</u>	<u>19.3</u>
<b>EXPENSES</b>				
Base management fee	4,655	4,164	491	11.8
Incentive fee	3,556	3,395	161	4.7
Administration fee	579	535	44	8.2
Interest expense	3,305	1,316	1,989	151.1
Dividend expense on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	1,806	—	1,806	NM
Amortization of deferred financing fees	987	1,032	(45)	(4.4)
Professional fees	790	894	(104)	(11.6)
Other general and administrative expenses	1,054	799	255	31.9
Expenses before credits from Adviser	16,732	12,135	4,597	37.9
Credits to fees from Adviser	(956)	(348)	(608)	(174.7)
Total expenses net of credits	<u>15,776</u>	<u>11,787</u>	<u>3,989</u>	<u>33.8</u>
<b>NET INVESTMENT INCOME</b>	<u>14,501</u>	<u>13,600</u>	<u>901</u>	<u>6.6</u>
<b>REALIZED AND UNREALIZED (LOSS) GAIN:</b>				
Net realized (loss) gain on investments	(8,062)	3	(8,065)	NM
Net unrealized depreciation of investments	(16,048)	(34,803)	18,755	53.9
Net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of borrowings	(3,865)	640	(4,505)	(703.9)
Net loss from investments and borrowings	<u>(27,975)</u>	<u>(34,160)</u>	<u>6,185</u>	<u>(41.7)</u>
<b>NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS</b>	<u>\$ (13,474)</u>	<u>\$ (20,560)</u>	<u>\$ 7,086</u>	<u>34.5%</u>

NM = Not Meaningful

### Investment Income

Interest income from our investments in debt securities increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 by 15.1%, as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2011, for several reasons, but primarily due to the increased investment activity during the second half of fiscal year 2011, partially offset by a slight decline in our weighted average yield when comparing the nine months ended June 30, 2012 to the prior year period. The increase in investment activity was primarily in syndicated investments, which typically bear lower interest rates than our existing proprietary investments. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the principal balance of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was \$326.8 million, compared to \$277.9 million for the prior year period. The annualized weighted average yield on our interest-bearing investment portfolio for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was 11.0%, compared to 11.2% for the prior year period. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments. The decrease in the weighted average yield on our portfolio for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 resulted primarily from the restructuring of our debt investments in certain of our portfolio companies to lower interest rates and the purchase of syndicated loans, which generally bear lower interest rates than our existing proprietary debt investments. Investments in syndicated loans increased from 3 to 23 during the nine month period ending June 30, 2011; whereas syndicated loans remained relatively unchanged during the nine month period ending June 30, 2012. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, eight portfolio companies were either fully or partially on non-accrual, for an aggregate of \$62.4 million at cost, or 16.3% of the aggregate cost of our debt investment portfolio, and during the prior year period, six investments were on non-accrual, for an aggregate of \$30.7 million at cost, or 8.3% of the aggregate cost of our debt investment portfolio.

## Table of Contents

Other income for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of success fees from the early payoffs by GMT of \$1.1 million, RCS of \$0.9 million and Northern Contours of \$0.8 million. In addition, we received \$0.2 million in prepayment fees in the aggregate from several early payoffs of portfolio companies. During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, we received \$1.0 million in the aggregate in settlements related, in part, to U.S. Healthcare Communications, Inc. and Badanco Acquisition Corp. and \$0.6 million in the aggregate in success fees from the exits in Pinnacle Treatment Centers, Inc. (“Pinnacle”) and Interfilm Holdings, Inc. (“Interfilm”).

The following tables list the investment income from investments in our five largest portfolio company investments at fair value during the respective periods:

Company	As of June 30, 2012		Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012	
	Fair Value	% of Portfolio	Investment Income	% of Total Revenues
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	\$ 25,420	8.5%	\$ 2,390	7.9%
Westlake Hardware, Inc.	19,260	6.4	1,941	6.4
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	17,595	5.9	1,683	5.6
Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd <sup>(A)</sup>	16,000	5.4	290	1.0
Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc.	14,364	4.8	615	2.0
<b>Subtotal—five largest investments</b>	<b>92,639</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>6,919</b>	<b>22.9</b>
Other portfolio companies	205,948	69.0	23,159	76.5
Other non-portfolio company revenue	—	—	199	0.6
<b>Total investment portfolio</b>	<b>\$298,587</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 30,277</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Company	As of June 30, 2011		Nine Months Ended June 30, 2011	
	Fair Value	% of Portfolio	Investment Income	% of Total Revenues
Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	\$ 25,605	9.9%	\$ 2,300	9.1%
Westlake Hardware, Inc.	19,440	9.8	1,934	7.6
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	16,727	9.1	1,676	6.6
CMI Acquisitions, LLC	14,247	5.0	564	2.2
Winchester Electronics Co.	12,591	4.7	1,185	4.7
<b>Subtotal—five largest investments</b>	<b>88,610</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>7,659</b>	<b>30.2</b>
Other portfolio companies	210,669	61.5	17,381	68.4
Other non-portfolio company revenue	—	—	347	1.4
<b>Total investment portfolio</b>	<b>\$299,279</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 25,387</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> New investment during the applicable period.

## Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, net of credits to fees from the Adviser, increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 by 33.8%, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to an increase in interest expense on our Credit Facility, the distributions on our Term Preferred Stock and an increase in the base management fee for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Interest expense increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, due primarily to increased borrowings under our Credit Facility to facilitate the increased investment activity during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period. The weighted average balance outstanding on our Credit Facility during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was approximately \$72.3 million, as compared to \$32.6 million in the prior year period, an increase of 121.7%.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we paid \$1.8 million of dividends on our Term Preferred Stock. We classify these dividends as dividend expense on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. There were no preferred stock dividends paid in the nine months ended June 30, 2011, as our Term Preferred Stock offering occurred in November 2011.

The base management fee increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to the greater amount of total assets subject to the base management fee that we held resulting from a net increase in investment production. Due to increased syndicated loan holdings, there was an increase in the fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable

## Table of Contents

waiver of the 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum, when compared to the prior year period. A net incentive fee of \$3.3 million was earned by the Adviser during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the nine month period ended June 30, 2012, the incentive fee was partially waived by the Adviser to ensure distributions to common stockholders are covered entirely by net investment income.

Other general and administrative expenses increased for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, due to increases in the allowance for doubtful accounts on receivable from certain portfolio companies and also from increases in stockholder related expenses.

The base management and incentive fees are computed quarterly, as described under "Investment Advisory and Management Agreement" in Note 4 of the notes to our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* and are summarized in the following table:

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
<b>Average total assets subject to base management fee<sup>(A)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 310,300</b>	<b>\$ 277,600</b>
Multiplied by prorated annual base management fee of 2.0%	1.5%	1.5%
<b>Base management fee<sup>(B)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 4,655</b>	<b>\$ 4,164</b>
Reduction for loan servicing fees	(2,690)	(2,413)
<b>Adjusted base management fee</b>	<b>1,965</b>	<b>1,751</b>
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	(333)	(77)
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum	(345)	(250)
<b>Net base management fee</b>	<b>\$ 1,287</b>	<b>\$ 1,424</b>
Incentive fee <sup>(B)</sup>	3,556	3,395
Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser's board of directors	(278)	(21)
<b>Net incentive fee</b>	<b>\$ 3,278</b>	<b>\$ 3,374</b>
Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies	(333)	(77)
Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum	(345)	(250)
Incentive fee credit	(278)	(21)
<b>Credit to base management and incentive fees from Adviser<sup>(B)</sup></b>	<b>\$ (956)</b>	<b>\$ (348)</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> Average total assets subject to the base management fee is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash and cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the applicable quarters within the respective periods and appropriately adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during the periods.

<sup>(B)</sup> Reflected as a line item on our *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*.

## Realized and Unrealized (Losses) Gains on Investments

### Net Realized (Loss) Gain

For the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded a net realized loss on investments of \$8.1 million, which primarily consisted of a realized loss of \$1.0 million due to the restructure of KMBQ and a realized loss of \$7.4 million related to the sale of Newhall, partially offset by \$0.2 million of realized gains upon our receipt of escrowed proceeds in connection with our exit in fiscal year 2010 of our investment in ACE Expeditors, Inc. There were \$3 in net realized gains for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to \$0.2 million in realized gains from unamortized discounts on exits during the quarter, partially offset by realized losses in connection with workout expenditures on Sunshine.

### Net Unrealized Depreciation

Net unrealized depreciation of investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously-recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are actually realized. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$16.0 million, which included the reversal of \$11.1 million in aggregate unrealized depreciation related to the sale of Newhall and restructure of KMBQ combined and \$0.9 million in aggregate net unrealized depreciation related to various early payoffs of portfolio companies during the period. Excluding reversals, we had \$28.1 million in net unrealized depreciation for the

## Table of Contents

nine months ended June 30, 2012. Excluding the total reversals of \$12.0 million, over our entire portfolio, the net unrealized depreciation is comprised of approximately \$25.6 million on our debt investments and approximately \$2.5 million on our equity investments for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$34.8 million. Excluding reversals of net unrealized depreciation of \$0.3 million, over our entire investment portfolio, we recorded approximately \$37.0 million of cumulative net unrealized depreciation on our debt investments, while our equity investments experienced net unrealized appreciation of approximately \$1.9 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2011.

The realized (losses) gains and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

Portfolio Company	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012			
	Realized (Loss) Gain	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	Reversal of Unrealized Depreciation (Appreciation)	Net Gain (Loss)
Newhall Holdings, Inc.	\$ (7,327)	\$ —	\$ 9,978	\$ 2,651
FedCap Partners, LLC	—	1,010	—	1,010
Northern Contours, Inc.	—	—	444	444
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	—	404	—	404
Mood Media Corporation	—	384	—	384
WP Evenflo Group Holdings Inc.	—	350	—	350
Vision Solutions, Inc.	—	322	—	322
Global Materials Technologies, Inc.	—	422	(108)	314
RCS Management Holding Company	—	(81)	306	225
KMBQ Corporation	(1,044)	—	1,135	91
Westland Technologies, Inc.	—	(314)	—	(314)
Heartland Communications Group LLC	—	(361)	—	(361)
Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc.	—	(436)	—	(436)
Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc.	—	(573)	—	(573)
LocalTel, LLC	—	(742)	—	(742)
CMI Acquisitions, LLC	—	(785)	—	(785)
Saunders & Associates	—	(1,085)	—	(1,085)
Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc.	—	(1,170)	—	(1,170)
International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company	—	(1,305)	—	(1,305)
Lindmark Acquisition, LLC	—	(1,391)	—	(1,391)
Reliable Pharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.	—	(1,417)	—	(1,417)
Sunburst Media – Louisiana, LLC	—	(2,062)	—	(2,062)
Viapack, Inc.	—	(2,261)	—	(2,261)
GFRC Holdings, LLC	—	(3,954)	—	(3,954)
BAS Broadcasting	—	(3,994)	—	(3,994)
Sunshine Media Holdings	—	(9,183)	—	(9,183)
Other, net (<\$250)	309	169	250	728
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$ (8,062)</b>	<b>\$ (28,053)</b>	<b>\$ 12,005</b>	<b>\$ (24,110)</b>

The largest drivers of our net unrealized depreciation for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, were the unrealized depreciation in Sunshine of \$9.2 million, GFRC Holdings, LLC of \$4.0 million and BAS Broadcasting of \$4.0 million, which were all due to a decline in these portfolio companies' financial and operational performance.



## Table of Contents

The realized (losses) gains and net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation across our investments for the nine months ended June 30, 2011, were as follows:

Portfolio Company	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2011			
	Realized (Loss) Gain	Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	Reversal of Unrealized Depreciation (Appreciation)	Net Gain (Loss)
Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc.	\$ —	\$ 2,947	\$ —	\$ 2,947
Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.	—	1,182	—	1,182
Puerto Rico Cable Acquisition Company, Inc.	(16)	—	732	716
WP Evenflo Group Holdings, Inc.	—	352	—	352
Airvana Network Solutions, Inc.	177	387	(216)	348
LocalTel, LLC	—	(386)	—	(386)
International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company	—	(544)	—	(544)
Sunburst Media – Louisiana, LLC	—	(567)	—	(567)
Legend Communications of Wyoming LLC	—	(655)	—	(655)
Access Television Network, Inc.	—	(659)	—	(659)
Heartland Communications Group	—	(754)	—	(754)
Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc. (formerly known as SCI Cable, Inc.)	—	(785)	—	(785)
GFRC Holdings, LLC	—	(1,390)	—	(1,390)
Viapack, Inc.	—	(3,376)	—	(3,376)
Lindmark Acquisition, LLC	—	(3,852)	—	(3,852)
Newhall Holdings, Inc.	—	(8,814)	—	(8,814)
Sunshine Media Holdings	(158)	(18,360)	—	(18,518)
Other, net (<\$250)	—	177	(222)	(45)
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>	<b>\$ (35,097)</b>	<b>\$ 294</b>	<b>\$ (34,800)</b>

The primary driver of our net unrealized depreciation for the nine months ended June 30, 2011, was the notable depreciation in Sunshine, which was primarily due to decline in the portfolio company financial and operational performance and the restructure. During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, as part of the Sunshine restructure, we acquired a controlling equity position, restructured certain of the debt terms, and infused additional equity capital in the form of preferred equity.

At June 30, 2012, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than its cost basis by approximately \$95.9 million, and our entire investment portfolio was valued at 75.7% of cost, as compared to cumulative net unrealized depreciation of \$79.9 million, and a valuation of our entire portfolio at 79.1% of cost, at September 30, 2011, representing net unrealized depreciation of \$16.0 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value as of June 30, 2012, due primarily to the general instability of the loan markets and lingering effects of the recent recession on the performances of certain of our portfolio companies. The cumulative net unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution to stockholders.

### Net Unrealized Depreciation of Borrowings

Net unrealized depreciation of borrowings is the net change in the fair value of our Credit Facility during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are realized. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded a net unrealized depreciation on borrowings of \$3.9 million. The Credit Facility was fair valued at \$91.8 million and \$100.0 million as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was \$7.0 million, as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$63.4 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to the decrease in purchases of investments and the increase in sales proceeds when comparing the two periods. Despite a net decrease in investment activity during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, our portfolio size is larger due to originations in the second half of fiscal year 2011, which increased our interest income during the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The majority of cash from operating activities is generated from the interest payments on debt securities that we receive from our portfolio companies. We utilize this cash to primarily fund new investments, interest payments on our Credit Facility, distributions to our stockholders, management fees to our Adviser, and other operating expenses.

At June 30, 2012, we had investments in equity of, loans to, or syndicated participations in, 55 private companies with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$394.5 million. At June 30, 2011, we had investments in equity of, loans to, or syndicated participations in, 57 private companies with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$375.2 million.

The following table summarizes our total portfolio investment activity during the nine months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 at fair value:

	Nine Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Beginning investment portfolio at fair value	<b>\$302,947</b>	\$257,109
New investments	<b>45,050</b>	101,053
Disbursements to existing portfolio companies	<b>21,204</b>	17,593
Scheduled principal repayments (including repayment of PIK)	<b>(6,817)</b>	(5,600)
Unscheduled principal repayments	<b>(33,163)</b>	(34,255)
Proceeds from sales	<b>(6,459)</b>	(777)
Increase in investment balance due to PIK	—	12
Increase in investment balance due to transferred interest	—	204
Net unrealized depreciation	<b>(28,052)</b>	(35,096)
Reversal of prior period net depreciation on realization	<b>12,004</b>	293
Net realized (loss) gain	<b>(8,242)</b>	163
Amortization of premiums and discounts	<b>115</b>	(1,420)
<b>Ending investment portfolio at fair value</b>	<b><u>\$298,587</u></b>	<b><u>\$299,279</u></b>

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayment and maturity of our investment portfolio by fiscal year, assuming no voluntary prepayments, at June 30, 2012.

		Amount
For the remaining three months ending September 30:	2012	\$ 13,819
For the fiscal year ending September 30:	2013	116,114
	2014	57,649
	2015	28,538
	2016	75,452
	Thereafter	91,207
	<b>Total contractual repayments</b>	<b>\$382,779</b>
	Investments in equity securities	12,983
	Adjustments to cost basis on debt securities	(1,260)
	<b>Total cost basis of investments held at June 30, 2012:</b>	<b><u>\$394,502</u></b>

#### Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was \$9.6 million and consisted primarily of net repayments on the Credit Facility of \$12.1 million, distributions to common stockholders of \$13.2 million, and deferred financing fees related to our Term Preferred Stock offering in November 2011 of \$2.2 million and the renewal of our Credit Facility in January 2012 of \$1.4 million. These financing activities were offset by proceeds from the issuance of Term Preferred Stock of \$38.5 million during this nine month period. Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2011 was \$63.5 million and consisted primarily of net borrowings from the Credit Facility of \$75.4 million, partially offset by distributions to stockholders of \$13.3 million.

---

## Table of Contents

### Distributions

To qualify as a RIC and thus avoid corporate level tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we are required, under Subchapter M of the Code, to distribute at least 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains to our stockholders on an annual basis. In accordance with these requirements, we declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.07 per common share for each of the nine months from October 2011 through June 2012. In July 2012, our Board of Directors declared a monthly distribution of \$0.07 per common share for each of July, August and September 2012. We declared these distributions based on our estimates of net taxable income for the fiscal year.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, which includes the nine months ended June 30, 2011, our distributions to common stockholders totaled approximately \$17.7 million. Distributions to common stockholders declared for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 were comprised 100% from ordinary income and none from a return of capital. At year-end, we elected to treat a portion of the first distribution paid after year-end as having been paid in the prior year, in accordance with Section 855(a) of the Code. The characterization of the common distributions declared and paid for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012 will be determined at year end and cannot be determined at this time.

We also declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.13359375 per share of our Term Preferred Stock for a prorated portion of November 2011 and \$0.1484375 per share of our Term Preferred Stock for each of the seven months from December 2011 through June 2012. In July 2012, our Board of Directors also declared a monthly distribution of \$0.1484375 per preferred share for each of July, August and September 2012. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (“GAAP”), we treat these monthly distributions as an operating expense. For tax purposes, these preferred distributions are deemed to be paid entirely out of ordinary income to preferred stockholders.

### Equity

On October 20, 2009, we filed a registration statement (the “Registration Statement”) on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592) that was amended on December 9, 2009, and which the SEC declared effective on January 28, 2010. Post-effective amendments dated July 13, 2011 (declared effective by the SEC on July 15, 2011) and dated June 4, 2012 (declared effective by the SEC on June 11, 2012) were also filed under this registration statement. The Registration Statement permits us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, including through a combined offering of such securities. To date, under the Registration Statement, we have issued \$38.5 million in Term Preferred Stock and have entered into an agreement described in greater detail below, to potentially issue up to 2.0 million shares of common stock from time to time in the future.

On October 31, 2011, pursuant to the terms of our articles of incorporation, as amended, our Board of Directors approved reclassifying 4.0 million shares from common stock to preferred stock.

#### *Common Stock*

On May 17, 2010, we entered into an equity distribution agreement (the “Agreement”) with BB&T Capital Markets, a division of Scott & Stringfellow, LLC (the “Agent”), under which we may, from time to time, issue and sell through the Agent, as sales agent, up to 2.0 million shares (the “Shares”) of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, based upon instructions from us (including, at a minimum, the number of Shares to be offered, the time period during which sales are requested to be made, any limitation on the number of Shares that may be sold in any one day and any minimum price below which sales may not be made). Sales of Shares through the Agent, if any, will be executed by means of either ordinary brokers’ transactions on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (“NASDAQ”) in accordance with Rule 153 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or such other sales of the Shares as shall be agreed by us and the Agent. The compensation payable to the Agent for sales of Shares with respect to which the Agent acts as sales agent shall be equal to 2.0% of the gross sales price of the Shares sold pursuant to the Agreement. To date, we have not issued any Shares pursuant to this Agreement.

We anticipate issuing equity securities to obtain additional capital in the future. However, we cannot determine the terms of any future equity issuances or whether we will be able to issue equity on terms favorable to us, or at all. Additionally, when our common stock is trading below NAV per common share, as it has periodically traded over the last three years, we will have regulatory constraints under the 1940 Act on our ability to obtain additional capital in this manner. Generally, the 1940 Act provides that we may not issue and sell our common stock at a price below our NAV per common share, other than to our then existing common stockholders pursuant to a rights offering, without first obtaining approval from our stockholders and our independent directors. As of June 30, 2012, our NAV per common share was \$8.91 and as of July 30, 2012 our closing market price was \$8.43 per share. To the extent that our common stock trades at a market price below our NAV per common share, we

---

## **Table of Contents**

will generally be precluded from raising equity capital through public offerings of our common stock, other than pursuant to stockholder approval or a rights offering. The asset coverage requirement of a BDC under the 1940 Act effectively limits our ratio of debt to equity to 1:1. To the extent that we are unable to raise capital through the issuance of equity, our ability to raise capital through the issuance of debt may also be inhibited to the extent of the regulatory debt to equity ratio limits.

At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on February 16, 2012, our stockholders approved a proposal that authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per common share for a period of one year, provided that our Board of Directors makes certain determinations prior to any such sale. We have not issued any common stock since February 2008 and have never issued common stock below NAV per common share.

### *Term Preferred Stock*

Pursuant to our Registration Statement, in November 2011, we completed an offering of 1.5 million shares of Term Preferred Stock at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. Gross proceeds totaled \$38.5 million and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us were approximately \$36.4 million and were used to repay a portion of outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. We incurred \$2.1 million in total offering costs related to these transactions, which have been recorded as an asset in accordance with GAAP and amortized over the redemption period ending December 31, 2016.

Our Term Preferred Stock provides for a fixed dividend equal to 7.125% per year, payable monthly (which equates to approximately \$2.7 million per year). We are required to redeem all of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock on December 31, 2016 for cash at a redemption price equal to \$25.00 per share plus an amount equal to accumulated but unpaid dividends, if any, to the date of redemption. The Term Preferred Stock has a preference over our common stock with respect to these dividends, whereby no distributions are payable on our common stock unless the stated dividends, including any accrued and unpaid dividends, on the Term Preferred Stock have been paid in full. In addition, there are two other potential redemption triggers for our Term Preferred Stock: (1) if we fail to maintain an asset coverage ratio of at least 200%, we are required to redeem a portion of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock or otherwise cure the ratio redemption trigger; and (2) at our sole option, at any time on or after December 31, 2012.

The Term Preferred Stock has been recorded as a liability in accordance with GAAP and as such affects our asset coverage, exposing us to additional leverage risks. In addition, the Term Preferred Stock is not convertible into our common stock or any other security. The Term Preferred Stock is traded under the NASDAQ with a trading symbol of "GLADP."

### **Revolving Credit Facility**

On January 19, 2012, we, through Business Loan, entered into Amendment No. 3 to our Credit Facility, to extend the maturity date of our \$137.0 million revolving line of credit from March 15, 2012 to January 18, 2015 (the "Maturity Date"). Our Credit Facility was arranged by Keybank as administrative agent and BB&T and ING also joined our Credit Facility as committed lenders. Subject to certain terms and conditions, our Credit Facility may be expanded to a maximum of \$237.0 million through the addition of other committed lenders thereto. The interest rates remained unchanged with advances under our Credit Facility generally bearing interest at a 30-day LIBOR subject to a minimum rate of 1.5%, plus 3.75% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.5% per annum on undrawn amounts when the facility is drawn more than 50% and 1.0% per annum on undrawn amounts when the facility is drawn less than 50%. If our Credit Facility is not renewed or extended by January 18, 2015, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before January 18, 2016 (one year thereafter). All other terms of our Credit Facility remained substantially unchanged.

Interest is payable monthly during the term of our Credit Facility. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under our Credit Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Loan, which varies as loans are added and repaid, regardless of whether such repayments are prepayments or made as contractually required.

The administrative agent also requires that any interest or principal payments on pledged loans be remitted directly by the borrower into a lockbox account with Keybank as custodian. Keybank, who also serves as the trustee of the account, generally remits the collected funds to us once a month.

Our Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Loan to maintain its status as a separate legal entity, prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions), and restrict material changes to our credit and collection policies. Our Credit Facility also limits payments on distributions to the aggregate net investment income for each of the twelve month periods ending September 30, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015. Business Loan is also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments it can apply toward availability credit in the borrowing base, including

## Table of Contents

restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, distribution payout, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. Our Credit Facility further requires Business Loan to comply with other financial and operational covenants, which obligate Business Loan to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage and a minimum number of obligors required in the borrowing base of the credit agreement. Additionally, we are subject to a performance guaranty that requires us to maintain (i) a minimum net worth (defined in the Credit Facility to include our Term Preferred Stock) of \$190.0 million plus 50.0% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after January 19, 2002, (ii) asset coverage with respect to senior securities representing indebtedness of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act and (iii) our status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of June 30, 2012 and as defined in the performance guaranty of our Credit Facility, we had a minimum net worth of \$225.5 million, an asset coverage of 251.2% and an active status as a BDC and RIC. Our Credit Facility requires a minimum of 20 obligors in the borrowing base and as of June 30, 2012, Business Loan had 37 obligors. As of June 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the facility covenants.

### Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have lines of credit and capital commitments with certain of our portfolio companies that have not been fully drawn or called, respectively. Since these commitments have expiration dates and we expect many will never be fully drawn or called, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

In addition to the lines of credit and capital commitments with our portfolio companies, we, from time to time, have also extended certain guarantees on behalf of some of our portfolio companies. As of June 30, 2012, we had one guarantee outstanding to Viapack up to a maximum of \$0.3 million. As of June 30, 2012, we have not been required to make any payments on this guarantee and we consider the credit risks to be remote and the fair value of the guarantee to be minimal. As of September 30, 2011, we were not party to any signed guarantees.

We estimate the fair value of our unused line of credit commitments, uncalled capital commitment, and guarantee as of June 30, 2012 to be minimal; and therefore, they are not recorded on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*.

The following table shows our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2012 at cost:

Contractual Obligations <sup>(A)</sup>	Payments Due by Period				Total
	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	After 5 Years	
Credit Facility <sup>(B)</sup>	\$ —	\$ 87,300	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 87,300
Term Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value, \$25 liquidation preference; 4,000,000 authorized and 1,539,882 shares issued and outstanding	—	—	38,497	—	38,497
Interest on debt obligations <sup>(C)</sup>	7,763	16,051	1,372	—	25,186
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,763</b>	<b>\$103,351</b>	<b>\$39,869</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$150,983</b>

<sup>(A)</sup> Excludes our unused line of credit commitments, uncalled capital commitment and guarantee to our portfolio companies in an aggregate amount of \$9.4 million, further discussed above.

<sup>(B)</sup> Principal balance of borrowings under our Credit Facility, based on the current contractual maturity due to the revolving nature of the facility.

<sup>(C)</sup> Includes estimated interest payments on our Credit Facility and dividend obligations on the Term Preferred Stock. The amount of interest calculated for purposes of this table was based upon rates and balances as of June 30, 2012. Dividend payments on the Term Preferred Stock assume quarterly dividend declarations and monthly dividend distributions through the date of mandatory redemption.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported consolidated amounts of assets and liabilities, including disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the period reported. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. We have identified our investment valuation process as our most critical accounting policy.

### Investment Valuation

The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* is the valuation of investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments recorded.

## Table of Contents

*General Valuation Policy:* We value our investments in accordance with the requirements of the 1940 Act. As discussed more fully below, we value securities for which market quotations are readily available and reliable at their market value. We value all other securities and assets at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. Such determination of fair values may involve subjective judgments and estimates

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures,” defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. ASC 820 provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. ASC 820 also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

- *Level 1*—inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- *Level 2*—inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active or inactive markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and
- *Level 3*—inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and can include our own assumptions, based upon the best available information.

As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, all of our investments were valued using Level 3 inputs. See Note 3 *Investments* in the accompanying notes to our *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding fair value measurements and our application of ASC 820.

We use generally accepted valuation techniques to value our portfolio unless we have specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time we may accept an appraisal of a business in which we hold securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently, but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and scope used to value our investments. When these specific third-party appraisals are obtained, we would use estimates of value provided by such appraisals and our own assumptions including estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities, as of the measurement date, to value our investments.

In determining the value of our investments, our Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the “Policy”). The Policy has been approved by our Board of Directors, and each quarter our Board of Directors reviews whether our Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio.

The Policy, which is summarized below, applies to the following categories of securities:

- Publicly traded securities;
- Securities for which a limited market exists; and
- Securities for which no market exists.

### ***Valuation Methods:***

**Publicly traded securities:** We determine the value of publicly traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that we own restricted securities that are not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, we will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature. As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we did not have any investments in publicly traded securities.

**Securities for which a limited market exists:** We value securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market, but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the quoted bid price (which are non-binding). In valuing these assets, we assess trading activity in an asset class, evaluate variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quote prices are reliable. In general, if we conclude that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid prices are requested; however, if firm bid prices are unavailable, we base the value of the security upon the indicative bid price (“IBP”) offered by the respective originating syndication agent’s trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that we use the IBP as a basis for valuing the security, our Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy, including but not limited to reviewing a range of indicative bids to the extent the Adviser has ready access to such qualified information.

## Table of Contents

In the event these limited markets become illiquid to a degree that market prices are no longer readily available, we will value our syndicated loans using alternative methods, such as estimated net present values of the future cash flows or discounted cash flows (“DCF”). The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by ASC 820, which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity’s own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant observable market data does not exist, the alternative outlined in ASC 820 is the valuation of investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, we consider multiple inputs such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, we developed a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among other things, increased probability of default, or higher loss given default, or increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what we believe a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. We apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity.

As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we determined that the indicative bid prices were reliable indicators of fair value for our syndicated investments. However, because of the private nature of this marketplace (meaning actual transactions are not publicly-reported), we determined that these valuation inputs were classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy as defined in ASC 820.

**Securities for which no market exists:** The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into four categories: (1) portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities; (2) portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities; (3) portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities; and (4) portfolio investments comprised of non-publicly traded non-control equity securities of other funds.

- (1) Portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities:** Debt securities that are not publicly traded on an established securities market, or for which a limited market does not exist (“Non-Public Debt Securities”), and that are issued by portfolio companies in which we have no equity or equity-like securities, are fair valued in accordance with the terms of the policy, which utilizes opinions of value submitted to us by Standard & Poor’s Securities Evaluations, Inc. (“SPSE”). We may also submit paid-in-kind (“PIK”) interest to SPSE for their evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.

In the case of Non-Public Debt Securities, we have engaged SPSE to submit opinions of value for our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies in which we own no equity, or equity-like securities. SPSE will only evaluate the debt portion of our investments for which we specifically request evaluation and may decline to make requested evaluations for any reason, at its sole discretion. Quarterly, we collect data with respect to the investments (which includes portfolio company financial and operational performance and the information described below under “—Credit Information,” the risk ratings of the loans described below under “—Loan Grading and Risk Rating” and the factors described hereunder). This portfolio company data is then forwarded to SPSE for review and analysis. SPSE makes its independent assessment of the data that we have assembled and assesses its independent data to form an opinion as to what they consider to be the market values for the securities. With regard to its work, SPSE has issued the following paragraph:

*SPSE provides evaluated price opinions which are reflective of what SPSE believes the bid side of the market would be for each loan after careful review and analysis of descriptive, market and credit information. Each price reflects SPSE’s best judgment based upon careful examination of a variety of market factors. Because of fluctuation in the market and in other factors beyond its control, SPSE cannot guarantee these evaluations. The evaluations reflect the market prices, or estimates thereof, on the date specified. The prices are based on comparable market prices for similar securities. Market information has been obtained from reputable secondary market sources. Although these sources are considered reliable, SPSE cannot guarantee their accuracy.*

SPSE opinions of the value of our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies in which we do not own equity, or equity-like securities, are submitted to our Board of Directors along with our Adviser’s supplemental assessment and recommendation regarding valuation of each of these investments. Our Adviser generally accepts the opinion of value given by SPSE; however, in certain limited circumstances, such as when our Adviser may learn new information regarding an investment between the time of submission to SPSE and the date of our Board of Directors’ assessment, our Adviser’s conclusions as to value may differ from the opinion of value delivered by SPSE. Our Board of Directors then reviews



## Table of Contents

whether our Adviser has followed its established procedures for determinations of fair value and votes to accept or reject the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. Our Adviser and our management recommended, and our Board of Directors voted to accept, the opinions of value delivered by SPSE on the loans in our portfolio as denoted on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Schedule of Investments*.

Because there is a delay between when we close an investment and when the investment can be evaluated by SPSE, new loans are not valued immediately by SPSE; rather, management makes its own determination about the value of these investments in accordance with our valuation policy using the methods described herein.

- (2) **Portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:**The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value (“TEV”) of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under ASC 820 for our Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g., preferred equity, common equity or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package, where we have control or could gain control through an option or warrant security; both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisitions market as the principal market, generally through a sale of the portfolio company. We manage our risk related to these investments at the aggregated issuer level and generally exit the debt and equity securities together. Applying the liquidity waterfall approach to all of the investments of an issuer, we first calculate the TEV of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors:

- the issuer’s ability to make payments;
- the earnings of the issuer;
- recent sales to third parties of similar securities;
- the comparison to publicly traded securities; and
- DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, we may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. TEV is only an estimate of value and may not be the value received in an actual sale. Once we have estimated the TEV of the issuer, we will subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer, which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of TEV over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities, which include all the debt securities, have been subtracted from the TEV of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer’s equity or equity-like securities. If, in the Adviser’s judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, the Adviser may recommend that we use a valuation by SPSE, or, if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

- (3) **Portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:**We value Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity or equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical secondary market as our principal market. In accordance with ASC 820 (as amended by the FASB’s Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, “Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”),” (“ASU 2011-04”)), we have defined our “unit of account” at the investment level (either debt or equity) and as such determine our fair value of these non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual security using the standalone premise of value. As such, we estimate the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. For equity or equity-like securities of investments for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, we estimate the fair value of the equity based on factors such as the overall value of the issuer, the relative fair value of other units of account including debt, or other relative value approaches. Consideration also is given to capital structure and other contractual obligations that may impact the fair value of the equity. Furthermore, we may utilize comparable values of similar companies, recent investments and indices with similar structures and risk characteristics or DCF valuation techniques and, in the absence of other observable market data, our own assumptions.
- (4) **Portfolio investments comprised of non-publicly traded non-control equity securities of other funds:** We generally value any uninvested capital of the non-control fund at par value and value any invested capital at the value provided by the non-control fund.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly and materially from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on



---

## Table of Contents

these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that we might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

*Valuation Considerations:* From time to time, depending on certain circumstances, the Adviser may use the following valuation considerations, including but not limited to:

- the nature and realizable value of the collateral;
- the portfolio company's earnings and cash flows and its ability to make payments on its obligations;
- the markets in which the portfolio company does business;
- the comparison to publicly traded companies; and
- DCF and other relevant factors.

Because such valuations, particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are not susceptible to precise determination, may fluctuate over short periods of time, and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ from the values that might have actually resulted had a readily available market for these securities been available.

*Credit Information:* Our Adviser monitors a wide variety of key credit statistics that provide information regarding our portfolio companies to help us assess credit quality and portfolio performance. We and our Adviser participate in the periodic board meetings of our portfolio companies in which we hold Control and Affiliate investments and also require them to provide annual audited and monthly unaudited financial statements. Using these statements or comparable information and board discussions, our Adviser calculates and evaluates the credit statistics.

*Loan Grading and Risk Rating:* As part of our valuation procedures above, we risk rate all of our investments in debt securities. For syndicated loans that have been rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"), we use the NRSRO's risk rating for such security. For all other debt securities, we use a proprietary risk rating system. Our risk rating system uses a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 being the lowest probability of default. This system is used to estimate the probability of default on debt securities and the probability of loss if there is a default. These types of systems are referred to as risk rating systems and are used by banks and rating agencies. The risk rating system covers both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the business and the securities we hold.

## Table of Contents

For the debt securities for which we do not use a third-party NRSRO risk rating, we seek to have our risk rating system mirror the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. While we seek to mirror the NRSRO systems, we cannot provide any assurance that our risk rating system will provide the same risk rating as an NRSRO for these securities. The following chart is an estimate of the relationship of our risk rating system to the designations used by two NRSROs as they risk rate debt securities of major companies. Because our system rates debt securities of companies that are unrated by any NRSRO, there can be no assurance that the correlation to the NRSRO set out below is accurate. We believe our risk rating would be higher than a typical NRSRO risk rating because the risk rating of the typical NRSRO is designed for larger businesses. However, our risk rating has been designed to risk rate the securities of smaller businesses that are not rated by a typical NRSRO. Therefore, when we use our risk rating on larger business securities, the risk rating is higher than a typical NRSRO rating. The primary difference between our risk rating and the rating of a typical NRSRO is that our risk rating uses more quantitative determinants and includes qualitative determinants that we believe are not used in the NRSRO rating. It is our understanding that most debt securities of medium-sized companies do not exceed the grade of BBB on an NRSRO scale, so there would be no debt securities in the middle market that would meet the definition of AAA, AA or A. Therefore, our scale begins with the designation >10 as the best risk rating which may be equivalent to a BBB from an NRSRO; however, no assurance can be given that a >10 on our scale is equal to a BBB or Baa2 on an NRSRO scale.

Company's System	First NRSRO	Second NRSRO	Our Description <sup>(A)</sup>
>10	Baa2	BBB	Probability of Default (PD) during the next ten years is 4% and the Expected Loss upon Default (EL) is 1% or less
10	Baa3	BBB-	PD is 5% and the EL is 1% to 2%
9	Ba1	BBB+	PD is 10% and the EL is 2% to 3%
8	Ba2	BB	PD is 16% and the EL is 3% to 4%
7	Ba3	BB-	PD is 17.8% and the EL is 4% to 5%
6	B1	B+	PD is 22% and the EL is 5% to 6.5%
5	B2	B	PD is 25% and the EL is 6.5% to 8%
4	B3	B-	PD is 27% and the EL is 8% to 10%
3	Caa1	CCC+	PD is 30% and the EL is 10% to 13.3%
2	Caa2	CCC	PD is 35% and the EL is 13.3% to 16.7%
1	Caa3	CC	PD is 65% and the EL is 16.7% to 20%
0	N/A	D	PD is 85% or there is a payment default and the EL is greater than 20%

<sup>(A)</sup> The default rates set forth are for a ten year term debt security. If a debt security is less than ten years, then the probability of default is adjusted to a lower percentage for the shorter period, which may move the security higher on our risk rating scale.

The above scale gives an indication of the probability of default and the magnitude of the loss if there is a default. Generally, our policy is to stop accruing interest on an investment if we determine that interest and principal is no longer collectable. At June 30, 2012, eight portfolio companies were either fully or partially on non-accrual with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$62.4 million, or 16.3% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of approximately \$5.3 million, or 1.9% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio. At September 30, 2011, eight portfolio companies were on non-accrual with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$41.1 million, or 11.0% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of approximately \$5.3 million, or 1.8% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio. Additionally, we do not risk rate our equity securities.

The following table lists the risk ratings for all non-syndicated loans in our portfolio at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, representing approximately 76.9% and 75.7%, respectively, of the principal balance of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

Rating	As of June 30,	As of September 30,
	2012	2011
Highest	10	9.0
Average	5.8	5.5
Weighted Average	5.5	5.3
Lowest	1.0	1.0

## Table of Contents

For syndicated loans that are currently rated by an NRSRO, we risk rate such loans in accordance with the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. The following table lists the risk ratings for all syndicated loans in our portfolio that were rated by an NRSRO at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, representing approximately 14.4% and 19.1%, respectively, of the principal balance of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

<u>Rating</u>	<u>As of June 30,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>As of September 30,</u> <u>2011</u>
Highest	<b>B+/B1</b>	B+/B1
Average	<b>B/B2</b>	B/B2
Weighted Average	<b>B/B2</b>	B/B2
Lowest	<b>NR/Caa1</b>	NR/Caa2

The following table lists the risk ratings for all syndicated loans in our portfolio that were not rated by an NRSRO. At June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, these loans represented 8.7% and 5.2%, respectively, of the principal balance of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

<u>Rating</u>	<u>As of June 30,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>As of September 30,</u> <u>2011</u>
Highest	<b>7.0</b>	7.0
Average	<b>5.0</b>	5.0
Weighted Average	<b>4.9</b>	5.0
Lowest	<b>4.0</b>	4.0

## Tax Status

### **Federal Income Taxes**

We intend to continue to qualify for treatment as a RIC under Subtitle A, Chapter 1 of Subchapter M of the Code. As a RIC, we are not subject to federal income tax on the portion of our taxable income and gains distributed to stockholders. To qualify as a RIC, we must meet certain source-of-income, asset diversification and annual distribution requirements. Under the annual distribution requirements, we are required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code. Our practice has been to pay out as distributions up to 100% of that amount.

In an effort to limit certain excise taxes imposed on RICs, we generally distribute, during each calendar year, an amount at least equal to the sum of: (1) 98% of our ordinary income for the calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gains in excess of capital losses for the one-year period ending on October 31 of the calendar year, and (3) any ordinary income and net capital gains from preceding years that were not distributed during such years. Under the RIC Modernization Act (the "RIC Act"), we will be permitted to carry forward capital losses incurred in taxable years beginning after September 30, 2011, for an unlimited period. However, any losses incurred during those future taxable years will be required to be utilized prior to the losses incurred in pre-enactment taxable years, which carry an expiration date. As a result of this ordering rule, pre-enactment capital loss carryforwards may be more likely to expire unused. Additionally, post-enactment capital loss carryforwards will retain their character as either short-term or long-term capital losses rather than being considered all short-term as permitted under the previous regulation.

We sought and received approval for a change in accounting method from the IRS related to our tax treatment for success fees. As a result, we, in effect, will continue to account for the recognition of income from the success fees upon receipt. However, starting January 1, 2011, the tax characterization of the success fee amount was and will continue to be treated as ordinary income. Prior to January 1, 2011, we had treated the success fee amount as a capital gain for tax characterization purposes. The approved change in accounting method does not require us to retroactively change the capital gains treatment of the success fees received prior to January 1, 2011.

### **Revenue Recognition**

#### **Interest Income Recognition**

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs, the accretion of discounts and the amortization of amendment fees, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due, or if our qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or

---

## Table of Contents

other obligations, we will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, we remain contractually entitled to this interest. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to the cost basis, depending upon management's judgment. Generally, non-accrual loans are restored to accrual status when past due principal and interest are paid and, in management's judgment, are likely to remain current, or due to a restructuring such that the interest income is deemed to be collectable. At June 30, 2012, eight portfolio companies were either fully or partially on non-accrual with an aggregate debt cost basis of \$62.4 million, or 16.3% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of \$5.3 million, or 1.9% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio. At September 30, 2011, eight portfolio companies were on non-accrual with an aggregate debt cost basis of \$41.1 million, or 11.0% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of \$5.3 million, or 1.8% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio.

As of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we had 25 and 27 original issue discount ("OID") loans, respectively, primarily from the syndicated loans in our portfolio. We recorded OID income of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$64 and \$0.1 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The unamortized balance of OID investments as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 totaled \$1.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

As of June 30, 2012, we had one investment that bore PIK interest and as of September 30, 2011, we had no investments that bore PIK interest. PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in a loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of a loan and recorded as income. To maintain our status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be paid out to common stockholders in the form of distributions, even though we have not yet collected the cash. We recorded \$6 of PIK income during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to \$4 and \$12 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

We also transfer past due interest to the principal balance as stipulated in certain loan amendments with portfolio companies. There were no such transfers during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012. We transferred past due interest to the principal balance of \$0 and \$0.2 million for both the three and nine months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

## Table of Contents

### *Other Income Recognition*

We record success fees upon receipt. Success fees are typically contractually due upon a change of control in a portfolio company and are recorded in other income in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. We recorded \$2.8 million of success fees during the nine months ended June 30, 2012, which resulted from our exit of GMT, RCS, and Northern Contours. We recorded \$0.6 million of success fees during the nine months ended June 30, 2011, which resulted from our exits of Pinnacle and Interfilm.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.**

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market sensitive instruments. The prices of securities held by us may decline in response to certain events, including those directly involving the companies whose securities are owned by us; conditions affecting the general economy; overall market changes; local, regional or global political, social or economic instability; and interest rate fluctuations.

Interest rate risk is the primary risk to which we believe we are exposed. Because we borrow money to make investments, our net investment is dependent upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest those funds. As a result, there can be no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income. We use a combination of debt and equity capital to finance our investing activities. We may use interest rate risk management techniques to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act. We have analyzed the potential impact of changes in interest rates on interest income net of interest expense.

We target to have approximately 10% of the loans in our portfolio at fixed rates, with approximately 90% made at variable rates. As of June 30, 2012, our portfolio consisted of the following at cost:

88.2%	variable rates with a floor
5.5%	variable rates without a floor or ceiling
6.3%	fixed rates
<u>100.0%</u>	total

All of our variable-rate loans have rates associated with either the current LIBOR or prime rate.

There have been no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative market risk disclosures for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 from that disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, as filed with the SEC on November 14, 2011.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

#### a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2012 (the end of the period covered by this report), we, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness and design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level in timely alerting management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of material information about us required to be included in periodic SEC filings. However, in evaluation of the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

#### b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in internal controls for the three months ended June 30, 2012, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

---

[Table of Contents](#)

**PART II—OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.**

Neither we, nor any of our subsidiaries are currently subject to any material legal proceeding, nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal proceeding threatened against us or any of our subsidiaries.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.**

Our business is subject to certain risks and events that, if they occur, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the trading price of our capital stock. For a discussion of these risks, please refer to the “Risk Factors” section of our Post-Effective Amendment No. 7 to our Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592), as filed with the SEC on June 4, 2012 and declared effective June 11, 2012.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

See the exhibit index.

---

[Table of Contents](#)

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION**

By: /s/ David Watson

David Watson

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Date: August 1, 2012

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

### EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Articles of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit a.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.
3.2	By-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit b to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.
3.3	Amendment to By-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 814-00237), filed February 17, 2004.
3.4	Second amendment to By-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed July 10, 2007.
3.5	Third amendment to By-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed June 10, 2011.
3.6	Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of the Term Preferred Shares, including Appendix A thereto relating to the Term Preferred Shares, 7.125% Series 2016, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.a.2 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592), filed October 31, 2011.
11	Computation of Per Share Earnings (included in the notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this report).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

All other exhibits for which provision is made in the applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instruction or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.



**CERTIFICATION**  
**Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, David Gladstone, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Gladstone Capital Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 1, 2012

/s/ David Gladstone  
David Gladstone  
Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION

## Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, David Watson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Gladstone Capital Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 1, 2012

/s/ David Watson

David Watson  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

The undersigned, the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Gladstone Capital Corporation (the "Company"), hereby certifies on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 ("Form 10-Q"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 1, 2012

*/s/ David Gladstone*

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Gladstone  
Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

The undersigned, the Chief Financial Officer of Gladstone Capital Corporation (the "Company"), hereby certifies on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 ("Form 10-Q"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 1, 2012

*/s/ David Watson*

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Watson

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer